

#### **EDITORIAL BOARD**

Christof Heyns University of Pretoria (South Africa)
Emilio García Méndez University of Buenos Aires (Argentina)
Fifi Benaboud North-South Centre of the Council of Europe (Portugal)
Fiona Macaulay Bradford University (United Kingdom)
Flavia Piovesan Pontifical Catholic University of

J. Paul Martin Columbia University of Sao Paulo (Brazil)

J. Paul Martin Columbia University (United States)

Kwame Karikari University of Ghana (Ghana)

Mustapha Kamel Al-Sayyid Cairo University (Egypt)

Roberto Garretón Former-UN Officer of the High Commissioner for Upendra Baxi University of Warwick (United Kingdom)

**EDITORS** 

Pedro Paulo Poppovic Oscar Vilhena Vieira

### **EXECUTIVE EDITORS**

Maria Brant - Executive editor Thiago Amparo - Guest editor Luz González - Assistant executive editor

**EXECUTIVE BOARD** Albertina de Oliveira Costa Ana Cernov Conrado Hubner Mendes Glenda Mezarobba Juana Kweitel Laura Waisbich Lucia Nader Luz González Manoela Miklos Maria Brant Thiago Amparo

### REFERENCES

Luz González Thiago Amparo Tânia Rodrigues

#### LANGUAGE REVISION

SPANISH

Carolina Fairstein Celina Lagrutta Frika Sanchez Saez Laia Fargas Fursa

**PORTUGUESE** Erika Sanchez Saez Renato Barreto Marcela Vieira

### **ENGLISH** Murphy McMahon

Oliver Hudson The Bernard and Audre Rapoport Center for Human Rights and Justice, University of Texas, Austin. Tina Amado

**GRAPHIC DESIGN** Oz Design

LAYOUT Alex Furini

**COVER DESIGN** Mariana Brend **COVER PHOTO** 

Renato Stockler **CIRCULATION** Beatriz Kux

**PRINTING** 

Yangraf Gráfica e Editora Ltda/Alphagraphics

#### ADVISORY BOARD

Alejandro M. Garro Columbia University (United

Bernardo Sorj Federal University of Rio de Janeiro / Edelstein Center (Brazil)

Bertrand Badie Sciences-Po (France)

Cosmas Gitta UNDP (United States)

Daniel Mato CONICET / National University of Tres de Febrero (Argentina)

Daniela Ikawa International Network on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights / Columbia University (United States)

Ellen Chapnick Columbia University (United States)

Ernesto Garzon Valdes University of Mainz (Germany)

Fateh Azzam Arab Human Rights fund (Lebanon)

Guy Haarscher Université Libre de Bruxelles

Jeremy Sarkin University of the Western Cape (South

João Batista Costa Saraiva Regional Jurisdiction for Children and Adolescents of Santo Ângelo/RS (Brazil)

José Reinaldo de Lima Lopes University of São

Juan Amaya Castro VU University Amsterdam/ University for Peace (Costa Rica)

**Lucia Dammert** Global Consortium on Security Transformation (Chile)

Luigi Ferrajoli University of Rome (Italy)

Luiz Eduardo Wanderley Pontifical Catholic University of São Paulo (Brazil)

Malak El-Chichini Poppovic Conectas Human Rights

Maria Filomena Gregori University of Campinas

Maria Hermínia Tavares Almeida University of São Paulo (Brazil)

Miguel Cillero University Diego Portales (Chile)

Mudar Kassis Birzeit University (Palestine)

Paul Chevigny New York University (United States)

Philip Alston New York University (United States) Roberto Cuéllar M. Inter-American Institute of Human Rights (Costa Rica)

Roger Raupp Rios Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul (Brazil)

Shepard Forman New York University (United States)

Victor Abramovich University of Buenos Aires (UBA)

Victor Topanou National University of Benin (Benin)

Vinodh Jaichand Irish Centre for Human Rights, National University of Ireland (Ireland)

SUR - International Journal On Human Rights is a biannual journal published in English, Portuguese and Spanish by Conectas Human Rights. It is available on the Internet at <a href="http://www.surjournal.org">http://www.surjournal.org</a>

SUR is covered by the following abstracting and indexing services: IBSS (International Bibliography of the Social Sciences); ISN Zurich (International Relations and Security Network); DOAJ (Directory of Open Access Journals) and SSRN (Social Science Research Network). In addition, SUR is also available at the following commercial databases: EBSCO, HEINonline, ProQuest and Scopus. SUR has been rated A1 and B1, in Colombia and in Brazil (Qualis), respectively.

> SUR. Revista Internacional de Direitos Humanos / Sur - Rede Universitária de Direitos Humanos - v.1, n.1, jan.2004 - São Paulo, 2004 - .

> > Semestral

ISSN 1806-6445

Edições em Inglês, Português e Espanhol.

1. Direitos Humanos 2. ONU I. Rede Universitária de Direitos Humanos

### **Human Rights in Motion**

CONTENTS

LUCIA NADER, JUANA KWEITEL, & MARCOS FUCHS	7 Introduction
PROFILE OF PEDRO PAULO POPPOVIC	"We Did not Create Sur Journal Because We Had Certainties, But Because We Were Full of Doubts"
MALAK EL-CHICHINI POPPOVIC OSCAR VILHENA VIEIRA	Reflections On the International Human Rights Movement in the 21st Century: Only the Answers Change
	LANGUAGE
SARA BURKE	What an Era of Global Protests Says about the Effectiveness of Human Rights as a Language to Achieve Social Change
VINODH JAICHAND	35 After Human Rights Standard Setting, What's Next?
DAVID PETRASEK	45 Global Trends and the Future of Human Rights Advocacy
SAMUEL MOYN	The Future of Human Rights
STEPHEN HOPGOOD	Challenges to the Global Human Rights Regime: Are Human Rights Still an Effective Language for Social Change?
EMÍLIO ÁLVAREZ ICAZA	77 Human Rights as an Effective Way to Produce Social Change
INTERVIEW WITH RAQUEL ROLNIK	81 UN Special Procedures System is "Designed to Be Ineffective"
INTERVIEW WITH PAULO SÉRGIO PINHEIRO	"Besides Human Rights, I Don't See a Solution for Serving the Victims"
INTERVIEW WITH KUMI NAIDOO	"The Rule of Law Has Consolidated All the Injustices That Existed Before It"
	THEMES
JANET LOVE	Are we Depoliticising Economic Power?: Wilful Business Irresponsibility and Bureaucratic Response by Human Rights Defenders
PHIL BLOOMER	Are Human Rights an Effective Tool for Social Change?: A Perspective on Human Rights and Business
GONZALO BERRÓN	Economic Power, Democracy and Human Rights. A New International Debate on Human Rights and Corporations
DIEGO LORENTE PÉREZ DE EULATE	133 Issues and Challenges Facing Networks and Organisations Working in Migration and Human Rights in Mesoamerica
GLORIA CAREAGA PÉREZ	143 The Protection of LGBTI Rights: An Uncertain Outlook

ARVIND NARRAIN	Brazil, India, South Africa: Transformative Constitutions and their Role in LGBT Struggles
SONIA CORRÊA	Emerging powers: Can it be that sexuality and human rights is a 'lateral issue'?
CLARA SANDOVAL	181 Transitional Justice and Social Change
	PERSPECTIVES
NICOLE FRITZ	Human Rights Litigation in Southern Africa: Not Easily Able to Discount Prevailing Public Opinion
MANDIRA SHARMA	201 Making Laws Work: Advocacy Forum's Experiences in Prevention of Torture in Nepal
MARIA LÚCIA DA SILVEIRA	213 Human Rights and Social Change in Angola
SALVADOR NKAMATE	The Struggle for the Recognition of Human Rights in Mozambique: Advances and Setbacks
HARIS AZHAR	The Human Rights Struggle in Indonesia: International Advances, Domestic Deadlocks
HAN DONGFANG	237 A vision of China's Democratic Future
ANA VALÉRIA ARAÚJO	Challenges to the Sustainability of the Human Rights Agenda in Brazil
MAGGIE BEIRNE	Are we Throwing Out the Baby with the Bathwater?: The North-South Dynamic from the Perspective of Human Rights Work in Northern Ireland
INTERVIEW WITH MARÍA-I. FAGUAGA IGLESIAS	"The Particularities in Cuba Are Not Always Identified Nor Understood By Human Rights Activists From Other Countries"
	VOICES
FATEH AZZAM	Why Should We Have to "Represent" Anyone?
MARIO MELO	Voices from the Jungle on the Witness Stand of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights
ADRIAN GURZA LAVALLE	NGOs, Human Rights and Representation
JUANA KWEITEL	Experimentation and Innovation in the Accountability of Human Rights Organizations in Latin America
PEDRO ABRAMOVAY AND HELOISA GRIGGS	323 Democratic Minorities in 21 <sup>st</sup> Century Democracies
JAMES RON, DAVID CROW AND SHANNON GOLDEN	Human Rights Familiarity and Socio-Economic Status: A Four-Country Study
CHRIS GROVE	To Build a Global Movement to Make Human Rights and Social Justice a Reality For All
INTERVIEW WITH MARY LAWLOR AND ANDREW ANDERSON	"Role of International Organizations Should Be to Support Local Defenders"

### TOOLS

	10023
GASTÓN CHILLIER AND PÉTALLA BRANDÃO TIMO	The Global Human Rights Movement in the 21st Century: Reflections from the Perspective of a National Human Rights NGO from the South
MARTIN KIRK	Systems, Brains and Quiet Places: Thoughts on the Future of Human Rights Campaigning
ROCHELLE JONES, SARAH ROSENHEK AND ANNA TURLEY	A 'Movement Support' Organization: The Experience of the Association For Women's Rights in Development (AWID)
ANA PAULA HERNÁNDEZ	Supporting Locally-Rooted Organizations: The Work of the Fund For Global Human Rights in Mexico
MIGUEL PULIDO JIMÉNEZ	Human Rights Activism In Times of Cognitive Saturation: Talking About Tools
MALLIKA DUTT AND NADIA RASUL	Raising Digital Consciousness: An Analysis of the Opportunities and Risks Facing Human Rights Activists in a Digital Age
SOPHEAP CHAK	New Information and Communication Technologies' Influence on Activism in Cambodia
SANDRA CARVALHO AND EDUARDO BAKER	Strategic Litigation Experiences in the Inter-American Human Rights System
INTERVIEW WITH FERNAND ALPHEN	461 "Get Off Your Pedestal"
INTERVIEW WITH MARY KALDOR	"NGO's are not the Same as Civil Society But Some NGOs Can Play the Role of Facilitators"
INTERVIEW WITH LOUIS BICKFORD	Convergence Towards the Global Middle: "Who Sets the Global Human Rights Agenda and How"
	MULTIPOLARITY
LUCIA NADER	483 Solid Organisations in a Liquid World
KENNETH ROTH	Why We Welcome Human Rights Partnerships
CÉSAR RODRÍGUEZ-GARAVITO	The Future of Human Rights: From Gatekeeping to Symbiosis
DHANANJAYAN SRISKANDARAJAH AND MANDEEP TIWANA	Towards a Multipolar Civil Society
INTERVIEW WITH EMILIE M. HAFNER-BURTON	"Avoiding Using Power Would Be Devastating for Human Rights"
INTERVIEW WITH MARK MALLOCH-BROWN	"We Are Very Much A Multi-Polar World Now, But Not One Comprised Solely Of Nation States"
INTERVIEW WITH SALIL SHETTY	"Human Rights Organisations Should Have a Closer Pulse to the Ground" Or How We Missed the Bus
INTERVIEW WITH LOUISE ARBOUR	"North-South solidarity is key"

\_\_\_

# HUMAN RIGHTS IN MOTION: A MAP TO A MOVEMENT'S FUTURE

Lucia Nader (Executive Director, Conectas)
Juana Kweitel (Program Director, Conectas)
Marcos Fuchs (Associate Director, Conectas)

Sur Journal was created ten years ago as a vehicle to deepen and strengthen bonds between academics and activists from the Global South concerned with human rights, in order to magnify their voices and their participation before international organizations and academia. Our main motivation was the fact that, particularly in the Southern hemisphere, academics were working alone and there was very little exchange between researchers from different countries. The journal's aim has been to provide individuals and organizations working to defend human rights with research, analyses and case studies that combine academic rigor and practical interest. In many ways, these lofty ambitions have been met with success: in the past decade, we have published articles from dozens of countries on issues as diverse as health and access to treatment, transitional justice, regional mechanisms and information and human rights, to name a few. Published in three languages and available online and in print for free, our project also remains unique in terms of geographical reach, critical perspective and its Southern 'accent'. In honour of the founding editor of this journal, Pedro Paulo Poppovic, the 20th issue opens with a biography (by João Paulo Charleaux) of this sociologist who has been one of the main contributors to this publication's success.

This past decade has also been, in many ways, a successful one for the human rights movement as a whole. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights has recently turned 60, new international treaties have been adopted and the old but good global and regional monitoring systems are in full operation, despite criticisms regarding their effectiveness and attempts by States to curb their authority. From a strategic perspective, we continue to use, with more or less success, advocacy, litigation and naming-and-shaming as our main tools for change. In addition, we continue to nurture partnerships between what we categorize as local, national and international organizations within our movement.

Nevertheless, the political and geographic coordinates under which the global human

rights movement has operated have undergone profound changes. Over the past decade, we have witnessed hundreds of thousands of people take to the streets to protest against social and political injustices. We have also seen emerging powers from the South play an increasingly influential role in the definition of the global human rights agenda. Additionally, the past ten years have seen the rapid growth of social networks as a tool of mobilization and as a privileged forum for sharing political information between users. In other words, the journal is publishing its 20th issue against a backdrop that is very different from that of ten years ago. The protests that recently filled the streets of many countries around the globe, for example, were not organized by traditional social movements nor by unions or human rights NGOs, and people's grievances, more often than not, were expressed in terms of social justice and not as rights. Does this mean that human rights are no longer seen as an effective language for producing social change? Or that human rights organizations have lost some of their ability to represent wronged citizens? Emerging powers themselves, despite their newly-acquired international influence, have hardly been able - or willing - to assume stances departing greatly from those of "traditional" powers. How and where can human rights organizations advocate for change? Are Southern-based NGOs in a privileged position to do this? Are NGOs from emerging powers also gaining influence in international forums?

It was precisely to reflect upon these and other pressing issues that, for this 20<sup>th</sup> issue, SUR's editors decided to enlist the help of over 50 leading human rights activists and academics from 18 countries, from Ecuador to Nepal, from China to the US. We asked them to ponder on what we saw as some of the most urgent and relevant questions facing the global human rights movement today: 1. Who do we represent? 2. How do we combine urgent issues with long-term impacts? 3. Are human rights still an effective language for producing social change? 4. How have new information and communication technologies influenced activism? 5. What are the challenges of working internationally from the South?

The result, which you now hold in your hands, is a roadmap for the global human rights movement in the 21<sup>st</sup> century – it offers a vantage point from which it is possible to observe where the movement stands today and where it is heading. The first stop is a reflection on these issues by the founding directors of Conectas Human Rights, Oscar Vilhena Vieira and Malak El-Chichini Poppovic. The roadmap then goes on to include interviews and articles, both providing in-depth analyses of human rights issues, as well as notes from the field, more personalized accounts of experiences working with human rights, which we have organized into six categories, although most of them could arguably be allocated to more than one category:

Language. In this section, we have included articles that ponder the question of whether human rights — as a utopia, as norms and as institutions — are still effective for producing social change. Here, the contributions range from analyses on human rights as a language for change (Stephen Hopgood and Paulo Sérgio Pinheiro), empirical research on the use of the language of human rights for articulating grievances in recent mass protests (Sara Burke), to reflections on the standard-setting role and effectiveness of international human rights institutions (Raquel Rolnik, Vinodh Jaichand and Emílio

Álvarez Icaza). It also includes studies on the movement's global trends (David Petrasek), challenges to the movement's emphasis on protecting the rule of law (Kumi Naidoo), and strategic proposals to better ensure a compromise between utopianism and realism in relation to human rights (Samuel Moyn).

Themes. Here we have included contributions that address specific human rights topics from an original and critical standpoint. Four themes were analysed: economic power and corporate accountability for human rights violations (Phil Bloomer, Janet Love and Gonzalo Berrón); sexual politics and LGBTI rights (Sonia Corrêa, Gloria Careaga Pérez and Arvind Narrain); migration (Diego Lorente Pérez de Eulate); and, finally, transitional justice (Clara Sandoval).

Perspectives. This section encompasses country-specific accounts, mostly field notes from human rights activists on the ground. Those contributions come from places as diverse as Angola (Maria Lúcia da Silveira), Brazil (Ana Valéria Araújo), Cuba (María-Ileana Faguaga Iglesias), Indonesia (Haris Azhar), Mozambique (Salvador Nkamate) and Nepal (Mandira Sharma). But they all share a critical perspective on human rights, including for instance a sceptical perspective on the relation between litigation and public opinion in Southern Africa (Nicole Fritz), a provocative view of the democratic future of China and its relation to labour rights (Han Dongfang), and a thoughtful analysis of the North-South duality from Northern Ireland (Maggie Beirne).

Voices. Here the articles go to the core of the question of whom the global human rights movement represents. Adrian Gurza Lavalle and Juana Kweitel take note of the pluralisation of representation and innovative forms of accountability adopted by human rights NGOs. Others study the pressure for more representation or a louder voice in international human rights mechanisms (such as in the Inter-American system, as reported by Mario Melo) and in representative institutions such as national legislatures (as analysed by Pedro Abramovay and Heloisa Griggs). Finally, Chris Grove, as well as James Ron, David Crow and Shannon Golden emphasize, in their contributions, the need for a link between human rights NGOs and grassroots groups, including economically disadvantaged populations. As a counter-argument, Fateh Azzam questions the need of human rights activists to represent anyone, taking issue with the critique of NGOs as being overly dependent on donors. Finally, Mary Lawlor and Andrew Anderson provide an account of a Northern organization's efforts to attend to the needs of local human rights defenders as they, and only they, define them.

Tools. In this section, the editors included contributions that focus on the instruments used by the global human rights movement to do its work. This includes a debate on the role of technology in promoting change (Mallika Dutt and Nadia Rasul, as well as Sopheap Chak and Miguel Pulido Jiménez) and perspectives on the challenges of human rights campaigning, analysed provocatively by Martin Kirk and Fernand Alphen in their respective contributions. Other articles point to the need of organizations to be more grounded in local contexts, as noted by Ana Paula Hernández in relation to Mexico, by Louis Bickford in what he sees as a convergence towards the global middle, and finally by Rochelle Jones, Sarah Rosenhek and Anna Turley in their movement-support model. In addition, it is noted by Mary Kaldor that NGOs are not the same as civil society,

properly understood. Furthermore, litigation and international work are cast in a critical light by Sandra Carvalho and Eduardo Baker in relation to the dilemma between long and short term strategies in the Inter-American system. Finally, Gastón Chillier and Pétalla Brandão Timo analyse South-South cooperation from the viewpoint of a national human rights NGO in Argentina.

Multipolarity. Here, the articles challenge our ways of thinking about power in the multipolar world we currently live in, with contributions from the heads of some of the world's largest international human rights organizations based in the North (Kenneth Roth and Salil Shetty) and in the South (Lucia Nader, César Rodríguez-Garavito, Dhananjayan Sriskandarajah and Mandeep Tiwana). This section also debates what multipolarity means in relation to States (Emilie M. Hafner-Burton), international organizations and civil society (Louise Arbour) and businesses (Mark Malloch-Brown).

Conectas hopes this issue will foster debate on the future of the global human rights movement in the 21<sup>st</sup> century, enabling it to reinvent itself as necessary to offer better protection of human rights on the ground.

Finally, we would like to emphasize that this issue of Sur Journal was made possible by the support of the Ford Foundation, Open Society Foundations, the Oak Foundation, the Sigrid Rausing Trust, the International Development Research Centre (IDRC) and the Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency (SIDA). Additionally, Conectas Human Rights is especially grateful for the collaboration of the authors and the hard work of the Journal's editorial team. We are also extremely thankful for the work of Maria Brant and Manoela Miklos for conceiving this Issue and for conducting most of the interviews, and for Thiago Amparo for joining the editorial team and making this Issue possible. We are also tremendously thankful for Luz González's tireless work with editing the contributions received, and for Ana Cernov for coordinating the overall editorial process.



### VINODH JAICHAND

Vinodh Jaichand is Head/Dean of the School of Law, University of the Witwatersrand, in South Africa; and was previously Director of the International Human Rights Exchange, School for Social Sciences, at the same university. Before that, he was Deputy Director, at the Irish Centre for Human Rights, National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland. His post graduate degrees include an LLM (magna cum laude) and a JSD (summa cum laude)

from the University of Notre Dame Law School, and an LLM from the University of Miami Law School. He has been the former National Executive Director of Lawyers for Human Rights, and Dean of the Faculty of Law at the University of Durban Westville.

Email: Vinodh.Jaichand@wits.ac.za

### **ABSTRACT**

In this article, the author inquires whose "voice" is the loudest and most persistent when it comes to international human rights law, questioning whether human rights is still an effective language for producing social change. In order to do so, the author scrutinizes the selectivity of the States Parties' non-enforcement of their binding obligations derived from the ICESCR and ICCPR. Furthermore, the author looks at how States' self-interest plays out in relation to the development of new standards under international law, including the right to protect, right to development and migrant workers' rights, as well as vis-à-vis multinational corporations. In conclusion, the author highlights that it is about time to revisit the notion of an international human rights court.

Original in English.

Received in April 2014.

### **KEYWORDS**

International Human Rights Court – States' Obligations – Corporations – Standard-setting



This paper is published under the *creative commons* license.

This paper is available in digital format at <www.surjournal.org>.

### ARTICLE

# AFTER HUMAN RIGHTS STANDARD SETTING, WHAT'S NEXT?

Vinodh Jaichand

In answer to the question of whether human rights is still an effective language for producing social change, we need to inquire whose "voice" is the loudest and most persistent. That voice, at the United Nations Human Rights Council in Geneva, for example, is of the governments of the States Parties to multilateral international human rights treaties. However, the voice of victims is less vocal and heard indirectly through civil society groups with the relevant standing at this international forum. There is little doubt that the international human rights system is state-centric. There can be no improvement on the past grand scholarly exchanges on state practice, from Louis Henkin's view, articulated thirty-five years ago, that most States observe international law and undertake their legal obligations most of the time (HENKIN, 1979) to Koh's treatise on the subject that sought to explain the behavior of States (KOH, 1997). Apart from the time that has elapsed since, not much has changed in the record of obligations of some States under international human rights law. That indicates that social change is pedestrian at best if total reliance is placed on the international mechanisms.

Some of loudest voices of States are usually the ones who point to the violations of human rights of other States while ignoring their own practices on the international conventions to which they are a party. These multilateral treaties are usually the product of negotiation some might describe as "horse trading" amongst the various States, including those which frequently make the loudest noise. As a result the language contained in these treaties cannot be assessed for consistency in the same way one would assess domestic legislation, the latter usually crafted accurately by well-trained lawyers whose business is the making of sound law. Indeed, some States have adopted the strategy of contributing language that is deliberately unclear and vague during the negotiation process, so as to create ambiguity to avoid the enforcement of their obligations under that multilateral treaty.

The voice less heard, or perhaps suppressed, is that of the beneficiaries of human rights, which is totally absent at the point of negotiation of the treaties. It is trite that the system of international human rights law was established to benefit the marginalised, vulnerable and indigent people of the world who appear to be voiceless in their own States and outside. The clear impetus for this was found in World War II, when millions of the voiceless were slaughtered in the name of Germany's policies, or their national self-interest. This is not exceptional. Under some principles of international relations, States Parties are expected usually to act in their self-interest, often couched in the benign language of "national interest". That national interest may not always be compatible with human rights norms and is sometimes referred to as *real-politik*. Indeed, national interest is sometimes the recipe for undermining laws and re-establishing the view that might is right by so-called "civilized nations".

Today, there is little doubt that some States have a tendency to interpret their obligations under international human rights law with fluctuating inconsistency because of national self-interest. International human rights law grew from public international law in which certain principles were accepted by States from the outset. There is the orthodox view that a State could not have obligations under public international law where that State had not consented. Indeed, the principle of *pacta sunt servanda* has been cited by a number of States to deny that an obligation can arise, for example, over the fluxion of time for a non-ratifying State to a treaty. A long-standing practice of all States created international customary law as evidence of a general practice accepted as law, we are informed.<sup>1</sup>

### 1 States Parties' non-enforcement: ICESCR and ICCPR

When one examines the States Parties to the International Bill of Rights, however, it is clear that there is a contradiction of the well-established principle of *pacta sunt servanda* when it comes to some States Parties' non-enforcement of their obligations under the International Covenant for Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). This international treaty has nearly the same number of ratifications of States Parties as the International Covenant for Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), and opened for ratification on the same day and year, 16 December 1966.<sup>2</sup> Yet in the enforcement of the obligations contained therein, the ICCPR outstrips the ICESCR in the number of States that interpret the norms as legally binding, and has often become a part of domestic legal systems. From an international customary law perspective, this is confounding, as there appears to be a deliberate practice not to enforce the obligations arising from the ICESCR by States Parties. This appears to have created a "customary practice" of some States avoiding their obligations that have been freely entered into.

There are various reasons for this, we are told. A number of States Parties regard civil and political rights as the only real rights, despite being a party to the ICESCR. If one applies the principle of *pacta sunt servanda* as a cardinal rule of public international law, then a number of States Parties have either misunderstood their obligations or ignored them. This is a clear violation of international human

LANGUAGE VINODH JAICHAND

rights because an omission of one's obligations incurs the same liability as an act. A few reasons have been posited for this practice.

One is that some of the wording in the ICESCR is vague and unclear; therefore State Parties cannot enforce them, it is alleged, as they would with domestic legislation. Indeed, State Parties are not expected to enforce the exact language contained in the ICESCR. Instead each is expected to enact legislation that will enable the enforcement of the rights in their domestic jurisdiction under this international treaty. The strategy of avoidance of obligations by some States Parties is to point to the language of the ICESCR, a product of negotiation amongst states anyway, as unenforceable because it was alleged to be vague and unclear. This approach clearly shows a lack of understanding of the purpose of that international treaty, or is simply disingenuous, because the articulations of numerous General Comments by the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights have clarified many of the states' obligations under this treaty. However, the suspicious states do not want to acknowledge these General Comments because doing so might imply that they accept the articulations of a non-law-making authority, which might be binding on them. Most flaws in the language in the ICESCR are capable of being corrected at the stage of domestic legislation, in any case. Indeed, some regard this type of unilateral interpretation as a violation of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, which provides that every treaty is binding on the States Parties and there is a duty on them to perform the obligations therein in good faith.

The other reason is historical, in a selective understanding, as it was the US President Roosevelt who stated in 1944 that "necessitous men are not free men" when he spoke about economic security for all (ROOSEVELT, 1944). The ICESCR in the Cold War period was regarded as anti-capitalist and accepted as such, without a full interrogation of President Roosevelt's utterance. Despite the fact that the Cold War ended around 1986, there has been slow movement from the various State Parties to enforce their obligation under the ICESCR through the enactment of domestic legislation. Until the Optional Protocol on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights came into force in May 2013, some 37 years after ICESCR had entered into force, there was no individual complaints' mechanism for citizens whose social, economic and cultural rights were violated. In contrast, the ICCPR came to force also in 1976, with the Optional Protocol on ICCPR in that same year. These lapses in time are indicative of States' failure to observe their legal obligations and therefore undermine the value of public international law and international human rights law.

# 2 States' Self-Interest: Right to Protect, Right to Development and Migrant Workers' Rights

Occasionally, a "right" emerges not from the language of treaties or international human rights law, but from the indignation of a group of States at some rights that have been violated in some States. At this stage, the absence of consent via a ratified multilateral treaty, all objections to unenforceable language of rights, any reference to a customary practice accepted by all States Parties, or any other that

might be seen as a bar to their intervention in other states are dismissed or not even raised. The innocuously named "Right to Protect" seeks to protect the rights of citizens of a State that violates their rights. One would have thought the accepted objective of *all* human rights was indeed to protect, perhaps through persuading rogue States through good practice to uphold their human rights obligations all the time. After all, that objective underpinned the creation of the International Bill of Rights. It turns out, however, that this is not the case.

The "Right to Protect" purportedly protects such citizens where there are human rights violations such as genocide, ethnic cleansing war crimes and crimes against humanity, but not other human rights violations. It is argued that these are gross violations of human rights and require intervention from other States, ostensibly to protect the victims. The reason for this action lies in the realisation by some States that they have a responsibility to protect in such cases, and only in these cases. It is doubtful that this can be labeled a "human right" because the "right" justifies the invasion by one State of another State perceived to be violating the human rights of its citizens. In that act of invasion, all casualties, usually the very victims the act sought to protect, might be dismissed as "collateral damage". Thus it fails to protect the marginalised, vulnerable and indigent. This is a very reactionary course of action, one which might be regarded as "uncivilized" in the language of the United Nations Charter, and simply underscores the collective self-interest of the invaders. The practice of apartheid in South Africa for 46 years, however repugnant, never led to the exercise of the "Right to Protect" by any State. The Right to Protect, also, has never been invoked by protagonists against States violating the social, economic and cultural rights of their citizens.

In contrast to this rapid development of the "Right to Protect", the Right to Development is not acknowledged as a right by many of the supporters of the former, despite the celebration of twenty-five years of the Declaration on the Right to Development by the United Nations Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights. All the well-trod arguments denying this as a right emerge from the opposition: a declaration cannot give rise to a right; there is no convention on this right to bind states; nor is there any international customary practice to this effect, we are informed.

Another clear indicator of the national or continental self-interest of State Parties is the International Convention on the Protection of the Right to All Migrant Workers and Members of their Families, adopted twenty-four years ago by the United Nations General Assembly, which has no European State Party (UNITED NATIONS, 1990). The website of the United Nations High Commission for Refugees states: "...economic migrants choose to move in order to improve the future prospects of themselves and their families". That definition would appear to fit any European colonial leader, from Columbus to Rhodes, because they left Europe to improve their future prospects, ostensibly on behalf of their countries. But they are not called "economic migrant" but "pioneers". They also had the might of their States to back up their ambition. On that logic, again not much has changed today. Today, it appears to be the practice of some European States not to rescue refugees in sinking vessels because caring for them will be an

**LANGUAGE** VINODH JAICHAND

economic burden on the rescuing State. National self-interest, not the saving of lives, appears to be the emerging norm.

Notwithstanding history, today more proactive steps are necessary for the nurturing of all international human rights by all States Parties so that a predictable course of action can be realised for all violators through an understanding of a common language of international human rights law. After all, the international standards have already been set, even though some might be contested.

One justification of the emergence of the "Right to Protect" might lie in the weak enforcement measures against violating States, the only means being to cause embarrassment for them. Where, for example, the Optional Protocols to ICESCR and ICCPR granting individual petitions to aggrieved citizens have not been acceded to, the violating state is "named and shamed" in the oversight bodies of the various multilateral human rights treaties. Indeed, this is the full extent of enforcement of State obligations today for all international human rights treaties. The effect is not always salutary, nor is it immediate. It is also possible that frequent violators are accepting of their tags as violators and thereafter disregard the language and consequences of embarrassment. The result is that the violation of human rights continues. In these circumstances some indignant States might take on the self-appointed role and language of enforcers of human rights. If one examines the composition of which potential or frequent enforcers might be, against their own human rights records, it is likely that the States' rhetoric might not match their purported human rights record. It is at this stage that international human rights appear to be remote and disconnected from the very persons they seek to protect. Their voice is therefore silenced while deference to the State continues.

### 3 Multinational Corporations

Apart from States or their citizens, another entity with a very powerful influence, and, some may argue, many proxy voices, are multinational corporations, who are not subjects of public international law. Their influence on all decisions of States is immense and labyrinthine. They resist all attempts to make them accountable under international human rights law despite making huge profits that exceed the national budgets of many UN States Parties. At best multinational corporations are cajoled into upholding some principles of good practice, which are not based on human rights norms. Others embark on massive public relations exercises, in the guise of corporate social responsibility, that conceal their real practice of making profit at all costs.

The oil company British Petroleum, while responsible for one of the largest degradations of marine life in the Gulf of Mexico, continued its advertisement campaigns of being a source of corporate good practice. Any attempt at regulating them is met with much outrage and financial threats, as profit appears to be sacrosanct and valued above human rights. The British Prime Minister complained that any compensation British Petroleum might have to pay, eventually \$4.4 billion, would erode the shareholders' profit. Another example was the mayhem that unregulated banking created in the northern hemisphere, and all of the

planned reaction at the time has slowly receded from the legislative plans of the European Union or the United States. Rather than setting binding standards for multinational corporations, who are not subjects of public international law, they are coaxed into behaving better.

Some States are the defenders of multinational corporations because they are purported to be the source of taxation. A close study of this assertion may expose the fact that with the various tax breaks, and a plethora of laws that support the non-location of such a corporation in any one country, including the repatriation of profits to the incorporating state, most multinational corporations pay a lower percentage of tax than individual taxpayers in that State. The duty to regulate the behavior of multinational corporations to ensure that they do not violate human rights lies with the State. In fact few do, because the corporation threatens, and sometimes follows through on that threat, to relocate their enterprise.

### 4 Public Interest Litigation

Ten years ago I wrote in the first volume of this journal that when regional and international human rights were subsumed into the domestic law, either through legislation or through enactment in the constitution of a country, fertile grounds existed for public interest litigation (JAICHAND, 2004). It is here that the voice of the victim is heard, because domestic courts are the only sites of this struggle. Since then, more States have taken this route, but their numbers are limited. Even when they had not taken this route, civil society with the legal NGO community sought accountability in any forum they could find. Indeed, civil society megaphoned the concerns of the disadvantaged, marginalized and vulnerable beyond their own borders. With the changing pace of technology, it is possible to publicise a local issue as an international one within seconds of its occurrence.

However, grand victories cannot be claimed here because not all States appear to be held sufficiently accountable. Some might say the larger, more powerful States and their allies are untouchable and continue to operate outside any set of standards. While many creative legal solutions have been found, including the principle of universal jurisdiction in international criminal law as one example, some States have participated in the development of the emerging norms but are not bound, as they do not ratify the resultant convention. These gambits are then imitated by others. Some gains are rendered nugatory when a killing is aided by remote technology, such as drones, and the pulling of the trigger is not even undertaken on the territory of those killed. The standard-setting bodies of international humanitarian law are left helpless as the weapons technology outstrips any standards. Multilateral treaties on these new approaches to killing are absent and all other sources of international law are impotent.

### 5 Conclusion: Towards a International Human Rights Court?

Perhaps it is the time for us to focus on the enforcement of human rights because the current limited progress being made by States on implementation of their human **LANGUAGE** VINODH JAICHAND

rights obligations is costing thousands of lives daily. The main contribution of the international human rights system has been standard setting that has preoccupied everyone since inception. However, there is rigidity in the approach of some States to their obligations that is proving time-consuming to overcome. The glaring absence of enforcement of those standards is the weakness of the system. About the time the Universal Declaration of Human Rights was mooted, two enforcement mechanisms were suggested. One has been established after much debate at the then UN Human Rights Commission: the establishment of the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights. The other has not: the establishment of an International Human Rights Court. It is time now to revisit that notion.

One of the strongest proponents of the establishment of such a court over the years has been Professor Manfred Nowak, who maintained that this is a key institution for ensuring that States Parties met their obligations under human rights treaties, which in 2009 he called the World Court of Human Rights (NOWAK; KOSMA, 2009). The main features of this system provide for a permanent court to be established through a treaty. States Parties to this treaty will establish domestic systems to enforce all human rights treaties on the basis of complementarity, as established under the Rome Statute for international criminal justice. The Court will become a part of the UN structure and be funded by that body. This court will have jurisdiction over non-state actors such as multinational corporations and the UN Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights will oversee the judgments of the Court (NOWAK; KOSMA, 2009, p. 8).

While this will go a long way to addressing the gap in implementation of State obligation, it is important to note that this can only be implemented in a country where that State Party has ratified such a multilateral treaty. That means those States who do not can only be "named and shamed". While this is a move in the right direction, the consent of States is vital. The alternative to this would be a replication of the domestic system with a police force. At the international level, that might add to our dilemma because only the more powerful States are capable of fulfilling that role. That might present us with new set of problems that we might regret in the future.

### REFERENCES

### Bibliography and Other Sources

HENKIN, Louis. 1979. How Nations Behave: Law and Foreing Policiy. 47, Columbia University Press, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition.

JAICHAND, Vinodh. 2004. Public Interest Litigation Strategies for Advancing Human Rights in Domestic Systems of Law, SUR, São Paulo. v.1 n.1. Available at: http://www.surjournal.org/eng/index1.php. Last accessed in: Aug. 2014.

- KOH, Harold H. 1997. Why Do Nations Obey International Law?. Faculty Scholarship Series. Paper 2101. Available at: http://digitalcommons.law.yale.edu/ fss\_papers/2101. Last accessed in: Aug. 2014.
- NOWAK, Manfred; KOSMA, Julia. 2009. A World Court of Human Rights. Swiss Initiative to Commemorate the 60th Anniversary of the UDHR. June. Available at: http://udhr60.ch/report/hrCourt-Nowak0609.pdf. Last accessed in: Aug. 2014.
- ROOSEVELT, Franklin D. 1944. State of the Union Address. January 11.
- UNITED NATIONS. 1990. General Assembly. International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families. Resolution 45/158, 18 December. Available at: http://www.un.org/documents/ga/ res/45/a45r158.htm. Last accessed in: Aug. 2014.

### NOTES

- 1. Article 38 (1) of the Statute of the International Court of Justice, annexed to the UN Charter, which cites what might constitute the sources of public international law. They include international treaties, international custom, the general principles of laws and the teaching of the leading scholars in the field. Available at: <a href="http://www.icj-cij.org/documents/">http://www.icj-cij.org/documents/</a> index.php?p1=4&p2=2&p3=0>. Last accessed on: 15 Aug. 2014.
- 2. ICCPR has 167 state parties and ICESCR has 161 state parties. Available at: <a href="https://treaties.un.org/Pages/Treaties">https://treaties.un.org/Pages/Treaties</a>. aspx?id=4&subid=A&lang=en>. Last accessed on: 9 March, 2014.
- 3. Available at: <a href="http://www.unhcr.org/">http://www.unhcr.org/</a> pages/49c3646c125.html>. Last accessed on: 15 Aug. 2014.

### SUR 1, v. 1, n. 1, Jun. 2004

EMILIO GARCÍA MÉNDEZ Origin, Concept and Future of Human Rights: Reflections for a New Agenda

#### FLAVIA PIOVESAN

Social, Economic and Cultural Rights and Civil and Political Rights

### OSCAR VILHENA VIEIRA AND A.

Reflections on Civil Society and Human Rights

### JEREMY SARKIN

The Coming of Age of Claims for Reparations for Human Rights Abuses Committed in the South

#### VINODH JAICHAND

Public Interest Litigation Strategies for Advancing Human Rights in Domestic Systems of Law

#### PAUL CHEVIGNY

Repression in the United States after the September 11 Attack

SERGIO VIEIRA DE MELLO Only Member States Can Make the UN WorkFive Questions for the Human Rights Field

### SUR 2, v. 2, n. 2, Jun. 2005

### SALIL SHETTY

Millennium Declaration and Development Goals: Opportunities for Human Rights

### FATEH AZZAM

Reflections on Human Rights Approaches to Implementing the Millennium Development Goals

## RICHARD PIERRE CLAUDE The Right to Education and Human Rights Education

JOSÉ REINALDO DE LIMA LOPES The Right to Recognition for Gays and Lesbians

E.S. NWAUCHE AND J.C. NWOBIKE Implementing the Right to Development

### STEVEN FREELAND

Human Rights, the Environment and Conflict: Addressing Crimes against the Environment

### FIONA MACAULAY

Civil Society-State Partnerships for the Promotion of Citizen Security in Brazil

### EDWIN REKOSH

Who Defines the Public Interest?

### VÍCTOR E. ABRAMOVICH Courses of Action in Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: Instruments and Allies

### SUR 3, v. 2, n. 3, Dec. 2005

#### CAROLINE DOMMEN

Trade and Human Rights: Towards Coherence

### CARLOS M. CORREA

TRIPS Agreement and Access to Drugs in Developing Countries

#### BERNARDO SORJ

Security, Human Security and Latin America

### ALBERTO BOVINO

Evidential Issues before the Inter-American Court of Human Rights

#### NICO HORN

Eddie Mabo and Namibia: Land Reform and Pre-Colonial Land Rights

### NLERUM S. OKOGBULE

Access to Justice and Human Rights Protection in Nigeria: Problems and Prospects

### MARÍA JOSÉ GUEMBE

Reopening of Trials for Crimes Committed by the Argentine Military Dictatorship

### JOSÉ RICARDO CUNHA

Human Rights and Justiciability: A Survey Conducted in Rio de Janeiro

### LOUISE ARBOUR

Plan of Action Submitted by the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

### SUR 4, v. 3, n. 4, Jun. 2006

### FERNANDE RAINE

The measurement challenge in human rights

### MARIO MELO

Recent advances in the justiciability of indigenous rights in the Inter American System of Human Rights

### ISABELA FIGUEROA

Indigenous peoples versus oil companies: Constitutional control within resistance

### ROBERT ARCHER

The strengths of different traditions: What can be gained and what might be lost by combining rights and development?

### J. PAUL MARTIN

Development and rights revisited: Lessons from Africa

MICHELLE RATTON SANCHEZ Brief observations on the mechanisms for NGO participation in the WTO

### JUSTICE C. NWOBIKE

Pharmaceutical corporations and access to drugs in developing countries: The way forward CLÓVIS ROBERTO ZIMMERMANN Social programs from a human rights perspective: The case of the Lula administration's family grant in Brazil

### CHRISTOF HEYNS, DAVID PADILLA AND LEO ZWAAK

A schematic comparison of regional human rights systems: An update

BOOK REVIEW

### SUR 5, v. 3, n. 5, Dec. 2006

### CARLOS VILLAN DURAN

Lights and shadows of the new United Nations Human Rights Council

### PAULINA VEGA GONZÁLEZ

The role of victims in International Criminal Court proceedings: their rights and the first rulings of the Court

### OSWALDO RUIZ CHIRIBOGA

The right to cultural identity of indigenous peoples and national minorities: a look from the Inter-American System

### LYDIAH KEMUNTO BOSIRE

Overpromised, underdelivered: transitional justice in Sub-Saharan Africa

### DEVIKA PRASAD

Strengthening democratic policing and accountability in the Commonwealth Pacific

### IGNACIO CANO

Public security policies in Brazil: attempts to modernize and democratize versus the war on crime

### TOM FARER

Toward an effective international legal order: from co-existence to concert?

**BOOK REVIEW** 

### **SUR 6**, v. 4, n. 6, Jun. 2007

### UPENDRA BAXI

The Rule of Law in India

# OSCAR VILHENA VIEIRA Inequality and the subversion of the Rule of Law

# RODRIGO UPRIMNY YEPES Judicialization of politics in Colombia: cases, merits and risks

### LAURA C. PAUTASSI

Is there equality in inequality? Scope and limits of affirmative actions

#### GERT JONKER AND RIKA SWANZEN

Intermediary services for child witnesses testifying in South African criminal courts

#### SERGIO BRANCO

Brazilian copyright law and how it restricts the efficiency of the human right to education

### THOMAS W. POGGE

Eradicating systemic poverty: brief for a Global Resources Dividend

### SUR 7, v. 4, n. 7, Dec. 2007

#### LUCIA NADER

The role of NGOs in the UN Human Rights Council

CECÍLIA MACDOWELL SANTOS
Transnational legal activism and
the State: reflections on cases

the State: reflections on cases against Brazil in the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights

### TRANSITIONAL JUSTICE

### TARA URS

Imagining locally-motivated accountability for mass atrocities: voices from Cambodia

### CECILY ROSE AND

### FRANCIS M. SSEKANDI

The pursuit of transitional justice and African traditional values: a clash of civilizations — The case of Uganda

### RAMONA VIJEYARASA

Facing Australia's history: truth and reconciliation for the stolen generations

### ELIZABETH SALMÓN G.

The long road in the fight against poverty and its promising encounter with human rights

INTERVIEW WITH JUAN MÉNDEZ By Glenda Mezarobba

### SUR 8, v. 5, n. 8, Jun. 2008

### MARTÍN ABREGÚ

Human rights for all: from the struggle against authoritarianism to the construction of an all-inclusive democracy - A view from the Southern Cone and Andean region

### AMITA DHANDA

Constructing a new human rights lexicon: Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities

### LAURA DAVIS MATTAR

Legal recognition of sexual rights – a comparative analysis with reproductive rights

### JAMES L. CAVALLARO AND STEPHANIE ERIN BREWER

The virtue of following: the role of Inter-American litigation in campaigns for social justice

### RIGHT TO HEALTH AND ACCESS TO MEDICAMENTS

PAUL HUNT AND RAJAT KHOSLA The human right to medicines

#### THOMAS POGGE

Medicines for the world: boosting innovation without obstructing free access

### JORGE CONTESSE AND DOMINGO LOVERA PARMO

Access to medical treatment for people living with HIV/AIDS: success without victory in Chile

#### GABRIELA COSTA CHAVES, MARCELA FOGAÇA VIEIRA AND RENATA REIS

Access to medicines and intellectual property in Brazil: reflections and strategies of civil society

### SUR 9, v. 5, n. 9, Dec. 2008

### BARBORA BUKOVSKÁ

Perpetrating good: unintended consequences of international human rights advocacy

#### JEREMY SARKIN

Prisons in Africa: an evaluation from a human rights perspective

### REBECCA SAUNDERS

Lost in translation: expressions of human suffering, the language of human rights, and the South African Truth and Reconciliation Commission

### SIXTY YEARS OF THE UNIVERSAL DECLARATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS

PAULO SÉRGIO PINHEIRO Sixty years after the Universal Declaration: navigating the contradictions

FERNANDA DOZ COSTA

Poverty and human rights from rhetoric to legal obligations: a critical account of conceptual frameworks

### EITAN FELNER

A new frontier in economic and social rights advocacy? Turning quantitative data into a tool for human rights accountability

### KATHERINE SHORT

From Commission to Council: has the United Nations succeeded in creating a credible human rights body?

### ANTHONY ROMERO

Interview with Anthony Romero, Executive Director of the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU)

### **SUR 10,** v. 6, n. 10, Jun. 2009

### ANUJ BHUWANIA

"Very wicked children": "Indian torture" and the Madras Torture Commission Report of 1855

### DANIELA DE VITO, AISHA GILL AND DAMIEN SH-ORT

Rape characterised as genocide

#### CHRISTIAN COURTIS

Notes on the implementation by Latin American courts of the ILO Convention 169 on indigenous peoples

#### BENYAM D. MEZMUR

Intercountry adoption as a measure of last resort in Africa: Advancing the rights of a child rather than a right to a child

### HUMAN RIGHTS OF PEOPLE ON THE MOVE: MIGRANTS AND REFUGEES

### KATHARINE DERDERIAN AND LIESBETH SCHOCKAERT

Responding to "mixed" migration flows: A humanitarian perspective

### JUAN CARLOS MURILLO

The legitimate security interests of the State and international refugee protection

### MANUELA TRINDADE VIANA

International cooperation and internal displacement in Colombia: Facing the challenges of the largest humanitarian crisis in South America

### JOSEPH AMON AND KATHERINE TODRYS

Access to antiretroviral treatment for migrant populations in the Global South

### PABLO CERIANI CERNADAS

European migration control in the African territory: The omission of the extraterritorial character of human rights obligations

### **SUR 11**, v. 6, n. 11, Dec. 2009

### VÍCTOR ABRAMOVICH

From Massive Violations to Structural Patterns: New Approaches and Classic Tensions in the Inter-American Human Rights System

### VIVIANA BOHÓRQUEZ MONSALVE AND JAVIER AGUIRRE ROMÁN

Tensions of Human Dignity: Conceptualization and Application to International Human Rights Law

### DEBORA DINIZ, LÍVIA BARBOSA AND WEDERSON RUFINO DOS SANTOS

Disability, Human Rights and Justice

JULIETA LEMAITRE RIPOLL Love in the Time of Cholera: LGBT Rights in Colombia

### ECONOMIC, SOCIAL AND CULTURAL RIGHTS

### MALCOLM LANGFORD

Domestic Adjudication and Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: A Socio-Legal Review

### ANN BLYBERG

The Case of the Mislaid Allocation: Economic and Social Rights and Budget Work

### ALDO CALIARI

Trade, Investment, Finance and Human Rights: Assessment and Strategy Paper

### PATRICIA FEENEY

Business and Human Rights: The Struggle for Accountability in the UN and the Future Direction of the Advocacy Agenda

### INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS

Interview with Rindai Chipfunde-Vava, Director of the Zimbabwe Election Support Network (ZESN) Report on the IX International Human Rights Colloquium

### SUR 12, v. 7, n. 12, Jun. 2010

### SALIL SHETTY Foreword

FERNANDO BASCH ET AL.
The Effectiveness of the InterAmerican System of Human
Rights Protection: A Quantitative
Approach to its Functioning and
Compliance With its Decisions

### RICHARD BOURNE

The Commonwealth of Nations: Intergovernmental and Nongovernmental Strategies for the Protection of Human Rights in a Post-colonial Association

### MILLENNIUM DEVELOPMENT GOALS

AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL Combating Exclusion: Why Human Rights Are Essential for the MDGs

VICTORIA TAULI-CORPUZ Reflections on the Role of the United Nations Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues in relation to the Millennium Development Goals

# ALICIA ELY YAMIN Toward Transformative Accountability: Applying a Rightsbased Approach to Fulfill Maternal Health Obligations

### SARAH ZAIDI

Millennium Development Goal 6 and the Right to Health: Conflictual or Complementary?

# MARCOS A. ORELLANA Climate Change and the Millennium Development Goals: The Right to Development, International Cooperation and the Clean Development Mechanism

### **CORPORATE ACCOUNTABILITY**

### LINDIWE KNUTSON

Aliens, Apartheid and US Courts: Is the Right of Apartheid Victims to Claim Reparations from Multinational Corporations at last Recognized?

### DAVID BILCHITZ

The Ruggie Framework: An Adequate Rubric for Corporate Human Rights Obligations?

### **SUR 13,** v. 7, n. 13, Dec. 2010

### GLENDA MEZAROBBA

Between Reparations, Half Truths and Impunity: The Difficult Break with the Legacy of the Dictatorship in Brazil

GERARDO ARCE ARCE Armed Forces, Truth Commission and Transitional Justice in Peru

### REGIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS MECHANISMS

### FELIPE GONZÁLEZ

Urgent Measures in the Inter-American Human Rights System

### JUAN CARLOS GUTIÉRREZ AND SILVANO CANTÚ

The Restriction of Military Jurisdiction in International Human Rights Protection Systems

### DEBRA LONG AND LUKAS MUNTINGH

The Special Rapporteur on Prisons and Conditions of Detention in Africa and the Committee for the Prevention of Torture in Africa: The Potential for Synergy or Inertia?

### LUCYLINE NKATHA MURUNGI AND JACQUI GALLINETTI

The Role of Sub-Regional Courts in the African Human Rights System

### MAGNUS KILLANDER

Interpreting Regional Human Rights Treaties

### ANTONIO M. CISNEROS DE ALENCAR

Cooperation Between the Universal and Inter-American Human Rights Systems in the Framework of the Universal Periodic Review Mechanism

### IN MEMORIAM

Kevin Boyle - Strong Link in the Chain By Borislav Petranov

### SUR 14, v. 8, n. 14, Jun. 2011

#### MAURICIO ALBARRACÍN CABALLERO

Social Movements and the Constitutional Court: Legal Recognition of the Rights of Same-Sex Couples in Colombia

### DANIEL VÁZQUEZ AND DOMITILLE DELAPLACE

Public Policies from a Human Rights Perspective: A Developing

### J. PAUL MARTIN

Human Rights Education in Communities Recovering from Major Social Crisis: Lessons for Haiti

### THE RIGHTS OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES

### LUIS FERNANDO ASTORGA GATJENS

Analysis of Article 33 of the UN Convention: The Critical Importance of National Implementation and Monitoring

#### LETÍCIA DE CAMPOS VELHO MARTEI

Reasonable Accommodation: The New Concept from an Inclusive Constitutio nal Perspective

#### MARTA SCHAAF

Negotiating Sexuality in the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities

### TOBIAS PIETER VAN REENEN AND HELÉNE COMBRINCK

The UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities in Africa: Progress after 5 Years

### STELLA C. REICHER

Human Diversity and Asymmetries: A Reinterpretation of the Social Contract under the Capabilities Approach

### PETER LUCAS

The Open Door: Five Foundational Films That Seeded the Representation of Human Rights for Persons with Disabilities

### LUIS GALLEGOS CHIRIBOGA Interview with Luis Gallegos Chiriboga, President (2002-2005) of the Ad Hoc Committee that Drew Up the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities

### **SUR 15,** v. 8, n. 15, Dec. 2011

### ZIBA MIR-HOSSEINI

Criminalising Sexuality: *Zina* Laws as Violence Against Women in Muslim Contexts

LEANDRO MARTINS ZANITELLI Corporations and Human Rights: The Debate Between Voluntarists and Obligationists and the Undermining Effect of Sanctions

INTERVIEW WITH DENISE DORA Former Ford Foundation's Human Rights Officer in Brazil (2000-2011)

### **IMPLEMENTATION AT THE** NATIONAL LEVEL OF THE **DECISIONS OF THE REGIONAL AND** INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS SVSTFMS

MARIA ISSAEVA, IRINA SERGEEVA AND MARIA SUCHKOVA

Enforcement of the Judgments of the European Court of Human Rights in Russia: Recent Developments and Current Challenges

CÁSSIA MARIA ROSATO AND LUDMILA CERQUEIRA CORREIA

The Damião Ximenes Lopes Case: Changes and Challenges Following the First Ruling Against Brazil in the Inter-American Court of Human Rights

DAMIÁN A. GONZÁLEZ-SALZBERG

The Implementation of Decisions from the Inter-American Court of Human Rights in Argentina: An Analysis of the Jurisprudential Swings of the Supreme Court

MARCIA NINA BERNARDES

Inter-American Human Rights System as a Transnational Public Sphere: Legal and Political Aspects of the Implementation of International Decisions

### SPECIAL ISSUE: CONECTAS HUMAN **RIGHTS - 10 YEARS**

The Making of an International Organization from/in the South

**SUR 16,** v. 9, n. 16, Jun. 2012

PATRICIO GALELLA AND CARLOS **ESPÓSITO** 

Extraordinary Renditions in the Fight Against Terrorism. Forced Disappearences?

BRIDGET CONLEY-ZILKIC A Challenge to Those Working in the Field of Genocide Prevention and

MARTA RODRIGUEZ DE ASSIS MACHADO, JOSÉ RODRIGO RODRIGUEZ, FLAVIO MARQUES PROL, GABRIELA JUSTINO DA SILVA, MARINA ZANATA GANZAROLLI AND RENATA DO

VALE ELIAS

Law Enforcement at Issue: Constitutionality of Maria da Penha Law in Brazilian Courts

SIMON M. WELDEHAIMANOT The ACHPR in the Case of Southern Cameroons

ANDRÉ LUIZ SICILIANO

The Role of the Universalization of Human Rights and Migration in the Formation of a New Global Governance

#### CITIZEN SECURITY AND HUMAN RIGHTS

GINO COSTA

Citizen Security and Transnational Organized Crime in the Americas: Current Situation and Challenges in the Inter-American Arena

MANUEL TUFRÓ

Civic Participation, Democratic Security and Conflict Between Political Cultures. First Notes on an Experiment in the City of Buenos Aires

The Current Agenda of Security and Human Rights in Argentina. An Analysis by the Center for Legal and Social Studies (CELS)

PEDRO ABRAMOVAY Drug policy and The March of Folly

Views on the Special Police Units for Neighborhood Pacification (UPPs) in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Rafael Dias - Global Justice Researcher

José Marcelo Zacchi - Research Associate, Institute for Studies on Labor and Society — IETS

**SUR 17,** v. 9, n. 17, Dec. 2012

### DEVELOPMENT AND HUMAN RIGHTS

CÉSAR RODRÍGUEZ GARAVITO, JUANA KWEITEL AND LAURA TRAJBER WAISBICH

Development and Human Rights: Some Ideas on How to Restart the

IRENE BIGLINO, CHRISTOPHE GOLAY AND IVONA TRUSCAN The Contribution of the UN Special Procedures to the Human Rights and Development Dialogue

LUIS CARLOS BUOB CONCHA The Right to Water: Understanding its Economic, Social and Cultural Components as Development Factors for Indigenous Communities

ANDREA SCHETTINI

Toward a New Paradigm of Human Rights Protection for Indigenous Peoples: A Critical Analysis of the Parameters Established by the Inter-American Court of Human Rights

SERGES ALAIN DJOYOU KAMGA AND SIYAMBONGA HELEBA

Can Economic Growth Translate into Access to Rights? Challenges Faced by Institutions in South Africa in Ensuring that Growth Leads to Better Living Standards

INTERVIEW WITH SHELDON LEADER

Transnational Corporations and Human Rights

ALINE ALBUQUERQUE AND DABNEY EVANS

Right to Health in Brazil: A Study of the Treaty-Reporting System

LINDA DARKWA AND PHILIP ATTUQUAYEFIO

Killing to Protect? Land Guards, State Subordination and Human Rights in Ghana

CRISTINA RĂDOI

The Ineffective Response of International Organisations Concerning the Militarization of Women's Lives

CARLA DANTAS

Right of Petition by Individuals within the Global Human Rights Protection System

**SUR 18,** v. 10, n. 18, Jun. 2013

### INFORMATION AND HUMAN RIGHTS

SÉRGIO AMADELL DA SILVEIRA Aaron Swartz and the Battles for Freedom of Knowledge

ALBERTO J. CERDA SILVA Internet Freedom is not Enough: Towards an Internet Based on Human Rights

FERNANDA RIBEIRO ROSA Digital Inclusion as Public Policy: Disputes in the Human Rights Field

LAURA PAUTASSI

Monitoring Access to Information from the Perspective of Human Rights Indicators

JO-MARIE BURT AND CASEY CAGLEY

Access to Information, Access to Justice: The Challenges to Accountability in Peru

MARISA VIEGAS E SILVA The United Nations Human Rights Council: Six Years On

JÉRÉMIE GILBERT

Land Rights as Human Rights: The Case for a Specific Right to Land

PÉTALLA BRANDÃO TIMO

Development at the Cost of Violations: The Impact of Mega-Projects on Human Rights in Brazil

DANIEL W. LIANG WANG AND OCTAVIO LUIZ MOTTA FERRAZ

Reaching Out to the Needy? Access to Justice and Public Attorneys' Role in Right to Health Litigation in the City of São Paulo

**OBONYE JONAS** 

Human Rights, Extradition and the Death Penalty: Reflections on The Stand-Off Between Botswana and South Africa

ANTONIO MOREIRA MAUÉS

Supra-Legality of International Human Rights Treaties and Constitutional Interpretation

### **SUR 19,** v. 10, n. 19, Dec. 2013

### FOREIGN POLICY AND HUMAN RIGHTS

### DAVID PETRASEK

New Powers, New Approaches? Human Rights Diplomacy in the 21st Century

ADRIANA ERTHAL ABDENUR AND DANILO MARCONDES DE SOUZA NETO

Brazil's Development Cooperation with Africa: What Role for Democracy and Human Rights

### CARLOS CERDA DUEÑAS

Incorporating International Human Rights Standards in the Wake of the 2011 Reform of the Mexican Constitution: Progress and Limitations

### ELISA MARA COIMBRA

Inter-American System of Human Rights: Challenges to Compliance with the Court's Decisions in Brazil

### CONOR FOLEY

The Evolving Legitimacy of Humanitarian Interventions

#### DEISY VENTURA

Public Health and Brazilian Foreign Policy

### CAMILA LISSA ASANO

Foreign Policy and Human Rights in Emerging Countries: Insights Based on the Work of an Organization from the Global South

INTERVIEW WITH MAJA DARUWALA (CHRI) AND SUSAN WILDING (CIVICUS)

Emerging Democracies' Foreign Policy: What Place for Human Rights? A Look at India and South Africa

### DAVID KINLEY

Finding Freedom in China: Human Rights in the Political Economy

### LAURA BETANCUR RESTREPO

The Promotion and Protection of Human Rights through Legal Clinics and their Relationships with Social Movements: Achievements and Challenges in the Case of Conscientious Objection to Compulsory Military Service in Colombia

### ALEXANDRA LOPES DA COSTA

Modern-Day Inquisition: A Report on Criminal Persecution, Exposure of Intimacy and Violation of Rights in Brazil

### ANA CRISTINA GONZÁLEZ VÉLEZ AND VIVIANA BOHÓRQUEZ MONSALVE

Case Study on Colombia: Judicial Standards on Abortion to Advance the Agenda of the Cairo Programme of Action