

international journal on human rights

19

v. 10 • n. 19 • Dec. 2013

English Edition

FOREIGN POLICY AND HUMAN RIGHTS

- **David Petrasek**
 - New Powers, New Approaches? Human Rights Diplomacy in the 21st Century
- Adriana Erthal Abdenur and Danilo Marcondes de Souza Neto Brazil's Development Cooperation with Africa: What Role for Democracy and Human Rights
- Carlos Cerda Dueñas

Incorporating International Human Rights Standards in the Wake of the 2011 Reform of the Mexican Constitution: Progress and Limitations

Elisa Mara Coimbra

Inter-American System of Human Rights: Challenges to Compliance with the Court's Decisions in Brazil

Conor FoleyThe Evolving Legitimacy of Humanitarian Interventions

Deisy Ventura Public Health and Brazilian Foreign Policy

Camila Lissa Asano

Foreign Policy and Human Rights in Emerging Countries:
Insights Based on the Work of an Organization from the Global South

Interview with Maja Daruwala (CHRI)

and Susan Wilding (CIVICUS)
Emerging Democracies' Foreign Policy: What Place for Human Rights?
A Look at India and South Africa

David Kinley

Finding Freedom in China: Human Rights in the Political Economy

Laura Betancur Restrepo

The Promotion and Protection of Human Rights through Legal Clinics and their Relationships with Social Movements: Achievements and Challenges in the Case of Conscientious Objection to Compulsory Military Service in Colombia

Alexandra Lopes da Costa Modern-Day Inquisition: A Report on Criminal Persecution, Exposure of Intimacy and Violation of Rights in Brazil

Ana Cristina González Vélez and Viviana Bohórquez Monsalve Case Study on Colombia: Judicial Standards on Abortion to Advance the Agenda of the Cairo Programme of Action



EDITORIAL BOARD

Christof Heyns University of Pretoria (South Africa)
Emilio García Méndez University of Buenos Aires (Argentina)

Fifi Benaboud North-South Centre of the Council of Europe
(Portugal)

(Fortuge

 $\textbf{Fiona Macaulay} \ \text{Bradford University (United Kingdom)}$

Flávia Piovesan Pontifical Catholic University of São Paulo (Brazil)

J. Paul Martin Columbia University (United States)

Kwame Karikari University of Ghana (Ghana)

Mustapha Kamel Al-Sayyid Cairo University (Egypt)

Roberto Garretón Former-UN Officer of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (Chile)

Upendra Baxi University of Warwick (United Kingdom)

EDITORS

Pedro Paulo Poppovic Oscar Vilhena Vieira

EXECUTIVE BOARD

Maria Brant - Executive Editor
Albertina de Oliveira Costa
Conrado Hubner Mendes
Glenda Mezarobba
Hélio Batista Barboza
Juana Kweitel
Laura Waisbich
Lucia Nader

EDITING

Luz González Ana Godoy

REVISION OF TRANSLATIONS

Carolina Fairstein (Spanish)
Ana Godoy (Portuguese)
The Bernard and Audre Rapoport
Center for Human Rights and Justice,
University of Texas, Austin (English)
Pedro Paulo Poppovic (Portuguese and English)

GRAPHIC DESIGN

Oz Design

ART EDITING Alex Furini

CIRCULATION

Luz González

PRINTING

Prol Editora Gráfica Ltda.

ADVISORY BOARD

Alejandro M. Garro Columbia University (United States)

Bernardo Sorj Federal University of Rio de Janeiro / Edelstein Center (Brazil)

Bertrand Badie Sciences-Po (France)

Cosmas Gitta UNDP (United States)

Daniel Mato CONICET / National University of Tres de Febrero (Argentina)

Daniela Ikawa International Network on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights / Columbia University (United States)

Ellen Chapnick Columbia University (United States)

Ernesto Garzon Valdes University of Mainz (Germany)

Fateh Azzam Arab Human Rights Fund (Lebanon)

Guy Haarscher Université Libre de Bruxelles (Belgium)

Jeremy Sarkin University of the Western Cape (South Africa)

João Batista Costa Saraiva Regional Jurisdiction for Children and Adolescents of Santo Ângelo/RS (Brazil)

José Reinaldo de Lima Lopes University of São Paulo (Brazil)

Juan Amaya Castro University for Peace (Costa Rica)/VU University Amsterdam (Netherlands)

Lucia Dammert Global Consortium on Security Transformation

Luigi Ferrajoli University of Rome (Italy)

Luiz Eduardo Wanderley Pontifical Catholic University of São Paulo (Brazil)

Malak El-Chichini Poppovic Conectas Human Rights (Brazil)

Maria Filomena Gregori University of Campinas (Brazil)

Maria Hermínia Tavares de Almeida University of São Paulo

Maria Herminia Tavares de Almeida University of São Paulo (Brazil)

Miguel Cillero University Diego Portales (Chile)

Mudar Kassis Birzeit University (Palestine)

Paul Chevigny New York University (United States)

Philip Alston New York University (United States)

Roberto Cuéllar M. Inter-American Institute of Human Rights (Costa Rica)

Roger Raupp Rios Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul

(Brazil)

Shepard Forman New York University (United States)

 $\textbf{Victor Abramovich} \ \ \textbf{University of Buenos Aires (UBA)}$

Victor Topanou National University of Benin (Benin)

Vinodh Jaichand Irish Centre for Human Rights, National

University of Ireland (Ireland)

SUR - International Journal On Human Rights is a biannual journal published in English, Portuguese and Spanish by Conectas Human Rights. It is available on the Internet at http://www.surjournal.org

SUR is covered by the following abstracting and indexing services: IBSS (International Bibliography of the Social Sciences); ISN Zurich (International Relations and Security Network); DOAJ (Directory of Open Access Journals) and SSRN (Social Science Research Network). In addition, SUR is also available at the following commercial databases: EBSCO, HEINonline, ProQuest and Scopus. SUR has been rated A1 and B1, in Colombia and in Brazil (Qualis), respectively.

SUR. Revista Internacional de Direitos Humanos / Sur – Rede Universitária de Direitos Humanos – v.1, n.1, jan.2004 – São Paulo, 2004 - .

Semestral

ISSN 1806-6445

Edições em Inglês, Português e Espanhol.

1. Direitos Humanos 2. ONU I. Rede Universitária de Direitos Humanos

CONTENTS

FOREIGN POLICY AND HUMAN RIGHTS

DAVID PETRASEK	7	New Powers, New Approaches? Human Rights Diplomacy in the 21st Century
ADRIANA ERTHAL ABDENUR AND DANILO MARCONDES DE SOUZA NETO	17	Brazil's Development Cooperation with Africa: What Role for Democracy and Human Rights?
CARLOS CERDA DUEÑAS	37	Incorporating International Human Rights Standards in the Wake of the 2011 Reform of the Mexican Constitution: Progress and Limitations
ELISA MARA COIMBRA	57	Inter-American System of Human Rights: Challenges to Compliance with the Court's Decisions in Brazil
CONOR FOLEY	75	The Evolving Legitimacy of Humanitarian Interventions
DEISY VENTURA	95	Public Health and Brazilian Foreign Policy
CAMILA LISSA ASANO	115	Foreign Policy and Human Rights in Emerging Countries: Insights Based on the Work of an Organization from the Global South
CAMILA LISSA ASANO AND LAURA TRAJBER WAISBICH (CONECTAS HUMAN RIGHTS)	135	Interview with Maja Daruwala (CHRI) and Susan Wilding (CIVICUS) Emerging Democracies' Foreign Policy: What Place for Human Rights? A Look at India and South Africa
DAVID KINLEY	143	Finding Freedom in China: Human Rights in the Political Economy
LAURA BETANCUR RESTREPO	157	The Promotion and Protection of Human Rights through Legal Clinics and their Relationships with Social Movements: Achievements and Challenges in the Case of Conscientious Objection to Compulsory Military Service in Colombia
ALEXANDRA LOPES DA COSTA	175	Modern-Day Inquisition: A Report on Criminal Persecution, Exposure of Intimacy and Violation of Rights in Brazil
RISTINA GONZÁLEZ VÉLEZ AND IVIANA BOHÓRQUEZ MONSALVE	193	Case Study on Colombia: Judicial Standards on Abortion to Advance the Agenda of the Cairo Programme of Action

Foreign Policy and Human Rights

The fields of human rights and foreign policy have coincided with increasing frequency in recent years. The convergence of these areas, however, has not been widely explored in academic circles of the Global South, and is often considered secondary by activists working at the national level. This issue of SUR, prepared in partnership with Asian Forum for Human Rights and Development, CIVICUS: Worldwide Alliance for Citizen Participation and Commonwealth Human Rights Initiative, proposes, on the one hand, to raise awareness about the different interfaces and interactions between the international activities of countries and the national protection of human rights, and, on the other, to examine contemporary international dynamics such as the emergence of a multipolar world and its impact on the global protection of human rights.

The thematic group of articles addresses the changes in the international system — primarily the more prominent role played by so-called emerging powers (Brazil, South Africa, India and China, among others) — and their impact on the global protection of human rights.

Reviewing the foreign policy of these countries and their impact on human rights includes, for example, analyzing their increased commitment to and engagement with regional and international human rights protection mechanisms. With respect to this point, the potential role of emerging powers in the field of human rights is examined by David Petrasek in New Powers, New Approaches? Human Rights Diplomacy in the 21st Century. In his article, Petrasek argues that, despite the reluctance of these new powers to adopt "traditional" tactics such as naming and shaming and the imposition of conditionalities in their bilateral relations, these countries play an important role in the international protection of human rights through standard-setting on specific human rights issues in multilateral forums.

In Foreign Policy and Human Rights in Emerging Countries: Insights Based on the Work of an Organization from the Global South, Camila Asano, coordinator of Foreign Policy and Human Rights at Conectas, examines the role of emerging countries,

with a focus on Brazil, in international and multilateral bodies. Based on the experience of Conectas, the article provides insights for other civil society organizations wishing to engage with the formulators and implementers of foreign policy to promote policies that are more respectful of human rights. SUR 19 also features a joint interview with Maja Daruwala, of the Commonwealth Human Rights Initiative (India),and Susan Wilding, of CIVICUS World Alliance for Citizen Participation (South Africa), two additional organizations that monitor how their countries' activities aborad are affecting human rights. Both for Asano and for Daruwala and Wilding, the international performance of their countries leaves a lot to be desired in terms of consistency.

A subgroup of articles analyzes, more specifically, two topics of Brazilian foreign policy; health and international development cooperation. In Public Health and Brazilian Foreign Policy, Deisy Ventura addresses Brazilian diplomacy in the field of health - at a regional and international level - and analyzes how the human rights topic has been included in this agenda. In the article, Ventura demonstrates the solidarity that underpins Brazilian health diplomacy, but also warns of the proliferation of cross-cutting contradictions - both internal and external - that weaken, in the current context, the prevalence of human rights and the very effectiveness of Brazilian health cooperation. In Brazil's Development Cooperation with Africa: What Role for Democracy and Human Rights?, Adriana Erthal Abdenur and Danilo Marcondes de Souza Neto revisit the role and presence of Brazil on the African continent, analyzing how and to what extent the "Brazilian model" of cooperation directly and indirectly impacts the dimensions of democracy and human rights on the African continent. The authors identify, despite the non-interventionist rhetoric of Brazilian foreign policy, a positive - albeit cautious - role of the country in its relationship with African nations. They point out, however, that Brazil could be a more active and decisive partner in the promotion of democracy and human rights on the continent.

This group also includes two articles on the national implementation of international norms, decisions and recommendations. These articles were

included with the aim of countering the normative analysis that usually underlies studies on this topic by including the political dimension that permeates the domestic incorporation of international instruments, given that, in the same one country, we find cases of active engagement, limited respect and even defiance of international norms. These dynamics interest us, since they have a considerable impact on the scope that victim protection systems will have in each specific context.

In this context, in **Incorporating International** Human Rights Standards in the Wake of the 2011 Reform of the Mexican Constitution: Progress and Limitations, Carlos Cerda Dueñas examines how the 2011 constitutional reform in Mexico established respect for human rights as a guiding principle of the country's foreign policy and what the impact of this has been on the incorporation of international norms by the country. Elisa Mara Coimbra, meanwhile, discusses the relationship between Brazil and the Inter-American System of Human Rights. In Inter-American System of Human Rights: Challenges to Compliance with the Court's Decisions in Brazil, the author comments on the implementation status of the decisions in five cases in which Brazil was condemned by the regional system.

Despite the variety of issues present in this edition, we should briefly mention the major research topics and agendas that emerged during the conception and production of this issue of SUR and that, for practical reasons, have not been fully addressed here. Prominent among them are, for example, the dynamics of transparency, accountability and citizen participation in foreign policy, and comparative studies of foreign policies of two or more countries from the Global South. As expected, and fortunately, the debate does not end with this issue, and SUR remains committed to continuing this dialogue.

Non-thematic articles

This issue of SUR includes four articles in addition to the dossier. The first, Finding Freedom in China: Human Rights in the Political Economy, written by David Kinley, addresses human rights in China from an economic policy perspective, proposing new ways of viewing the relationship between the Chinese

economic model and the realization of fundamental freedoms in the country.

Laura Betancur Restrepo, in The Promotion and Protection of Human Rights through Legal Clinics and their Relationships with Social Movements: Achievements and Challenges in the Case of Conscientious Objection to Compulsory Military Service in Colombia, presents an analysis of the work of the Constitutional Court of Colombia on the subject of conscientious objection in the specific case of mandatory military service. Based on discourse analysis, the author attempts to comprehend the legal translation of social demands and its direct and indirect impacts for social movements.

Finally, the issue contains two articles that tackle the issue of sexual and reproductive rights. The first, Modern-day inquisition: A Report on Criminal Persecution, Exposure of Intimacy and Violation of Rights, written by Alexandra Lopes da Costa, discusses the implications of the ban on abortion in Brazil, in a quasi-journalistic account of a case that occurred in the state of Mato Grosso do Sul.

The second, Case Study on Colombia: Judicial Standards on Abortion to Advance the Agenda of the Cairo Programme of Action, by Ana Cristina González Vélez and Viviana Bohórquez Monsalve, examines how Colombia and, more broadly, Latin America, have advanced in the implementation of the Cairo Programme of Action, which addresses access to abortion and the protection of other reproductive rights.

Finally, we would like to emphasize that this issue of the Sur Journal was made possible by the support of the Carlos Chagas Foundation (FCC). Conectas Human Rights is grateful for the collaboration of the partner organizations throughout the production of the thematic section of this issue. We also thank Amado Luiz Cervo, Bridget Conley-Zilkic, Celia Almeida, Daniela Riva Knauth, Deisy Ventura, Eduardo Pannunzio, Eloisa Machado de Almeida, Fernando Sciré, Gabriela Costa Chaves, Gilberto Marcos Antonio Rodrigues, Gonzalo Berrón, Guilherme Stolle Paixão e Casarões, Katia Taela, Jefferson Nascimento, Louis N. Brickford, Márcia Nina Bernardes, Renan Honório Quinalha, Renata Avelar Giannini, Salvador Tinajero Esquivel and Thomas Kellogg for reviewing the articles published in this issue.



ELISA MARA COIMBRA

Elisa Mara Coimbra is an attorney for the Brazilian National Fund for Research and Projects (FINEP) currently undertaking a Masters in Constitutional Law and Theory of the State at PUC-Rio, Brazilian Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) grantee.

Email: elisacoimbra775@yahoo.com.br

ABSTRACT

The study analyzes compliance with legal decisions made by the Inter-American Human Rights System (IAHRS), particularly those of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights (Court) in Brazil. In light of prior findings of generalized shortcomings in the execution of Court sentences, sentences against the Brazilian State are considered and, through comparative analysis, the internal institutional process of sentence implementation is evaluated. In this undertaking, the difficulties of classic Law are problematic in attending to expectations of legal efficacy in the context of plural norm implementation and production.

Original in Portuguese. Translated by Murphy McMahon.

KEYWORDS

Compliance – Sentences – Inter-American Court of Human Rights – Brazil



This paper is published under the *creative commons* license.

This paper is available in digital format at <www.surjournal.org>.

INTER-AMERICAN SYSTEM OF HUMAN RIGHTS: CHALLENGES TO COMPLIANCE WITH THE COURT'S DECISIONS IN BRAZIL*

Elisa Mara Coimbra**

1 Introduction

The reciprocal interactions between the Inter-American Human Rights System (IAHRS) and the National Legal System, more than a promise, currently constitute a reality, which must, however, be perfected. With the growing profusion of legal norms and modification of classic legal structures, human rights represent an effort toward a "truly common law" (DELMAS-MARTY, 2004), whose purpose is not to compromise the cultural and legal identity of each State, nor empty them entirely of sovereignty, since important global actors are involved. On the contrary, a "truly common law" responds to the need to coordinate regulation imposed by globalization, safeguarding pluralism and prioritizing the protective character of human rights in raising the visibility of groups marginalized by national structures. Thus, "the IAHRS offers institutional bases for the construction of a transnational public sphere¹ that can contribute to the broadening of Brazilian democracy" (BERNARDES, 2011, p. 137).

In this way, the improvement of mechanisms for complying with decisions of the IAHRS corresponds to a movement at the heart of the formal structures of the State, to make viable public policies affecting the most vulnerable groups, oftentimes invisible on the internal plane, whoever they are. "Subjects that haven't found

^{*}This article was produced with the support of the second edition of the Program for Academic Production on Human Rights Incentive, in the first semester of 2013, in partnership between Conectas Human Rights and the Carlos Chagas Foundation. More information available at: http://www.conectas.org/pt/acoes/sur/noticia/conectas-e-fundacao-carlos-chagas-divulgam-selecionados-para-o-programa-de-incentivo-a-producao-academica-em-direitos-humanos. Last accessed in: August, 2013.

^{**}Thanks to professor Márcia Nina Bernandes, for having stimulated my interest in the subject, to professor Deisy Ventura, for her suggestions, and also to the Conectas / Carlos Chagas Foundation program and the CNPq for the incentive.

space in the national political agenda can be highlighted in these transnational spaces and, afterwards, included in the domestic political debate within a new configuration of power" (BERNARDES, 2011, p. 137). It is the boomerang method of influence, in which, for a national political objective to be met, it may be necessary, when opportunities are blocked in the national sphere, to launch mobilizations in international spheres which can apply pressure to national States (KECK et al., 1998, p. 12).

The enforcement of the decisions of the IAHRS, however, represents a challenge. Two important quantitative studies can be cited on the effectiveness of the IAHRS (BASH et al., 2010; GONZÁLEZ-SALZBERG, 2010). The first includes in its analysis the enforcement of decisions by both the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (IACHR) as well as the Court, while the second focuses on just compliance with Court decisions, especially on refining (or improving) national mechanisms for implementing decisions, mainly in the current context of IAHRS reform discussions.²

With that in mind, the aim of this text is to investigate future impediments to the execution or implementation of decisions, by way of a comparative analysis of five cases related to Brazil heard by the Court, identifying, afterwards, potential institutional partnerships capable of effectively implementing them. Therefore, a documentary analysis of the Court's judgments of merit and eventual supervisions of the corresponding sentences was realized.

To have an adequate understanding of the problem, the work is divided in two moments. In the first, an initial discussion is presented about the needs and tendencies of a globalized, modern law — which, if not observed, will hamper interaction between internal law and the IAHRS even more. Based on articles 68.1 and 68.2 of the Pact of San José, Costa Rica, it presupposes that in some situations or circumstances, certain agents will be better situated for decision-making than others. In this discussion, national States would be better situated than an international judge to determine enforcement mechanisms for a ruling, in this case, of the Court. In the second moment the question is this: how to apply this criterion so that it bolsters the implementation of IAHRS rulings, considering recent legal trends? To that end, two cases are comparatively described, in an attempt to identify possible difficulties for enforcement and, finally, with this in mind, Law 4.667-C of 2004, which went through the Congress and currently sits in the Senate, under the number 170 of 2011, is evaluated.

2 National and international jurisdictions

In her work *Pour un Droit Commun* or *Towards a Truly Common Law*, Mireille Delmas-Marty (2004) defends the necessity of overcoming classic Law, or non-globalized state law, based on the premise of singularity and hierarchical organization. This need is due to alterations to the global environment caused by globalization, which shortened time and space, introducing a reality incompatible with an orthodox notion of the Nation-State, the mode of organization that is key to classic Law. In this sense, the Nation-State was no longer self-sufficient in

solving traditional state problems, such as environmental and economic issues, which led, according to the author, to a "denationalised" space, where supranational organisms and civil society act, and a "destabilised time", in which permanent and temporary sources co-exist simultaneously (in contrast to past codes, whose main claim was stability). These two factors derailed the classic Kelsian pyramidal legal organization. Thus discussions on prevalence, whether of international law over internal law (monist theories), or internal law over international law (dualist theories) came to be outdated.

How then would a new legal organization be structured? It is a question later developed by Delmas-Marty (2012) in the text *Résister*, *responsabiliser*, *anteciper*. According to the author, the legal fragmentation resulting from the globalisation process would demand an interactive effort, whether vertical (national and international system) or horizontal (Penal Law, Constitutional Law, among others), increasingly accentuated and complex, in order to minimally guarantee normative coherence. The proposal is to consider human rights as politico-democratic tools in the globalization process, capable of re-balancing the forces among States, through identification of contradictions, in terms of these rights, in the performance of States (economic and social rights, environmental and development rights, among others), leading to the attribution of responsibility and the anticipation of risks inherent to the process.

Herein lies the challenge of co-existence among distinct legal systems that are relatively autonomous, that is, not based on any classic hierarchical pyramidal structure, undertaking a partnership that is not always harmonious, but necessary to strengthen democratic guarantees, until recently non-existent and ineffective in the history of Latin America. That is the case of the relationship observed between the IAHRS and the internal legal order.

The IAHRS is composed of the IACHR and the Court, specialized organs linked to the Organization of American States (OAS). This is a regional system that follows an inter-state logic. The IACHR originated from a resolution, not a treaty: Resolution VIII of the 5th Meeting of Consultation of Ministers, approved in Santiago in 1959, despite only later gaining conventional status. The Court, on the other hand, grew out of a signed international treaty in 1969 – the American Convention on Human Rights, or "Pact of San Jose, Costa Rica" - which went into effect in 1978, when the eleventh instrument of ratification was enacted. Despite their different constitutional trajectories, both organs enjoy autonomy in relation to national legal systems. And despite the States having been responsible for their creation, it is they who, in the majority of cases, are the promoters and the violators of human rights condemned by these organs. On the one hand, they are promoters because they ratify human rights protection treaties and take responsibility for the enforcement of IAHRS decisions. On the other hand, they are violators because convictions for human rights violations fall on them. This is not, therefore, a discussion of the prevalence of one system over the other, but rather of complimentary structures that, on their own, have not made effective the basic rights for a democratic society.

The creation of the IAHRS coincides with an authoritarian era in Latin

American history, representing a contradictory initiative in the midst of repeated human rights violations in the national scope. As these contradictions were not only identified but became banner issues for social movements, the closure of national and regional spheres were challenged, bringing into question the notion of margin, based on article 68.1 of the Pact of San José, Costa Rica. That is, the criteria through which an exclusive space for action is attributed to individuals who are members of a state, fomenting a process of co-determination. Co-determination is understood as the process of consolidating the normative content of the sentence through the participation of individuals, who collaborate not only to identify the institutional mechanisms necessary to provide a full reparation, but also to evaluate the results reached through the reparation mechanisms, that is, whether the human rights violation was fully redressed.

The State and civil society thus presumably occupy a privileged place in the American Regional System, which means that the State must seek out an adequate institutional structure, without, however, refraining from or ignoring international interpretation.

Bearing this in mind, and with the objective of identifying existent institutional barriers, it is appropriate to analyze the cases taken to the Court against the Brazilian State.

3 Anamnesis of the five cases

The first Brazilian conviction issued by the Court came in a case known as Ximenes Lopes vs. Brasil. In October 2004, the IACHR submitted a complaint against the Federative Republic of Brazil to the Court, owing to alleged attacks by employees of the Guararapes Rest Home, a psychiatric clinic accredited by the Single Health System (SUS) in Sobral, Ceará, against Damião Ximenes Lopes, a mentally handicapped person, which resulted in his death. On July 4, 2006, a ruling was rendered, condemning Brazil for violations of Articles 1.1 (Obligation to Respect Rights) in relation to Article 4 (Right to Life), 5 (Right to Humane Treatment), 8 (Right to a Fair Trial) and 25 (Right to Judicial Protection) of the Inter-American Convention on Human Rights. Among other measures, the State should: a) guarantee, within a reasonable period, that the internal process to investigate and sanction those responsible for the facts of the case is duly accomplished; b) publish parts of the sentence in the Official Gazette or another amply circulated publication; c) develop a training and competency program for medical personnel, in psychiatry and psychology, nursing and other areas, especially with regard to the principles that should guide the treatment of persons with mental deficiency, according to international standards on the matter and those referenced in the sentence; d) pay indemnities to the injured parties. On May 2, 2008, as part of the supervisory procedure stemming from the sentence, the Court released a sentence, declaring the publication and indemnity measures to be fulfilled, and all others, unfulfilled.

On September 21, also during the supervisory procedure, but in a different resolution, the remaining measures continued to be declared unfulfilled. Finally,

in the last resolution, dated May 17, 2010, the Court decided to maintain the supervisory procedure in relation to the two aspects of the sentence still considered unfulfilled.

The second case, known as *Nogueira de Carvalho e outros vs. Brasil*, resulted from the submission, in January, 2005, of a complaint from Jaurídice Nogueira de Carvalho and Geraldo Cruz de Carvalho about a supposed lack of diligence in the investigation and sanction of those responsible for the death of Francisco Nogueira de Carvalho, a lawyer and human rights defender who dedicated himself to exposing the crimes of a supposed extermination group composed of off-duty police officers in Rio Grande do Norte, known as the "golden boys." On November 28, 2006, the case was archived owing to insufficient factual evidence to demonstrate the alleged violations of the rights. For this reason, the case will not be compared to the rest.

The third case, Escher e outros vs. Brasil, was submitted by the IACHR to the Court on December 20, 2007, against the Federative Republic of Brazil, on behalf of members of several organizations including COANA (an agricultural cooperative) and ADECON (Community Association of Rural Workers), among them Arlei José Escher, Dalton Luciano de Vargas, Luciano Vargas and 32 others, for supposed illegal intercepts of telephone calls by these members. These actions would, in theory, violate Articles 1.1 (Obligation to Respect Rights) in relation to Article 11 (Right to Privacy), 16 (Freedom of Association), 8 (Right to a Fair Trial) and 25 (Right to Judicial Protection), in addition to violation of Article 28 (federal clause, not recognized by the sentence) of the American Convention on Human Rights. On November 20, 2009, the sentence was handed down. Among the adjudicatory mandates, the State was obliged to: a) investigate the facts that generated the violations in the present case; b) publish parts of the sentence in the Official Gazette or another widely circulated publication in the state of Paraná; c) pay indemnities to the aggrieved parties. In relation to the first item, there was a request for interpretation of the sentence, made by Brazil, in order to clarify the extent of the investigation of the facts. Furthermore, on May 17, 2010, in a supervisory procedure, there was a declaration of the absence of any error in the description of the sentence and how the State should publish it, and thus the State was required to fulfill its obligation in the mold prescribed by the court order. Thus, the publication of the sentence occurred in the newspaper O Globo on July 23, 2010, issue number 28.109.

On December 24, 2007, the IACHR submitted a complaint against the Federative Republic of Brazil to the Court on behalf of Iracema Cioato Garibaldi, widow of Sétimo Garibaldi, and their six children (*Garibaldi vs. Brasil*), for the failure to fulfill its obligation to investigate and sanction those responsible for the death of Sétimo Garibaldi on November 27, 1998. The event occurred as a result of an extrajudicial operation to remove families of landless workers who had been occupying a farm located in the town of Querência, in the north of Paraná, in violation of Articles 8 (Right to a Fair Trial) and 25 (Judicial Protection) of the American Convention on Human Rights. In this fourth case, on September 23, 2009, a ruling was delivered, which stipulated, among its adjudicatory measures,

that the Brazilian State must: a) publish parts of the sentence in the Official Gazette or a widely circulated publication in the state of Paraná; b) conduct, effectively and within a reasonable time period, an inquiry and any consequent legal process to identify, judge and, eventually, sanction those responsible for the death of Mr. Garibaldi; c) indemnify the aggrieved parties.

On February 22, 2011, during the supervisory process of the sentence, the obligation of reparations was declared fulfilled, while the duties to investigate and pay indemnities were declared unfulfilled. In the resolution of February 20, 2012, on the other hand, the obligation of indemnities was declared fulfilled, while the investigation, despite progress realized in its development, was not.

The final case, known as *Gomes Lund e outros* ("Guerrilha do Araguaia") vs. Brasil, involves the submission from the IACHR to the Court, against the Federative Republic of Brazil, on behalf of the families of those who disappeared during the Araguaia guerrilla conflict during the Brazilian dictatorship, due to supposed arbitrary detentions, torture and the forced disappearances of 70 people, among them, members of the Communist Party and local peasants, occurred on March 26, 2009. It alleged violations of Articles 1.1 (Obligation to Respect Rights), 2 (Domestic Legal Effects), 4 (Right to Life), 7 (Right to Personal Liberty), 8 (Right to a Fair Trial), 13 (Freedom of Thought and Expression) and 25 (Right to Judicial Protection) of the American Convention on Human Rights. On November 24, 2010, the verdict was delivered, condemning Brazil to: a) investigate the facts, judge and, if necessary, punish those responsible and to determine the whereabouts of the victims; b) take rehabilitation measures (medical and psychological assistance for the families of disappeared or executed victims), apology (publication of the verdict, public, international recognition of responsibility, institution of a special day and memorial for political activists who have disappeared in Brazil) and guarantees to avoid repeating the outcome (education in human rights for the Armed Forces; classification of the crime of forced disappearance; access to, systematization and publication of documents held by the State; creation of a truth commission); c) pay indemnities, costs and legal fees. Being the most recent of the cases, there still has not been a supervisory procedure following the verdict.

4 Possibilities for comparison

According to the González-Salzberg study (2011) previously mentioned, the difficulty of internal institutional coordination to guarantee that adjudicatory sentences are followed is not only a Brazilian problem, but generalized, since it is left to national States to choose how they will execute the decisions of the IAHRS. In fact, a comparative analysis of the cases reveals that in none of them was there complete compliance with the Court's decisions, though some measures were more commonly acted upon than others. The hypothesis of the current text is that current internal institutional coordination does not make the complete execution of Court sentences possible, by failing to consider the co-determination inherent in the implementation of the decision in the internal legal structure.

To begin with, consider the least problematic measures: those of indemnity and publication, since they are the most frequently enacted. Both are present in all cases, except *Nogueira de Carvalho e outros vs. Brasil* which, having been archived, did not result in a condemnation of the Brazilian State. In the *Ximenes Lopes vs. Brasil* case, the Court declared that the indemnity and publication measures had been met in its first supervisory procedure (Resolution 2 of May 2, 2008). In the *Garibaldi vs. Brasil* case, there was also a similar declaration. In the *Escher e outros vs. Brasil* case, despite the Court not having expressly proffered on the payment of indemnities, these were realized via order 7.158/10. Finally, in the *Gomes Lund e outros vs. Brasil* case, which also was not the target of an expressed declaration of enactment, there was payment of indemnities, even prior to the sentence, as materialized in Law 9.140/95, which was taken into account by the Court, which imposed only certain complements to these indemnities. As for publication of parts of the sentence, there has also been widespread implementation of the measures.

These two obligations commonly levied on condemned States, publication and indemnification must be executed directly by the Union; this is the aspect they share. In these cases, a more hierarchical internal institutional coordination is sufficient to guarantee that the measures are enacted, since they are of a more normatively dense degree. Therefore a more complex coordination involving participation of organs of distinct legal nature, as well as civil society, is not necessary for the definition of the content and scope of the obligation proffered in the sentence. This does not mean, however, that the State has a choice between a classic model and a model of relatively autonomous systems, since the latter better fits the possibilities afforded by contemporary conditions. Indemnification and publication measures are more often met because they do not depend on a more complex institutional structure.

Despite the obligation of publication not being difficult in relation to the institutional capacity to generate its enactment, the procedure can still be prone to disturbances. Imagine, then, when implementation of a measure is more complex and depends on joint efforts; the necessity of management grows in order to ensure the full reparation of the violation.

The measures of prevention of repeated instances of the violation, beyond publication, are the most complex to realize, both in terms of investigation and with regard to public policies. And the reason for this is that absence of any internal institutional mechanism capable of bolstering the normative content of what would be the full reparation of the human rights violation in each obligation imposed by the Court's sentence. The immediate consequence is the necessity of relativization of rigidly hierarchical structures, since they cannot be made flexible enough to allow for co-determination. The measures that require the formation of public policies, especially, depend, in order to be effective, on coordination between different organs, both in terms of their competencies and their organization and structure, which vary in accordance with the policy to be implemented.

The Ximenes Lopes case is illustrative in this respect, since it involves the right to health, provided in Article 23, Item II of the Federal Constitution, as a common

competency of federative entities. These duties demand the coordination of a range of institutions that often have never worked together and, when they associate, do so through cooperation agreements or accords, fragile mechanisms that complicate the process of determination of the reparation content imposed by the sentence.

Coordination through cooperation agreements or accords, despite the advantage of permitting institutional interaction without the need for complex legislative or administrative reforms, is based on the political will of the organ to participate, as well as acceptance of commitments, which can be insufficient to fulfil the determinations of the IAHRS. To execute the decision of the Court, the association of organs may be necessary, and not discretionary, as is the present status of Brazilian legal organization. Thus, guaranteeing this association is an internal challenge that complicates even the attribution of responsibility to each organ, in cases of non-compliance with the measures provided in the sentence. Despite referring to a measure related to the duty of investigation, in the scope of the sentence for the Gomes Lund e outros vs. Brasil case, the Inter-ministerial Decree number 1 MD/MJ/SDH-PR, from 5/5/2011, created in order to coordinate and execute the activities necessary to locate and systematize information and the identification of bodies of the deceased in the Araguaia guerrilla conflict, exemplifies the absence of institutionalized mechanisms capable of investigating the facts in a cooperative manner, since it had to demand political, discretionary action.

As for the duty to investigate, another measure meant to prevent repetition, one observes that in all cases, Brazil violated the legal guarantees and legal protection rights provided in the American Convention on Human Rights and, in all, did not wholly comply with the corresponding duty to investigate, which reveals structural gaps in the interaction among organs that, traditionally, in classic law, work together: police, public prosecutors and the judiciary. In this case, besides the problems relative to the absence of institutional paths responsible for the co-determination of the norm, emanating from the Court, problems theorized long ago emerge, such as the separation of powers, the impartiality of criminal prosecution, among others.

In the Ximenes Lopes case, the criminal suit, begun in February, 2000, is still inconclusive at the present time.³ In fact, this process highlights a peculiarity in relation to the other cases heard by the Court. It was brought to the IAHRS prior to exhausting all internal legal resources, contrary to Article 46.1 of the American Convention on Human Rights. Meanwhile, since this requirement of admissibility was not argued by Brazil at the opportune procedural moment, contradicting the alleged situation, the process followed its course until the pronouncement of the adjudicatory sentence, which demonstrates the technical and administrative lack of preparation in dealing with IAHRS issues. Despite this peculiarity, the fact is that Brazil was sentenced to investigate and sanction those responsible for the events, but still has not been able to meet this requirement. According to Irene Ximenes, Damião Ximenes Lopes' sister, who was responsible for taking the case to the IACHR, in accounts taken from the work of Nadine Borges (2009), it is possible to identify a series of irregular procedures in the investigations, which disrespected the rule of impartiality in favor of local political power:⁴

The chronological order of the facts recounted by Irene was impressive, and for this reason, when she spoke about the antics of the clinic owner to put off any legal decisions, Damião's sister had full conviction of what she was affirming. "In 2002 he began to sell everything he had, including an aquatic park, half of a mansion and other things." Irene's repulsion was so great in describing these facts. According to her lawyer, the judge in Sobral authorized the sale, expediting nine permits, even as the lawsuits against Mr. Sérgio were underway. At this point in the conversation, Irene explained that seven months passed before she was able to hire the first lawyer for the Damião case.

(BORGES, 2009, p. 36-37)

In the Escher e outros vs. Brasil and Garibaldi vs. Brasil cases, similar difficulties can be identified. In the first, the investigation of how the data obtained through illegal telephone intercepts was divulged on television news was never conclusive. Furthermore, state agents responsible for the intercepts never responded for their actions, despite their solicitation having originated with a military police officer without ties to the district of Loanda and who, therefore, was not presiding over criminal investigations of the supposed crimes of Landless Movement (MST) workers. In the Garibaldi case, the Court's sentence pointed to a series of failures and omissions in relation to Inquiry number 179/98, which disrupted the collection of facts and investigation of those responsible for the same: lack of indispensable prima facie witness testimony, absence of clarification of contradictions in the testimony, non-use of and omissions in relation to evidence, lost evidence, noncompliance with mandated diligence, error in the petition of Inquiry archival. Even with the re-opening of the Inquiry in 2009, in an attempt to meet the IACHR recommendations, the investigation of those responsible was not conclusive. Finally, the Gomes Lund e outros vs. Brasil case also points to the institutional difficulty of the State in investigating facts related to supposed infractions by State agents.

In all of these cases, the Court, attentive to the co-determination process, does not specify which particular institutional mechanisms should implement its decisions in Brazil. Now it is necessary to reflect on which mechanisms would be most efficient, and who should be the recipient of the decision, in order to build an institutional structure capable of honoring international commitments, through joint, coordinated action by various organs and branches.

There is yet another difficulty especially related to one of the potential recipients of Court decisions, the Brazilian judiciary, which ignores its role in executing Court decisions, to the negligence of international interpretations of the treaties. This reinforces the classic, hierarchical legal structure, impervious to current trends, especially by neglecting to systematically control the conventionality of all its decisions, that is, the verification of conformance of internal norms to international treaties that were ratified by the government and valid in the country. Understanding this reality, the Court dedicated numerous pages of its sentence in the *Gomes Lund* case to discussing the incongruity of the Amnesty Law in relation to the American Convention on Human Rights, despite the declaration of its constitutionality by the Federal Supreme Court (STF).

In this sense, thinking about an internal bureaucratic structure capable of

meeting these new necessities is extremely complex. Therefore later discussions study the current legal framework and the attempts to obtain greater efficiency in sentence execution promised by Law 4.667 of 2004.

5 Internal process

According to Article 21 of the Brazilian Federal Constitution, the Union is responsible for maintaining relations with foreign States and participating in international organizations. In this sense, the competent organs for the representation of the Brazilian State at the IAHRS, the elaboration of documents in response to solicitations by the IACHR or Court and for the initiation of compliance with IAHRS decisions, more specifically those of the Court, are composed of, in particular, the Foreign Ministry (MRE), the Attorney General of the Union (AGU) and the Secretariat of Human Rights (SDH), connected to the Presidency of the Republic since 1999, with Ministry status, according to the law and respective statutes 7.304/10, 7.392/10 and 7.256/10.

Despite the SDH being the competent organ to promote internal institutional coordination – according to statute 7.256/10, which establishes the competency to take initiative and support projects on human rights issues in national affairs – it has only meagre tools to institutionally coordinate all the bodies involved.

Firstly, the SDH cannot attribute responsibility to instances of government (both federal state and the legislative and judiciary branches) who might be the only competent bodies to meet the conditions laid out in Court sentences.

Furthermore, the diversity of eventual obligatory actions imposed by a Court decision hampers the elaboration of a list of prior procedures, demanding constant debate case by case, as broadly as possible, so that the sentence's adjudicatory measures are complied with.

The structure described above, highly hierarchical, works well for compliance with measures that do not depend on a gamut of recipients collaborating in the co-determination process in order to be effectively implemented. However, when full compliance of a particular IAHRS decision goes beyond the competency of any one of these organs, which occurs in the majority of cases, especially in terms of obligations requiring action, successive impasses are created.

In this context, it is necessary to look more closely at Law 4.667-C of 2004, the main initiative whose aim is to meet the continuing need for the Brazilian State to comply with Court sentences and uphold international commitments.

5.1 Law 4.667-C of 2004

Authored by Congressman José Eduardo Martins Cardozo, the normative proposal sought to provide for the legal effects of decisions by International Human Rights Protection Organizations, and represented, in truth, an attempt to rescue Law 3.214 of 2000 by then-Congressman Marcos Rolim, archived before it was voted on.

The project by Marcos Rolim basically sought to regulate the nature of executive legal actions against the Federal Treasury, relative to the indemnities

set by IAHRS decisions. José Eduardo Cardozo, in turn, repeated the tenor of the original bill, adding the possibility of the Union interposing regressive actions against any persons or corporations responsible for the offenses that might give rise to a condemnation by the Court.

It is noteworthy that neither bill mentions other modalities of obligations arising from condemnations against Brazil, especially obligations to prevent the repetition of offenses, which are predominately obligations involving "dos" and "don'ts." Due to this gap, congressman Orlando Fantazzini, the Human Rights and Minority Commission's rapporteur, proposed a global amendment-by-substitution, the result of debates with the legal community connected to human rights.

The amendment's main innovation refers to the creation of an organ to accompany the implementation of decisions and recommendations made by international human rights protection organizations (thus broadening the scope of application of the eventual law to go beyond just decisions of the IAHRS), containing inter-ministerial representation and civil society representation. Among the attributions provided was that of accompanying negotiations among the federal entities involved and the plaintiffs; that of management together with the organs of the judiciary, public prosecutor and police, to give greater agility to investigations and findings in cases being examined by international human rights protection organizations; and to oversee the proceedings of legal actions.

However, the novelty of the measure is not merely the creation of an organ to manage the implementation of decisions, since many attributions provided in the bill are already covered by the SDH. The innovation comes from the 5th Article of the amendment-by-substitution, which institutes the necessity of notification of the competent organ for the execution of the obligation mentioned in the adjudicatory decision, so that a plan of compliance is created with a preview of the actions and identification of the authorities responsible for its execution. This is because the identification of the competent organs can facilitate the future identification of those responsible for non-compliance with the international decision, even including attribution of penalties for those guilty of retrogressions. Meanwhile, there are doubts about the constitutionality of the proposal, since the initiative to create a new administrative structure should belong to the executive branch.

Another of the bill's innovations concerns the participation of civil society in the process of decision implementation and specification of necessary measures for compliance with the sentence, democratizing the co-determination space set in Article 68.1 of the Pact of San José, Costa Rica, since compliance with the Court's sentences and, consequently, compliance with human rights treaties, is associated with intense activity of non-governmental organizations (NGO). Cases where a person individually secured the protection of the IAHRS without legal assistance from these organizations are rare. Even the Ximenes Lopes case, in which there was more expressly individual action, because Irene Ximenes without assistance sent a petition to the IACHR, she later received support from the NGO Justiça Global (Global Justice). Still, as the Ximenes Lopes vs. Brasil case progressed, the NGO solicited its inclusion in the suit as co-plaintiff, which was

important for the success of the complaint and for the individual case to take on a collective aspect, mainly in relation to the condemnation of preventative measures. In all other cases, NGOs participated since the initial petition, creating the practice of strategic litigation, which seeks, through the "use of the judiciary and paradigmatic cases, to achieve social change," as theorized by Cardoso (2008 p. 366), since the non-profit sector relies on a privileged cognitive perspective, in relation to the bureaucracy, on the obstacles to the protection of human rights.

However, despite the bill having being approved unanimously by the Human Rights and Minorities Commission, it was rejected by the Constitution, Justice and Citizenship Commission, even with the immediate prior approval by the Foreign Relations and National Defense Commission, which blocked the execution of the draft. The justification for the rejection of the amendment-by-substitution was that it would harm the sovereignty of the country in contradiction with the Constitution, considering the inexistence of a provision on the necessity of the Brazilian State to recognize the purview of international organizations, which occurred in the original bill proposed by congressman Eduardo Cardozo.

There were still small alteration proposals presented to the Constitution, Justice and Citizenship Commission, approved and introduced into the bill. That way, the draft that entered the Senate was practically identical to the initial proposal, ignoring the proposals of then congressman Orlando Fantazzini:

THE NATIONAL CONGRESS decrees:

Ist Art. The decisions of International Human Rights Protection Organizations whose competency is recognized by the Brazilian State will produce immediate legal effects in the scope of the respective internal order.

2nd Art. It will be left to the federal entity responsible for the human rights violation to comply with the obligation of reparation for its victims.

Single paragraph. The avoid the non-compliance with the obligations of monetary character, it will be left to the Union to provide the due reparation, with the originating obligation remaining that of the violating entity.

3rd Art. The Union will assess regressive action against persons or corporations, public or private, responsible directly or indirectly for the acts that caused the decision of monetary character.

4th Art. This Law becomes valid on the date of its publication.

(BRASIL. Projeto de lei da Câmara dos Deputados n°4.667-D de 2004)

Thus, if the bill is approved on these terms, the central legislative measures to enable full compliance with IAHRS decisions would not be implemented, especially those related to making compliance with active obligations possible.

6 Conclusion

Studying the cases decided by the Court against the Brazilian State, it was possible, through comparative analysis, to evaluate the internal institutional process of compliance with these decisions. In this sense, reasons that justify the compliance, to a greater or lesser degree, with measures set in the Court sentence were sought, allowing for the identification of deficiencies in internal administrative organization.

First, the text pointed out the inexistence of an internal institutional path to consolidate the content of obligations imposed by Court sentences, which, currently, is necessary to obtain the relativisation of rigidly hierarchical structures, since these cannot be flexible enough to reach the co-determination norm expected by the Court. The effectiveness of measures that demand the formulation of public policies depends on coordination between organs that differ both in their competencies and their organization and structure, varying in accordance with the policy to be implemented – entity or federation, courts, legislature, state governments, among others.

Moreover, classic institutional difficulties still have not been resolved. Repeated non-compliance with obligations to investigate, present in all of the rulings analyzed, indicates an ineffective police and investigative apparatus, as well as a lagging judiciary and deficient training program for State human rights agents.

Also to be pointed out are the repeated omission of the judiciary in recognizing the binding character of decisions by the IAHRS, which hinders even more the formation of an institutional network capable of adequately complying with the adjudicatory measures laid out in the sentences. The *Gomes Lund* case is definitive evidence of this conclusion.

In this sense, it is noted that the same institutional collaborations that failed to block human rights violations now jeopardize compliance with Court decisions, mainly those of repetition prevention which denotes the necessity of institutional reforms, especially with the participation of civil society, holder of a privileged cognitive position, facilitating the choice of efficient public policies for compliance with Court decisions.

Regrettably, the congressional bill 4.667-D of 2004, if the draft sent to the Senate is approved, wastes the opportunity to take central legislative provisions, in the sense of enabling full compliance with IAHRS decisions, particularly related to making possible compliance with obligations to act.

REFERÊNCIAS

Bibliografia e outras fontes

- BASCH, Fernando. 2010. A eficácia do Sistema Interamericano de Proteção de Direitos Humanos: uma abordagem quantitativa sobre seu funcionamento e sobre o cumprimento de suas decisões. SUR, São Paulo, ano 7, n. 12, p. 9-35, Jun. Available at: http://www.surjournal.org/conteudos/getArtigo12.php?artigo=12,artigo_02.htm. Last accessed on: 23 Mar. 2013.
- BERNARDES, Márcia Nina. 2011. Sistema Interamericano de Direitos Humanos como esfera pública transnacional: aspectos jurídicos e políticos da implementação de decisões internacionais. SUR, São Paulo, ano 8, n. 15, p. 135-156, Dez. Disponível em: http://www.surjournal.org/conteudos/getArtigo15.php?artigo=15,artigo_07.htm. Último acesso em: 23 Mar. 2013.
- BORGES, Nadine. 2009. Damião Ximenes: primeira condenação do Brasil na Corte Interamericana de Direitos Humanos. 1. ed. Rio de Janeiro: Editora Revan.
- BRASIL. 1995. Lei 9.140 de 4 de dezembro de 1995. Reconhece como mortas pessoas desaparecidas em razão de participação, ou acusação de participação, em atividades políticas, no período de 2 de setembro de 1961 a 15 de agosto de 1979, e dá outras providências. Available at: http://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil_03/leis/L9140.htm. Last accessed on: 23 Mar. 2013.
- _____. 2004. Congresso. Câmara. Projeto de lei da Câmara dos Deputados n°4.667-D de 2004. Dispõe sobre os efeitos jurídicos das decisões dos Organismos Internacionais de Proteção aos Direitos Humanos e dá outras providências. Available at: http://www2.camara.leg.br/>. Last accessed on: 23 Mar. 2013.
- _____. 2010a. Decreto 7.158 de 20 de abril de 2010. Autoriza a Secretaria de Direitos Humanos da Presidência da República a dar cumprimento a sentença exarada pela Corte Interamericana de Direitos Humanos. Available at: http://www2.planalto.gov.br/. Last accessed on: 23 Mar. 2013.
- ______.2010b. Decretos 7.256 de 4 de agosto de 2010. Aprova a Estrutura Regimental e o Quadro Demonstrativo dos Cargos em Comissão e das Gratificações de Representação da Secretaria de Direitos Humanos da Presidência da República, dispõe sobre o remanejamento de cargos em comissão do Grupo-Direção e Assessoramento Superiores DAS, e dá outras providências. Available at: http://www2.planalto.gov.br/. Last accessed on: 23 Mar. 2013.
- .2010c. Decreto 7.304 22 de Setembro de 2010. Aprova a Estrutura Regimental e o Quadro Demonstrativo dos Cargos em Comissão e das Funções Gratificadas do Ministério das Relações Exteriores, e dá outras providências. Disponible en: http://www2.planalto.gov.br/. Last accessed on: 23 Mar. 2013.
- _____. 2010d. Decreto 7.392 13 de Dezembro de 2010. Aprova a Estrutura Regimental e o Quadro Demonstrativo dos Cargos em Comissão da Advocacia-Geral da União, aprova o Quadro Demonstrativo dos Cargos em Comissão da Procuradoria-Geral Federal e remaneja cargos em comissão para a Advocacia-Geral da União e para a Procuradoria-Geral Federal. Available at: http://www2.planalto.gov.br/. Last accessed on: 23 Mar. 2013.

- CANÇADO TRINDADE, A. A. 1991. A proteção internacional dos direitos humanos: fundamentos jurídicos e instrumentos básicos. São Paulo: Saraiva.
- _____. 1997. **Tratado de direito internacional dos direitos humanos**. Porto Alegre: Sergio Antonio Fabris Editor.
- CARDOSO, Evorah. 2008. Litígio estratégico e sistema interamericano de direitos humanos: análise de casos da Corte Interamericana. 2008. 191p. Dissertação (Mestrado em Direito) Departamento de Filosofia e Teoria Geral do Direito, Faculdade de Direito, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo.
- DELMAS-MARTY, Mireille. 2004. Por um direito comum. Trad. Maria Ermantina de Almeida Prado Galvão. São Paulo: Martins Fontes.
- _____. 2012. Résister, responsabiliser, anteciper: ou comment humaniser la modialisation. Paris: Seuil.
- FAÚNDEZ LEDESMA, Héctor. El Sistema Interamericano de Protección de los Derechos Humanos: aspectos institucionales y procesales. 3. ed. revisada e atualizada. San José: IIDH.
- GONZÁLEZ-SALZBERG, Damián A. 2011. A implementação das sentenças da Corte Interamericana de Direitos Humanos na Argentina: uma análise do vaivém jurisprudencial da corte suprema de Justiça da Nação. SUR, São Paulo, ano 8, n. 15, p. 115-133, Dez. Available at: http://www.surjournal.org/conteudos/getArtigo15. php?artigo=15,artigo_06.htm>. Last accessed on: 23 Mar. 2013.
- KECK, Margaret & SIKKINK, Kathryn. 1998. Activist Beyond Borders: Advocacy Networks in International Politics. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- KELSEN, Hans. 1986. **Teoria Geral das Normas**. Trad. José Florentino Duarte. Porto Alegre: Sérgio Antônio Fabris Editor.
- _____. 2006. **Teoria Pura do Direito**. Trad. João Baptista Machado. 7. ed. São Paulo: Martins Fontes.
- MIRANDA, Mariana Almeida Picanço de. 2009. Poder Judiciário brasileiro e a proteção dos direitos humanos: aplicabilidade e incorporação das decisões da Corte Interamericana de Direitos Humanos. 2009. 126p. Dissertação (Mestrado Profissional em Poder Judiciário) Fundação Getúlio Vargas, Rio de Janeiro.
- PASQUALUCCI, Jo M. 2003. The Practice and Procedure of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- PIOVESAN, Flávia. 1997. Direitos Humanos e Direito Constitucional Internacional. 3. ed. São Paulo: Max Limonad.
- _____. 2006. **Direitos Humanos e Justiça Internacional**: um estudo comparativo dos sistemas regionais europeu, interamericano e africano. São Paulo: Saraiva
- RAMOS, André de Carvalho. 2008. A execução das sentenças da Corte Interamericana de Direitos Humanos no Brasil. In: CASELLA, Paulo Borba; MEIRELLES, Elisabeth de Almeida; POLIDO, Fabricio B. Pasquot (Org.). **Direito Internacional, Humanismo e Globalidade** Guido Fernando Silva Soares Amicorum Discipulorum Liber. Rio de Janeiro: Renovar, p. 461-468.
- ROSATO, Cássia Maria; CORREIA, Ludmila Cerqueira. 2011. Caso Damião Ximenes Lopes: mudanças e desafios após a primeira condenação do Brasil pela

Corte Interamericana de Direitos Humanos. SUR, São Paulo, ano 8, n. 11, p. 93-113, Dez. Available at: http://www.surjournal.org/conteudos/getArtigo15. php?artigo=15,artigo_05.htm>. Last accessed on: 23 Mar. 2013.

Jurisprudence

de
il.

NOTES

- 1. The public sphere is understood as the "non-state loci for deliberation, where collective formation of will, justification of previously settled decisions and the forging of new identities are possible" (BERNARDES, 2011, p. 137).
- 2. The start of this process is associated with the creation of a Special Workgroup for the study of Inter-American Commission on Human Rights,
- during the General Assembly of San Salvador on June 29, 2011.
- 3. The penal action (suit number 2000.0172.9186-1/0) began in March of 2000.
- 4. The only clinic accredited by the SUS for treatment of persons with mental deficiencies belonged to a cousin of the mayor of the city of Sobral (BORGES, 2009, p. 25).

RESUMO

O objetivo do estudo é analisar o processo de cumprimento das decisões do Sistema Interamericano de Direitos Humanos (SIDH), particularmente as decisões da Corte Interamericana de Direitos Humanos (Corte IDH) no Brasil. Diante da constatação prévia da existência de *deficits* generalizados nas execuções das sentenças da Corte IDH, tomamse os casos sentenciados por ela em desfavor do Estado brasileiro e, a partir de análise comparativa, avalia-se o processo institucional interno de cumprimento das sentenças. Nessa empreitada, problematizam-se as dificuldades do Direito clássico em atender às expectativas de eficácia jurídica em um contexto de produção e implementação plurais da norma.

PALAVRAS-CHAVE

Cumprimento – Sentenças – Corte IDH – Brasil

RESUMEN

El objetivo del presente estudio es analizar el proceso de cumplimiento de las decisiones del Sistema Interamericano de Derechos Humanos (SIDH), particularmente las decisiones de la Corte Interamericana de Derechos Humanos (Corte IDH), en Brasil. Ante la previa constatación de la existencia de *déficits* generalizados en las ejecuciones de las sentencias de la Corte IDH, se abordan los casos en los que fuera emitida sentencia contra el Estado brasileño y, a partir del análisis comparativo de los mismos, se evalúa el proceso institucional interno para su cumplimiento. En este contexto, se problematizan las dificultades del derecho clásico para responder a las expectativas de eficacia jurídica, en un contexto de producción e implementación plurales de la norma.

PALABRAS CLAVE

Cumplimiento - Sentencias - Corte IDH - Brasil

SUR 1, v. 1, n. 1, Jun. 2004

EMILIO GARCÍA MÉNDEZ Origin, Concept and Future of Human Rights: Reflections for a New Agenda

FLAVIA PIOVESAN Social, Economic and Cultural Rights and Civil and Political Rights

OSCAR VILHENA VIEIRA AND A. SCOTT DUPREE
Reflections on Civil Society and Human Rights

JEREMY SARKIN

The Coming of Age of Claims for Reparations for Human Rights Abuses Committed in the South

VINODH JAICHAND Public Interest Litigation Strategies

for Advancing Human Rights in Domestic Systems of Law

PAUL CHEVIGNY Repression in the United States after the September 11 Attack

SERGIO VIEIRA DE MELLO Only Member States Can Make the UN WorkFive Questions for the Human Rights Field

SUR 2, v. 2, n. 2, Jun. 2005

SALIL SHETTY

Millennium Declaration and Development Goals: Opportunities for Human Rights

FATEH AZZAM

Reflections on Human Rights Approaches to Implementing the Millennium Development Goals

RICHARD PIERRE CLAUDE
The Right to Education and Human
Rights Education

JOSÉ REINALDO DE LIMA LOPES The Right to Recognition for Gays and Lesbians

E.S. NWAUCHE AND J.C. NWOBIKE Implementing the Right to Development

STEVEN FREELAND

Human Rights, the Environment and Conflict: Addressing Crimes against the Environment

FIONA MACAULAY

Civil Society-State Partnerships for the Promotion of Citizen Security in Brazil

EDWIN REKOSH

Who Defines the Public Interest?

VÍCTOR E. ABRAMOVICH Courses of Action in Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: Instruments and Allies

SUR 3, v. 2, n. 3, Dec. 2005

CAROLINE DOMMEN

Trade and Human Rights: Towards

CARLOS M. CORREA

TRIPS Agreement and Access to Drugs in Developing Countries

BERNARDO SORJ

Security, Human Security and Latin America

ALBERTO BOVINO

Evidential Issues before the Inter-American Court of Human Rights

NICO HORN

Eddie Mabo and Namibia: Land Reform and Pre-Colonial Land Rights

NLERUM S. OKOGBULE

Access to Justice and Human Rights Protection in Nigeria: Problems and Prospects

MARÍA JOSÉ GUEMBE Reopening of Trials for Crimes Committed by the Argentine Military Dictatorship

JOSÉ RICARDO CUNHA Human Rights and Justiciability: A Survey Conducted in Rio de Janeiro

LOUISE ARBOUR

Plan of Action Submitted by the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

SUR 4, v. 3, n. 4, Jun. 2006

FERNANDE RAINE

The measurement challenge in human rights

MARIO MELO

Recent advances in the justiciability of indigenous rights in the Inter American System of Human Rights

ISABELA FIGUEROA

Indigenous peoples versus oil companies: Constitutional control within resistance

ROBERT ARCHER

The strengths of different traditions: What can be gained and what might be lost by combining rights and development?

J. PAUL MARTIN

Development and rights revisited: Lessons from Africa

MICHELLE RATTON SANCHEZ Brief observations on the mechanisms for NGO participation in the WTO

JUSTICE C. NWOBIKE Pharmaceutical corporations and access to drugs in developing countries: The way forward CLÓVIS ROBERTO ZIMMERMANN Social programs from a human rights perspective: The case of the Lula administration's family grant in Brazil

CHRISTOF HEYNS, DAVID PADILLA AND LEO ZWAAK

A schematic comparison of regional human rights systems: An update

BOOK REVIEW

SUR 5, v. 3, n. 5, Dec. 2006

CARLOS VILLAN DURAN

Lights and shadows of the new United Nations Human Rights Council

PAULINA VEGA GONZÁLEZ

The role of victims in International Criminal Court proceedings: their rights and the first rulings of the Court

OSWALDO RUIZ CHIRIBOGA

The right to cultural identity of indigenous peoples and national minorities: a look from the Inter-American System

LYDIAH KEMUNTO BOSIRE

Overpromised, underdelivered:

transitional justice in Sub-Saharan

DEVIKA PRASAD

Strengthening democratic policing and accountability in the Commonwealth Pacific

IGNACIO CANO

Public security policies in Brazil: attempts to modernize and democratize versus the war on crime

TOM FARER

Toward an effective international legal order: from co-existence to concert?

BOOK REVIEW

SUR 6, v. 4, n. 6, Jun. 2007

UPENDRA BAXI

The Rule of Law in India

OSCAR VILHENA VIEIRA
Inequality and the subversion of the
Rule of Law

RODRIGO UPRIMNY YEPES

Judicialization of politics in Colombia: cases, merits and risks

LAURA C. PAUTASSI

Is there equality in inequality? Scope and limits of affirmative actions

GERT JONKER AND RIKA SWANZEN

Intermediary services for child witnesses testifying in South African criminal courts

SERGIO BRANCO

Brazilian copyright law and how it restricts the efficiency of the human right to education

THOMAS W. POGGE

Eradicating systemic poverty: brief for a Global Resources Dividend

SUR 7, v. 4, n. 7, Dec. 2007

LUCIA NADER

The role of NGOs in the UN Human Rights Council

CECÍLIA MACDOWELL SANTOS Transnational legal activism and the State: reflections on cases against Brazil in the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights

TRANSITIONAL JUSTICE

TARA IIRS

Imagining locally-motivated accountability for mass atrocities: voices from Cambodia

CECILY ROSE AND

FRANCIS M. SSEKANDI

The pursuit of transitional justice and African traditional values: a clash of civilizations — The case of Ilanda

RAMONA VIJEYARASA

Facing Australia's history: truth and reconciliation for the stolen generations

ELIZABETH SALMÓN G.

The long road in the fight against poverty and its promising encounter with human rights

INTERVIEW WITH JUAN MÉNDEZ By Glenda Mezarobba

SUR 8, v. 5, n. 8, Jun. 2008

MARTÍN ABREGÚ

Human rights for all: from the struggle against authoritarianism to the construction of an all-inclusive democracy - A view from the Southern Cone and Andean region

AMITA DHANDA

Constructing a new human rights lexicon: Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities

LAURA DAVIS MATTAR

Legal recognition of sexual rights – a comparative analysis with reproductive rights

JAMES L. CAVALLARO AND STEPHANIE ERIN BREWER The virtue of following: the role of Inter-American litigation in campaigns for social justice

RIGHT TO HEALTH AND ACCESS TO MEDICAMENTS

PAUL HUNT AND RAJAT KHOSLA The human right to medicines

THOMAS POGGE

Medicines for the world: boosting innovation without obstructing free access

JORGE CONTESSE AND DOMINGO

Access to medical treatment for people living with HIV/AIDS: success without victory in Chile

GABRIELA COSTA CHAVES, MARCELA FOGAÇA VIEIRA AND RENATA REIS

Access to medicines and intellectual property in Brazil: reflections and strategies of civil society

SUR 9, v. 5, n. 9, Dec. 2008

BARBORA BUKOVSKÁ

Perpetrating good: unintended consequences of international human rights advocacy

JEREMY SARKIN

Prisons in Africa: an evaluation from a human rights perspective

REBECCA SAUNDERS

Lost in translation: expressions of human suffering, the language of human rights, and the South African Truth and Reconciliation Commission

SIXTY YEARS OF THE UNIVERSAL DECLARATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS

PAULO SÉRGIO PINHEIRO Sixty years after the Universal Declaration: navigating the contradictions

FERNANDA DOZ COSTA

Poverty and human rights from rhetoric to legal obligations: a critical account of conceptual frameworks

EITAN FELNER

A new frontier in economic and social rights advocacy? Turning quantitative data into a tool for human rights accountability

KATHERINE SHORT

From Commission to Council: has the United Nations succeeded in creating a credible human rights body?

ANTHONY ROMERO

Interview with Anthony Romero, Executive Director of the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU)

SUR 10, v. 6, n. 10, Jun. 2009

ANUJ BHUWANIA

"Very wicked children": "Indian torture" and the Madras Torture Commission Report of 1855

DANIELA DE VITO, AISHA GILL AND DAMIEN SH-ORT

Rape characterised as genocide

CHRISTIAN COURTIS

Notes on the implementation by Latin American courts of the ILO Convention 169 on indigenous peoples

BENYAM D. MEZMUR

Intercountry adoption as a measure of last resort in Africa: Advancing the rights of a child rather than a right to a child

HUMAN RIGHTS OF PEOPLE ON THE MOVE: MIGRANTS AND REFUGEES

KATHARINE DERDERIAN AND LIESBETH SCHOCKAERT Responding to "mixed" migration

flows: A humanitarian perspective
JUAN CARLOS MURILLO

The legitimate security interests of the State and international refugee protection

MANUELA TRINDADE VIANA
International cooperation and
internal displacement in Colombia:
Facing the challenges of the largest
humanitarian crisis in South America

JOSEPH AMON AND KATHERINE

Access to antiretroviral treatment for migrant populations in the Global South

PABLO CERIANI CERNADAS European migration control in the African territory: The omission of the extraterritorial character of human rights obligations

SUR 11, v. 6, n. 11, Dec. 2009

VÍCTOR ABRAMOVICH

From Massive Violations to Structural Patterns: New Approaches and Classic Tensions in the Inter-American Human Rights System

VIVIANA BOHÓRQUEZ MONSALVE AND JAVIER AGUIRRE ROMÁN Tensions of Human Dignity: Conceptualization and Application to International Human Rights Law

DEBORA DINIZ, LÍVIA BARBOSA AND WEDERSON RUFINO DOS SANTOS

Disability, Human Rights and Justice

JULIETA LEMAITRE RIPOLL Love in the Time of Cholera: LGBT Rights in Colombia

ECONOMIC, SOCIAL AND CULTURAL RIGHTS

MALCOLM LANGFORD Domestic Adjudication and Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: A Socio-Legal Review

ANN BLYBERG

The Case of the Mislaid Allocation: Economic and Social Rights and Budget Work

ALDO CALIARI

Trade, Investment, Finance and Human Rights: Assessment and Strategy Paper

PATRICIA FFFNEY

Business and Human Rights: The Struggle for Accountability in the UN and the Future Direction of the Advocacy Agenda

INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS

Interview with Rindai Chipfunde-Vava, Director of the Zimbabwe Election Support Network (ZESN) Report on the IX International Human Rights Colloquium

SUR 12, v. 7, n. 12, Jun. 2010

SALIL SHETTY Foreword

FERNANDO BASCH ET AL.
The Effectiveness of the InterAmerican System of Human
Rights Protection: A Quantitative
Approach to its Functioning and
Compliance With its Decisions

RICHARD BOURNE

The Commonwealth of Nations: Intergovernmental and Nongovernmental Strategies for the Protection of Human Rights in a Post-colonial Association

MILLENNIUM DEVELOPMENT GOALS

AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL Combating Exclusion: Why Human Rights Are Essential for the MDGs

VICTORIA TAULI-CORPUZ Reflections on the Role of the United Nations Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues in relation to the Millennium Development Goals

ALICIA ELY YAMIN

Toward Transformative Accountability: Applying a Rightsbased Approach to Fulfill Maternal Health Obligations

SARAH ZAIDI

Millennium Development Goal 6 and the Right to Health: Conflictual or Complementary?

MARCOS A. ORELLANA
Climate Change and the Millennium
Development Goals: The Right
to Development, International
Cooperation and the Clean
Development Mechanism

CORPORATE ACCOUNTABILITY

LINDIWE KNUTSON

Aliens, Apartheid and US Courts: Is the Right of Apartheid Victims to Claim Reparations from Multinational Corporations at last Recognized?

DAVID BILCHITZ

The Ruggie Framework: An Adequate Rubric for Corporate Human Rights Obligations?

SUR 13, v. 7, n. 13, Dec. 2010

GLENDA MEZAROBBA

Between Reparations, Half Truths and Impunity: The Difficult Break with the Legacy of the Dictatorship in Brazil

GERARDO ARCE ARCE Armed Forces, Truth Commission and Transitional Justice in Peru

REGIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS MECHANISMS

FELIPE GONZÁLEZ

Urgent Measures in the Inter-American Human Rights System

JUAN CARLOS GUTIÉRREZ AND SILVANO CANTÚ

The Restriction of Military Jurisdiction in International Human Rights Protection Systems

DEBRA LONG AND LUKAS MUNTINGH

The Special Rapporteur on Prisons and Conditions of Detention in Africa and the Committee for the Prevention of Torture in Africa: The Potential for Synergy or Inertia?

LUCYLINE NKATHA MURUNGI AND JACQUI GALLINETTI

The Role of Sub-Regional Courts in the African Human Rights System

MAGNUS KILLANDER

Interpreting Regional Human Rights Treaties

ANTONIO M. CISNEROS DE

Cooperation Between the Universal and Inter-American Human Rights Systems in the Framework of the Universal Periodic Review Mechanism

IN MEMORIAM

Kevin Boyle - Strong Link in the Chain By Borislav Petranov

SUR 14, v. 8, n. 14, Jun. 2011

MAURICIO ALBARRACÍN CABALLERO

Social Movements and the Constitutional Court: Legal Recognition of the Rights of Same-Sex Couples in Colombia DANIEL VÁZQUEZ AND DOMITILLE DELAPLACE Public Policies from a Human Rights Perspective: A Developing

J. PAUL MARTIN

Human Rights Education in Communities Recovering from Major Social Crisis: Lessons for Haiti

THE RIGHTS OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES

LUIS FERNANDO ASTORGA GATJENS

Analysis of Article 33 of the UN Convention: The Critical Importance of National Implementation and Monitoring

LETÍCIA DE CAMPOS VELHO

Reasonable Accommodation: The New Concept from an Inclusive Constitutio nal Perspective

MARTA SCHAAF

Negotiating Sexuality in the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities

TOBIAS PIETER VAN REENEN AND HELÉNE COMBRINCK

The UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities in Africa: Progress after 5 Years

STELLA C. REICHER

Human Diversity and Asymmetries: A Reinterpretation of the Social Contract under the Capabilities Approach

PETER LUCAS

The Open Door: Five Foundational Films That Seeded the Representation of Human Rights for Persons with Disabilities

LUIS GALLEGOS CHIRIBOGA

Interview with Luis Gallegos Chiriboga, President (2002-2005) of the Ad Hoc Committee that Drew Up the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities

SUR 15, v. 8, n. 15, Dec. 2011

ZIBA MIR-HOSSEINI

Criminalising Sexuality: *Zina* Laws as Violence Against Women in Muslim Contexts

LEANDRO MARTINS ZANITELLI Corporations and Human Rights:

The Debate Between Voluntarists and Obligationists and the Undermining Effect of Sanctions

INTERVIEW WITH DENISE DORA Former Ford Foundation's Human Rights Officer in Brazil (2000-2011)

IMPLEMENTATION AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL OF THE DECISIONS OF THE REGIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS SYSTEMS

MARIA ISSAEVA, IRINA SERGEEVA AND MARIA SUCHKOVA

Enforcement of the Judgments of the European Court of Human Rights in Russia: Recent Developments and Current Challenges

CÁSSIA MARIA ROSATO AND LUDMILA CERQUEIRA CORREIA

The Damião Ximenes Lopes Case: Changes and Challenges Following the First Ruling Against Brazil in the Inter-American Court of Human Rights

DAMIÁN A. GONZÁLEZ-SALZBERG

The Implementation of Decisions from the Inter-American Court of Human Rights in Argentina: An Analysis of the Jurisprudential Swings of the Supreme Court

MARCIA NINA BERNARDES Inter-American Human Rights System as a Transnational Public Sphere: Legal and Political Aspects of the Implementation of International Decisions

SPECIAL ISSUE: CONECTAS HUMAN RIGHTS - 10 YEARS

The Making of an International Organization from/in the South

SUR 16, v. 9, n. 16, Jun. 2012

PATRICIO GALELLA AND CARLOS ESPÓSITO

Extraordinary Renditions in the Fight Against Terrorism. Forced Disappearences?

BRIDGET CONLEY-ZILKIC

A Challenge to Those Working in the Field of Genocide Prevention and Response

MARTA RODRIGUEZ DE ASSIS MACHADO, JOSÉ RODRIGO RODRIGUEZ, FLAVIO MARQUES PROL, GABRIELA JUSTINO DA SILVA, MARINA ZANATA GANZAROLLI AND RENATA DO

VALE ELIAS

Law Enforcement at Issue: Constitutionality of Maria da Penha Law in Brazilian Courts

SIMON M. WELDEHAIMANOT
The ACHPR in the Case of Southern
Cameroons

ANDRÉ LUIZ SICILIANO

The Role of the Universalization of Human Rights and Migration in the Formation of a New Global Governance

CITIZEN SECURITY AND HUMAN RIGHTS

GINO COSTA

Citizen Security and Transnational Organized Crime in the Americas: Current Situation and Challenges in the Inter-American Arena

MANUEL TUFRÓ

Civic Participation, Democratic Security and Conflict Between Political Cultures. First Notes on an Experiment in the City of Buenos Aires

CELS

The Current Agenda of Security and Human Rights in Argentina. An Analysis by the Center for Legal and Social Studies (CELS)

PEDRO ABRAMOVAY

Drug policy and *The March of Folly*

Views on the Special Police Units for Neighborhood Pacification (UPPs) in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Rafael Dias — Global Justice Researcher

José Marcelo Zacchi — Research Associate, Institute for Studies on Labor and Society — IETS

SUR 17, v. 9, n. 17, Dec. 2012

DEVELOPMENT AND HUMAN RIGHTS

CÉSAR RODRÍGUEZ GARAVITO, JUANA KWEITEL AND LAURA TRAJBER WAISBICH

Development and Human Rights: Some Ideas on How to Restart the

IRENE BIGLINO, CHRISTOPHE GOLAY AND IVONA TRUSCAN The Contribution of the UN Special Procedures to the Human Rights and Development Dialogue

LUIS CARLOS BUOB CONCHA

The Right to Water: Understanding its Economic, Social and Cultural Components as Development Factors for Indigenous Communities

ANDREA SCHETTINI

Toward a New Paradigm of Human Rights Protection for Indigenous Peoples: A Critical Analysis of the Parameters Established by the Inter-American Court of Human Rights

SERGES ALAIN DJOYOU KAMGA AND SIYAMBONGA HELEBA

Can Economic Growth Translate into Access to Rights? Challenges Faced by Institutions in South Africa in Ensuring that Growth Leads to Better Living Standards

INTERVIEW WITH SHELDON LEADER

Transnational Corporations and Human Rights

ALINE ALBUQUERQUE AND DABNEY EVANS

Right to Health in Brazil: A Study of the Treaty-Reporting System

LINDA DARKWA AND PHILIP ATTUQUAYEFIO

Killing to Protect? Land Guards, State Subordination and Human Rights in Ghana

CRISTINA RĂDOI

The Ineffective Response of International Organisations Concerning the Militarization of Women's Lives

CARLA DANTAS

Right of Petition by Individuals within the Global Human Rights Protection System

SUR 18, v. 10, n. 18, Jun. 2013

INFORMATION AND HUMAN RIGHTS

SÉRGIO AMADEU DA SILVEIRA Aaron Swartz and the Battles for Freedom of Knowledge

ALBERTO J. CERDA SILVA Internet Freedom is not Enough: Towards an Internet Based on Human

FERNANDA RIBEIRO ROSA
Digital Inclusion as Public Policy:
Disputes in the Human Rights Field

LAURA PAUTASSI

Monitoring Access to Information from the Perspective of Human Rights Indicators

JO-MARIE BURT AND CASEY CAGLEY

Access to Information, Access to Justice: The Challenges to Accountability in Peru

MARISA VIEGAS E SILVA

The United Nations Human Rights Council: Six Years On

JÉRÉMIE GILBERT

Land Rights as Human Rights: The Case for a Specific Right to Land

PÉTALLA BRANDÃO TIMO

Development at the Cost of Violations: The Impact of Mega-Projects on Human Rights in Brazil

DANIEL W. LIANG WANG AND OCTAVIO LUIZ MOTTA FERRAZ

Reaching Out to the Needy? Access to Justice and Public Attorneys' Role in Right to Health Litigation in the City of São Paulo

OBONYE JONAS

Human Rights, Extradition and the Death Penalty: Reflections on The Stand-Off Between Botswana and South Africa

ANTONIO MOREIRA MAUÉS

Supra-Legality of International Human Rights Treaties and Constitutional Interpretation

The work of the Carlos Chages from times and lines at trace and the principle of the work of the Carlos Chages and lines at trace and have readed to work of the Carlos Chages and lines at trace and have readed to be a controlled to the carlos changes and lines and lines and lines are traced to the carlos changes and lines and lines are traced to the carlos changes and lines are traced to the carlos changes and lines are traced to the carlos changes are trace the work of the caros charges and ince of research are general towards human and and second the value of the carolines and ince of research are general towards human and and second the value of the carolines and ince of research are general towards and the carolines and ince of Independent production at the EC, which addresses the isotropic or training and the EC, which addresses the isotropic or training and the EC, which addresses the isotropic or training and the EC, which addresses the isotropic or training and the EC, which addresses the isotropic or training and the EC, which addresses the isotropic or training and training tesently induction, senter and race, consists of indepth studies on the various or analysis of the senter of indepth studies on the various or analysis of the senter of indepth studies on the various of the senter of indepth studies on the various of the senter of indepth studies on the various of the senter of indepth studies on the various of the senter of indepth studies on the various of the senter of indepth studies on the various of the senter of indepth studies on the various of the senter of t Exist of education's three publications of Educacional Leducation's translation of the Loundarion's three publications and Leducation of the Loundarion's three publications are also as a large of the Loundarion's three publications and the Loundarion's three publications are also as a large of the Loundarion's three publications are also as a large of the Loundarion's three publications are also as a large of the Loundarion's three publications are also as a large of the Loundarion of the Loun In the routides, the end by the first of the rest of the routing o Outrals, isudos on kvaliação Educacional Libraria includes includes academic production establistica de la contraction d Julies land textos the diesearchers from other institutions, providing a land textos from the and social development. diversified view of the issues in the field. levels of education. A PERIOD WALL CO. ORG. BR Fundação Carlos Chagas