

international journal on human rights

15

v. 8 • n. 15 • dec. 2011 Biannual English Edition Ziba Mir-Hosseini

Criminalising Sexuality: Zina Laws as Violence Against Women in Muslim Contexts

Leandro Martins Zanitelli

Corporations and Human Rights: The Debate Between Voluntarists and Obligationists and the Undermining Effect of Sanctions

Interview with Denise Dora

Former Ford Foundation's Human Rights Officer in Brazil (2000-2011)

IMPLEMENTATION AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL OF THE DECISIONS OF THE REGIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS SYSTEMS

- Maria Issaeva, Irina Sergeeva and Maria Suchkova
 Enforcement of the Judgments of the European Court of Human Rights
 in Russia: Recent Developments and Current Challenges
- Cássia Maria Rosato and Ludmila Cerqueira Correia
 The Damião Ximenes Lopes Case: Changes and Challenges Following the
 First Ruling Against Brazil in the Inter-American Court of Human Rights
- Damián A. González-Salzberg
 The Implementation of Decisions from the Inter-American Court
 of Human Rights in Argentina: An Analysis of the Jurisprudential Swings
 of the Supreme Court
- Marcia Nina Bernardes

Inter-American Human Rights System as a Transnational
Public Sphere: Legal and Political Aspects of the Implementation
of International Decisions



SPECIAL ISSUE: CONECTAS HUMAN RIGHTS - 10 YEARS

The Making of an International Organization from/in the South

EDITORIAL BOARD

Christof Heyns University of Pretoria (South Africa)

Emilio García Méndez University of Buenos Aires
(Argentina)

Fifi Benaboud North-South Centre of the Council of Europe (Portugal)

Fiona Macaulay Bradford University (United Kingdom)

Flavia Piovesan Pontifical Catholic University of São Paulo (Brazil)

J. Paul Martin Columbia University (United States)

Kwame Karikari University of Ghana (Ghana)

Mustapha Kamel Al-Sayyid Cairo University (Egypt)
Roberto Garretón Former-UN Officer of the High

Commissioner for Human Rights (Chile)

Upendra Baxi University of Warwick (United Kingdom)

EDITORS

Pedro Paulo Poppovic Oscar Vilhena Vieira

EXECUTIVE BOARD

Albertina de Oliveira Costa Glenda Mezarobba Juana Kweitel Laura Waisbich Lucia Nader Thiago Amparo

EDITING

Luz González Tânia Rodrigues

REVISION OF TRANSLATIONS

Carolina Fairstein (Spanish) Marcela Vieira (Portuguese) The Bernard and Audre Rapoport Center for Human Rights and Justice, University of Texas, Austin (English)

GRAPHIC DESIGN Oz Design

ART EDITING

Alex Furini

CIRCULATION

Luz González

PRINTING

Prol Editora Gráfica Ltda.

ADVISORY BOARD

Alejandro M. Garro Columbia University (United States)

Bernardo Sorj Federal University of Rio de Janeiro / Edelstein Center (Brazil)

Bertrand Badie Sciences-Po (France)

Cosmas Gitta UNDP (United States)

Daniel Mato CONICET / National University of Tres de Febrero (Argentina)

Daniela Ikawa International Network on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights / Columbia University (United States)

Ellen Chapnick Columbia University (United States)

Ernesto Garzon Valdes University of Mainz (Germany)

Fateh Azzam Regional Representative, Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (Lebanon)

Guy Haarscher Université Libre de Bruxelles (Belgium)

Jeremy Sarkin University of the Western Cape (South Africa)

João Batista Costa Saraiva Regional Jurisdiction for Children and Adolescents of Santo Ângelo/RS (Brazil)

José Reinaldo de Lima Lopes University of São Paulo (Brazil)

Juan Amaya Castro University for Peace (Costa Rica)

Lucia Dammert Global Consortium on Security Transformation (Chile)

Luigi Ferrajoli University of Rome (Italy)

Luiz Eduardo Wanderley Pontifical Catholic University of São Paulo (Brazil)

Malak El-Chichini Poppovic Conectas Human Rights (Brazil)

Maria Filomena Gregori University of Campinas (Brazil)

Maria Hermínia Tavares Almeida University of São Paulo (Brazil)

Miguel Cillero University Diego Portales (Chile)

Mudar Kassis Birzeit University (Palestine)

Paul Chevigny New York University (United States)

Philip Alston New York University (United States)

Roberto Cuéllar M. Inter-American Institute of Human Rights (Costa Rica)

Roger Raupp Rios Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul (Brazil)

Shepard Forman New York University (United States)

Victor Abramovich University of Buenos Aires (UBA)

Victor Topanou National University of Benin (Benin)

Vinodh Jaichand Irish Centre for Human Rights, National

University of Ireland (Ireland)

SUR - International Journal On Human Rights is a biannual journal published in English, Portuguese and Spanish by Conectas Human Rights. It is available on the Internet at http://www.surjournal.org

SUR is covered by the following abstracting and indexing services: IBSS (International Bibliography of the Social Sciences); ISN Zurich (International Relations and Security Network); DOAJ (Directory of Open Access Journals); Scielo and SSRN (Social Science Research Network). In addition, SUR is also available at the following commercial databases: EBSCO and HEINonline. SUR has been rated A1 and B1, in Colombia and in Brazil (Qualis), respectively.

SUR. Revista Internacional de Direitos Humanos / Sur – Rede Universitária de Direitos Humanos – v.1, n.1, jan.2004 – São Paulo, 2004 - .

Semestral

ISSN 1806-6445

Edições em Inglês, Português e Espanhol.

1. Direitos Humanos 2. ONU I. Rede Universitária de Direitos Humanos

CONTENTS

| ZIBA MIR-HOSSEINI | Criminalising Sexuality: Zina Laws as Violence Against Women in Muslim Contexts |
|--|--|
| LEANDRO MARTINS ZANITELLI | Corporations and Human Rights: The Debate Between Voluntarists and Obligationists and the Undermining Effect of Sanctions |
| INTERVIEW WITH DENISE DORA | Former Ford Foundation's Human Rights Officer in Brazil (2000-2011) |
| 0 | MPLEMENTATION AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL IF THE DECISIONS OF THE REGIONAL AND NTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS SYSTEMS |
| MARIA ISSAEVA, IRINA SERGEEVA AND MARIA SUCHKOVA | Enforcement of the Judgments of the European Court of Human Rights in Russia: Recent Developments and Current Challenges |
| CÁSSIA MARIA ROSATO AND LUDMILA CERQUEIRA CORREIA | The Damião Ximenes Lopes Case: Changes and Challenges Following the First Ruling Against Brazil in the Inter-American Court of Human Rights |
| DAMIÁN A. GONZÁLEZ-SALZBERG 1 | The Implementation of Decisions from the Inter-American Court of Human Rights in Argentina: An Analysis of the Jurisprudential Swings of the Supreme Court |
| MARCIA NINA BERNARDES 1 | Inter-American Human Rights System as a Transnational Public Sphere: Legal and Political Aspects of the Implementation of International Decisions |

SPECIAL ISSUE

.53 Conectas Human Rights - 10 years

PRESENTATION

SUR issue number 15 is a very special one. For the first time, it encompasses three different sections. One comprises a thematic dossier on the national implementation of regional and international human rights systems. Additionally, this issue brings two non-thematic articles involving relevant contemporary human rights topics (business and human rights and women's rights in Islam), as well as an interview with Denise Dora, from the Ford Foundation (2000-2011).

Finally, celebrating the 10th anniversary of Conectas Human Rights, issue No. 15 is published with the same cover color as No. 1, and brings a dossier by Conectas's current and former staff members, who share their experience and lessons learned. This last section is presented in more detail in the letter to the readers, later in this issue.

Thematic dossier: Implementation at the National Level of the Decisions of the Regional and International Human Rights Systems

Since the adoption of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights in 1948, the international and regional human rights systems have been fundamental in the definition and protection of human rights, and have contributed substantially to the improvement of the Rule of Law in various different regions. These mechanisms, in many cases, have been the final remedy available to victims when local institutions failed or were unwilling to protect their rights. Accordingly, in addition to a protection mechanism, they represent a source of hope in adverse local political contexts.

Many human rights defenders and experts, however, claim that decisions and recommendations issued by these mechanisms are not currently being implemented satisfactorily at the national level. The lack of implementation is a serious threat to the very mechanisms themselves, which lose credibility in the eyes of the victims and the States, and fail to provide remedies to those who need them. Sur – International Human Rights Journal issue number 15 brings a thematic dossier to tackle this problem, i.e. to promote a critical debate on the national imple-

mentation of decisions and recommendations derived from regional and international human rights systems. This section encompasses four articles, three on the Inter-American, and one on the European system.

The first article highlights the interplay between the European human rights system and Russia. Enforcement of the Judgments of the European Court of Human Rights in Russia: Recent Developments and Current Challenges, by Maria Issaeva, Irina Sergeeva, and Maria Suchkova, examines the interaction between the Russian legal system and the Strasbourg Court, exposing the European human rights available mechanisms to enforce its decisions as well as criticizing the obstacles in Russia for the implementation of measures adopted by the European Court, particularly those of a general nature.

The dossier's second article, The Damião Ximenes Lopes Case: Changes and Challenges Following First Ruling Against Brazil in the Inter-American Court of Human Rights, written by Cássia Maria Rosato and Ludmila Cerqueira Correia, presents a general overview of the implementation of the recommendations expressed in the first ruling of the Inter-American Court against Brazil, in 2006, dealing with mental health institutions. The authors expose how, by developing international jurisprudence and strengthening the actions of Brazil's Anti-Asylum Movement, the Court had a positive impact on the country's public mental health policy and the rights of persons with mental disabilities, although further policy changes are still required.

Thirdly, SUR presents another article discussing implementation in the Inter-American system, this time exploring the Argentinean case. In The Implementation of Decisions from the Inter-American Court of Human Rights in Argentina: An Analysis of the Jurisprudential Swings of the Supreme Court, Damián A. González-Salzberg reviews a series of legal cases involving Argentina before the Inter-American Human Rights system and analyzes the lack of compliance of the State regarding Inter-American Court decisions. Through his case-by-case analysis, the author shows how the Argentinean Supreme Court has been inconsistent

in its recognition of the binding nature of Inter-American Court decisions, despite international and national legal imperatives requiring the Supreme Court to fulfill its obligation to prosecute those responsible for human rights violations.

The final article of this dossier presents a theoretical discussion on how regional human rights systems can contribute to build a transnational public sphere. In Inter-American Human Rights System as a Transnational Public Sphere: Legal and Political Aspects of the Implementation of International Decisions, Marcia Nina Bernardes argues that the Inter-American system contributes to Brazilian democracy by providing a transnational litigation forum for discussing issues often underrepresented in the domestic public sphere. The author also states that Inter-American system loses its credibility particularly in cases where national authorities and the legal community fails to take into account international human rights norms at the national level. In this case, implementing regional decisions and recommendations is a key element, not only to strengthen the system itself, but also to improve Brazilian democracy.

Non-Thematic Articles: Violence against Muslim Women and Corporations and Human Rights

Apart from the thematic dossier, this issue brings two other articles that present a critical debate on pressing topics. The Journal's opening article, Criminalising Sexuality: Zina Laws as Violence Against Women in Muslim Contexts, was written by Ziba Mir-Hosseini and discusses how political Islam has rehabilitated zina laws and its impact on women's rights. This normative body exists in many Muslim countries and forbids sexual relations outside marriage, sanctioning it with cruel punishments that violate international human rights. It criminalizes consensual sexual activity and authorises violence against women, involving, inter alia, death by stoning. The author argues that this issue should and can be solved within Islamic tradition. She also presents a critical analysis on how activists can be effective in challenging those practices by engaging their governments through "naming and shaming" strategies as well as a process of dialogue and debate.

Our second non-thematic article features a discussion on business and human rights. Leandro Martins Zanitelli's Corporations and Human Rights: The Debate between Voluntarists and Obligationists and the Undermining Effect of Sanctions discusses the contemporary debate on corporate behavior responsive to human rights. The author analyses two sets of competing arguments: the voluntarists and obligationists, the former pushing for voluntary commitments by States to promote corporate social responsibility, while the latter affirm the need of legal sanctions against corporations, as a necessary step to adapt their behavior to norms of social responsibility. The author defends a voluntarist approach, arguing that, despite the fact that the imposition of sanctions on companies can indeed lead to progress in the protection of human rights, it might pose an obstacle to the development of more genuine practices in social corporate responsibility.

Interview with Denise Dora

We have included an *Interview with Denise Dora*, Human Rights Program Officer of the Ford Foundation in Brazil from 2000 to 2011. She analyzes the human rights organizations in Brazil, particularly focusing on the challenges faced by Brazilian society to build a strong civil society needed to guarantee human rights in the country and abroad, arguing that there still is room for capacity building in Southern organizations and for the reduction of global asymmetries.

This is the fourth issue released with the collaboration of the Carlos Chagas Foundation (FCC). We thank FCC for their support to the Sur Journal since 2010.

Finally, we would like to remind our readership that our next issue, edited in partnership with the Latin American Regional Coalition on Citizen Security and Human Rights, will discuss citizen security from a human rights perspective.



ZIBA MIR-HOSSEINI

Ziba Mir-Hosseini is an independent legal anthropologist, film-director and activist, specialized in Islamic law, gender and development. She is Professorial Research Associate at the Centre for Middle Eastern and Islamic Law at the University of London and a founding member of Musawah Global Movement for Equality and Justice in the Muslim Family (www.musawah.org). Her personal website is: www.zibamirhosseini.com

Email: zm4@soas.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

This author offers a feminist and rights-based critique of zina laws in Muslim legal tradition, which define any sexual relations outside legal marriage as a crime.

In the early 20th century, zina laws, which were rarely applied in practice, also became legally obsolete in almost all Muslim countries and communities; but with the resurgence of Islam as a political and spiritual force later in the 20th century, in several states and communities zina laws were selectively revived, codified and grafted onto the criminal justice system, and applied through the machinery of the modern state.

The author reviews current campaigns to decriminalise consensual sex, and argues that zina laws must also be addressed from within the Islamic legal tradition.

Exploring the intersections between religion, culture and law that legitimate violence in the regulation of sexuality, the author proposes a framework that can bring Islamic and human rights principles together.

Original in English.

Received in June 2011. Accepted in October 2011.

KEYWORDS

Sexuality - Violence - Gender - Islam - Law - Human rights



This paper is published under the *creative commons* license. SOME RIGHES RESERVED This paper is available in digital format at <www.surjournal.org>.

CRIMINALISING SEXUALITY: ZINA LAWS AS VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN IN MUSLIM CONTEXTS*

Ziba Mir-Hosseini

Islamic legal tradition treats any sexual contact outside a legal marriage as a crime. The main category of such crimes is *zina*, defined as any act of illicit sexual intercourse between a man and woman. The punishment for *zina* is the same for men and women: 100 lashes for the unmarried and death by stoning for the married; however, instances of these punishments are rarely documented in history.

In the early 20th century, with the emergence of modern legal systems in the Muslim world, the provisions of classical Islamic law were increasingly confined to personal status issues.² Zina laws, which were rarely applied in practice, also became legally obsolete in almost all Muslim countries and communities. In the late 20th century, the resurgence of Islam as a political and spiritual force reversed the process. In several states and communities, once-obsolete penal laws were selectively revived, codified and grafted onto the criminal justice system, and, in varying forms and degrees, applied through the machinery of the modern state. Most controversial among these have been the revival of *zina* laws and the creation of new offences that criminalise consensual sexual activity and authorise violence against women. Activists have campaigned against these new laws on human rights grounds; campaigns in countries as diverse as Nigeria, Pakistan and Iran have revealed the injustice and violence brought by the 'Islamisation' of criminal justice systems. The issues addressed in these campaigns resonate in many other Muslim contexts where traditional and patriarchal interpretations of Islam's sacred texts are invoked to limit women's rights and freedoms.

There is extensive literature on these issues from a human rights perspective.³ This chapter offers a feminist and rights-based critique of *zina* laws that engages

^{*}This paper is a revised version of a chapter of the following book "Control and Sexuality: The Revival of *Zina* Laws in Muslim Contexts", organized by the author of this piece and Vanja Hamzic (WLUML Publications, 2010).

Islamic legal tradition from within. It aims to broaden the scope of the debate over appropriate concepts and strategies for the campaigns to decriminalise consensual sex. It is intended as a contribution to the emerging feminist scholarship on Islam, by showing how *zina* laws can also be challenged from within. Exploring the intersections between religion, culture and law that legitimate violence in the regulation of sexuality, this chapter aims to contribute to the development of a framework that can bring Islamic and human rights principles together. Such a framework can empower activists, at both theoretical and practical levels, to engage in an internal discourse within communities so as to bring about sustainable legal and cultural reform.

Zina laws are part of Islamic legal tradition, and must be situated within that tradition's classifications of human behaviour and, especially, sexual relations and gender roles, and the penalties that it prescribes for different categories of offences. Drawing on anthropological insights and feminist scholarship on Islam, this chapter shows how zina laws are also embedded in wider institutional structures of inequality that take their legitimacy from patriarchal interpretations of Islam's sacred texts. They are an element in a complex system of norms and laws regulating sexuality, and they are closely linked with two other sets of laws: those concerning marriage (nikah) and women's covering (hijab). This link is at the root of violence against women, and must be broken from within the tradition.

To do so, this chapter needs to address two blind spots in approaches to the issue. First, scholars who work within an Islamic framework are often gender-blind, being largely unaware of the importance of gender as a category of thought and analysis and often opposed to both feminism, which they understand as arguing for women's dominance over men, and human rights, which they see as alien to Islamic tradition. Secondly, many human rights and women's rights activists and campaigners are not well versed in religious categories of thought and religious-based arguments; they consider it futile and counter-productive to work within a religious framework. These blind spots must be eliminated; approaches from Islamic studies, feminist and human rights perspectives, far from being mutually opposed, can be mutually reinforcing, particularly in mounting an effective campaign against revived *zina* laws.

After outlining the approach and the premises on which the chapter is based, it traces the historical context of shifts in the politics of religion, law and gender that led to the recent revival of *zina* laws and punishments, and the clash between two systems of values and two conceptions of gender rights: those of international human rights law and the Islamic legal tradition. The chapter then examines *zina* laws in the context of classical Islamic legal tradition, exploring the links with the laws of marriage and dress code that regulate women's sexuality, and the theological assumptions and juristic theories that inform them. Finally, the chapter shows how *zina* laws and punishments can be challenged on legal and religious grounds, and how essential elements of Islamic legal tradition are in harmony with human rights law. The conclusion offers suggestions or guidelines for developing a framework that can bring Islamic and human rights principles together. Such a framework can empower activists, at both theoretical and practical levels, to engage in an internal discourse within communities to bring about sustained legal and cultural reforms.

1 Approach and Premises

The chapter starts from the premise that 'human rights' and 'Islamic law' are 'essentially contested concepts'; that is, they mean different things to different people and in different contexts. However, advocates of both claim universality, that is, they claim that their objective is to ensure justice and proper rights for all humanity. The notion of 'human rights' is used in a relatively limited sense, as a framework that began in 1948 with the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, and has been developed by the United Nations in subsequent documents and instruments. As human rights approaches are relatively well-known, more attention is devoted here to Islamic legal traditions and discourses.

Secondly, in this chapter a discomfort with the term 'Islamic law(s)' must be noted. 'Islamic laws', like other laws, are the product of socio-cultural assumptions and juristic reasoning about the nature of relations between men and women. In other words, they are 'man-made' juristic constructs, shaped by the social, cultural and political conditions within which Islam's sacred texts are understood and turned into law. From the perspective of this chapter, it is more analytically fruitful and productive to speak of 'Islamic legal tradition.'

This tradition is approached from a critical feminist perspective and from within the tradition, by invoking one of its main distinctions, which underlies the emergence of the various schools in the tradition and the multiplicity of positions and opinions within them; that is, the distinction between *shari'a* and *fiqh*. *Shari'a* in Arabic literally means 'the path or the road leading to the water', but, in Muslim belief, it is God's will as revealed to the Prophet Muhammad. As Fazlur Rahman notes, "in its religious usage, from the earliest period, it has meant 'the highway of good life', i.e. religious values, expressed functionally and in concrete terms, to direct man's life." (RAHMAN, 1966, p. 100)⁶ *Fiqh*, jurisprudence, literally means 'understanding,' and denotes the process of human endeavour to discern and extract legal rulings from the sacred texts of Islam – the Qur'an and the Sunnah (the Prophet's practice, as related in the *hadith*, or traditions).

Thus, the rulings derived from the sacred texts are matters of human interpretation. However, some specialists and politicians today — often with ideological intent — mistakenly equate *shari'a* with *fiqh*, and present *fiqh* rulings as '*shari'a* law,' hence as divine and not open to challenge. Too often statements are heard that begin with "Islam says ..." or "According to *shari'a* law ..."; too rarely do those who speak in the name of Islam admit that theirs is no more than one opinion or interpretation among many. A distinction between *shari'a* and *fiqh* is crucial, from a critical feminist perspective, because it both engages with the past and enables action in the present; it enables the separation of the legal from the sacred, and to reclaim the diversity and pluralism that was part of Islamic legal tradition. It also has epistemological and political ramifications, and allows contestation and change of its rulings from within.⁷

Thirdly, this chapter rejects statements beginning 'Islam says...' or 'Islam allows...' or 'Islam forbids...' Islam does not speak, rather it is people who claim to speak in the name (with the authority) of Islam, selecting sacred texts (usually

out of context) that appear to justify their claims, and repressing other texts that oppose them. Moreover, those who talk of *shari'a*, or indeed religion and law in relation to Islam, often fail to make another distinction now common when talking of religion in other contexts, namely, between faith (and its values and principles) and organised religion (institutions, laws and practices). The result is the pervasive polemical and rhetorical trick of either glorifying a faith without acknowledging the abuses and injustices that are committed in its name, or condemning it by equating it with those abuses. Of course, faith and organised religion are linked, but they are not the same thing, as implied by conflating them in the labels 'Islamic' or 'religious.'

Fourthly, although this chapter may talk about religion, law and culture as distinct arenas of human behaviour, in practice it is hard to separate them. Social reality is far too complex. Religious beliefs and practices are not only shaped by the cultural contexts in which they originate, function and evolve, but they also influence cultural phenomena. Law, too, not only controls behaviour but is also shaped by religious as well as cultural practices; all these beliefs and practices are, in turn, subject to relations of power – rulers, governments, structures of inequality. The meanings of laws and religious practices also change with shifts in the power relations in which they are embedded, and in interaction with other cultures and value systems. In other words, it must be recognised that laws and religious practices are not fixed, unchanging and uniform, but rather they are the products of particular social and cultural circumstances, and of local and wider power relations.⁸

Finally, issues are created through social movements and political debates and struggles. The systematic and institutionalised regulation of female sexuality and behaviour by man-made and man-enforced laws is not confined to Muslim contexts, nor is it recent. It is ancient and found in most human societies, sanctioned by religious texts and cultural tradition, and often enforced by violence. What is new is that the human rights framework and contemporary ideas of gender equality enable the identification of the issue of *zina* laws as a violation of women's human rights.⁹

2 Why Zina Laws and Why Now?

Current zina laws reflect centuries-old, human-made interpretations of Islam's sacred texts, which can be criticised from within the framework of Islamic principles, in accordance with the changing realities of time and place and contemporary notions of justice. As in other religious and legal traditions, notions of justice among Muslims have not until recently included gender equality in its current sense. The idea of gender equality became inherent to conceptions of justice only in the 20th century, and has only recently presented Islamic legal tradition with a challenge that it has been trying to meet. Thus, the revival of zina laws, and the emergence of a global campaign against them, must be understood in the context of the recent conflict between two systems of values, the one rooted in pre-modern cultural and religious practices that often sanction discrimination among individuals on the

basis of faith, status and gender, and the other shaped by contemporary ideals of human rights, equality and personal freedom.

This conflict of values is not confined to Muslim contexts, rather it is ubiquitous, and shades into the animated and ongoing debate between universalism and cultural relativism. But it acquired a sharper political edge in the Muslim world in the second half of the 20th century with the emergence of the question of Palestine, and the rise of Islamist movements that sought to merge religion and politics. In the aftermath of the 11 September 2001 ('9/11') attacks, the politics of the so-called "war on terror" and the invasions of Afghanistan and Iraq – both partially justified as promoting democracy and women's rights – added a new layer of complexity to the situation. Rightly or wrongly, many Muslims perceived the war to be directed against them. This has not only increased their sense of insecurity and the appeal of traditional values, but it has also, in their eyes, eroded the moral high ground of human rights law and delegitimised the voices of dissent and reform from within.

In many ways, 1979 proved to be a turning point in the politics of religion, culture and gender, both globally and locally. It was the year when the United Nations General Assembly adopted the Convention on the Elimination of all forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW), which gave gender equality a clear international legal mandate. But it was also the year when political Islam had its biggest triumph in the popular revolution that brought clerics to power in Iran, and when the military government in Pakistan extended the ambit of *figh* to criminal law, with the introduction of the Hudood Ordinances.

The decades that followed saw the concomitant expansion, globally and locally, of two equally powerful but opposed frames of reference. On the one hand, the human rights framework and instruments such as CEDAW gave women's rights activists what they needed most: a point of reference, a language and the tools to resist and challenge patriarchy. The 1980s saw the expansion of the international women's movement and of women's NGOs all over the world. By the early 1990s, a transnational movement further coalesced around the idea that violence against women was a violation of their human rights, and succeeded in inserting it in the agenda of the international human rights community. In their campaigns, they made visible various forms of gender-based discrimination and violation rooted in cultural traditions and religious practices, and protection from violence became a core demand of women's human rights activists. In 1994, the UN Commission on Human Rights condemned genderbased violence and appointed a special rapporteur on violence against women, its causes and consequences, as requested in the Vienna Declaration at the 1993 UN Conference on Human Rights.¹²

In Muslim contexts, on the other hand, Islamist forces — whether in power or in opposition — started to invoke Islam and *shari'a* as a legitimising device. They presented the 'Islamisation' of law and society as the first step to bring about their vision of a moral and just society, as a remedy for the problems of rising criminality, corruption and 'immorality' that they understood to be the consequence of the mixing of sexes. This spoke to the masses, and played on the

popular belief among Muslims that Islam is the essence of justice, thus no law that is 'Islamic' could be unjust.

Tapping into popular demands for social justice, the Islamist rallying cry of 'return to *shari'a*' led to regressive gender policies, with devastating consequences for women: compulsory dress codes, gender segregation, and the revival of outdated patriarchal and tribal models of social relations. The 'Islamisation' of law and society centred on the criminal justice system, an area of public law that had lost ground to codified law, influenced by European models, both under colonial rule and with the modernisation of legal systems. At the same time, the Islamists criminalised — and thus politicised — areas of sexual and moral behaviour that had previously not been the concern of the state, and thus facilitated the enforcement of their authoritarian and patriarchal interpretations of the law.

Figh-based penal laws had already been revived in codified form in Libya in 1972. 14 After 1979, the same happened in Pakistan (Enforcement of Hudood Ordinances, 1979), Iran (1979), Sudan (Penal Code, 1983, and Criminal Act, 1991), and Yemen (Penal Code, 1994). The same has occurred at a provincial level in Kalantan state in Malaysia (Syariah Criminal Code Act, 1993), several states in Nigeria (1999–2000), and Aceh Territory in Indonesia (2009). In other cases, such as Afghanistan under the Taliban (mid-1990s to 2001), in Algeria since the rise of the Islamic Salvation Front (FIS), and in Somalia for many years, there are reports of the arbitrary application of Islamic penal laws. 15 Actual instances of stoning as a result of judicial sentences remain rare; currently, they only occur in Iran. But wherever classical penal laws have been revived, and in whatever form, nearly all those sentenced under *zina* laws to lashing, imprisonment or death by stoning have been women. In many instances, women have been brought to court on the basis of false accusations by family members or neighbours, or have been punished by non-state actors and communities. 16

To understand why women have been the main target of the revival of *zina* laws, two prime questions need to be asked: What is the place of *zina*, both as a concept and as a set of legal rulings, in the Islamic legal tradition? How can it be argued – within that tradition – for the decriminalisation of consensual sexual relations? To explore these questions, the links need to be examined, in *fiqh* (Islamic jurisprudence), between three sets of rulings that regulate sexuality, i.e. those concerning *zina*, marriage and *hijab*. And what are the juristic constructs and legal theories on which they are based?

3 Zina as defined in Classical Fiqh Texts

Classical *fiqh* divides crimes into three categories according to the penalties they incur: *hudud*, *qisas*, and *ta'zir*.¹⁷ *Hudud* (singular *hadd*: limit, restriction, prohibition) are crimes with mandatory and fixed punishments derived from textual sources (Qur'an or Sunnah). *Hudud* crimes comprise five offences. Two are offences against sexual morality: illicit sex (*zina*) and unfounded allegation of *zina* (*qadhf*). The others are offences against private property and public order: theft (*sariqa*), highway robbery (*qat'al-tariqhiraba*), and drinking wine (*shurb al-khamr*);

some schools also include rebellion (*baghi*); and some include apostasy (*ridda*). The jurists defined these offences as violations of God's limits (*hudud al-Allah*), i.e. violation of public interest. *Hudud* assume the central place in the call for 'return to *shari'a*' by Islamists, who consider them crimes against religion, though not every such crime or punishment has a textual basis. They are the main focus of international criticism, since they entail forms of punishment, such as lashing and cutting off limbs, which were common in the past but have been abandoned by modern justice systems that consider them cruel and inhumane — and are defined in international human rights law as torture.

The second category, *qisas* (retribution), covers offences against another person, such as bodily harm and homicide. The penalty is defined and implemented by the state, but unlike *hudud*, *qisas* offences are a matter for private claims, in the sense that the penalty is applied only if the individual victim – or, in case of homicide, his or her heir – asks for full *qisas*. Alternatively, the victim or heir may forgive the offender, or ask for the lesser penalty of *diya* (compensation, blood money), or waive any claim. In the case of homicide, whether intentional or not, blood money or compensation given for a female victim is half of that of a male. By making homicide a private matter, the revival of *qisas* laws allows so-called 'honour' crimes, whereby families can kill female members for presumed 'sexual transgressions' and the killer can escape with at most a few years imprisonment (WELCHMAN, 2007).

The third category, *ta'zir* (discipline), covers all offences not covered by the first two. Punishments for these crimes are not established by textual sources, and are not fixed but left to the discretion of the judge. As a general rule, *ta'zir* penalties are less than *hadd* punishments. Under the category of *ta'zir*, Islamic states have introduced new punishments with no precedence in classical *fiqh*, in order to impose their notions of 'Islamic' morality and to limit women's freedom, for example by a dress-code. As it sanctions and legitimates the state's power to enforce laws, this is also the area of criminal justice most open to abuse by Islamists.

There are differences between legal schools and among the jurists as to the definition, elements, evidentiary requirements, legal defences, exonerating conditions and penalties applicable to each of these three categories of crime, and to each crime within each category. The boundaries between the sacred and the legal are particularly hazy with respect to *hudud* crimes, which are viewed as having a religious dimension because of their textual basis. This is certainly the case with respect to *zina*, which is treated at times as a sin to be punished in the hereafter, rather than as a crime. There is room for repentance and God's forgiveness. The objective is not punishment but rather self-reformation and the shunning of evil ways (KAMALI, 1998, 2000; RAHMAN, 1965).

Yet there is a consensus in *fiqh* on the definition of *zina*, and the rulings are clear. *Zina* is defined as sexual intercourse between a man and women outside a valid marriage (*nikah*), the semblance (*shubha*) of marriage, or lawful ownership of a slave woman (*milk yamin*). *Zina* can be established by confession or by the testimony of four eyewitnesses, who must have witnessed the actual act of penetration, and must concur in their accounts. The punishment is the same for men and women, but

offenders are divided into two classes: *muhsin*, defined as free men and women, of full age and understanding, who have been in a position to enjoy lawful wedlock; and non-*muhsin*, who do not fulfil these conditions. The penalty for the first class is death by stoning, and for the second, 100 lashes. The lashes have a Qur'anic basis, as will be shown; but stoning does not, being based only on the Sunnah.¹⁹

The juristic consensus ends here. There are significant differences among the legal schools and among jurists within each school as to the conditions required for a valid confession and for testimonial evidence. These differences, based on arguments supported by reference to sacred texts, have practical and important legal consequences. For instance, while jurists in Hanafi, Hanbali and Shi'a schools require the confession to be uttered four separate times, Maliki and Shafi'i jurists consider one confession sufficient to establish the offence. The majority view among Maliki jurists is unique in allowing an unmarried woman's pregnancy to be used as evidence for *zina*, unless there is evidence of rape or compulsion; in other schools, pregnancy does not automatically constitute proof and *zina* must be established by confession or the testimony of eyewitnesses. Yet again, it is important to stress that, for Maliki jurists, the duration of pregnancy can be as long as seven years, which clearly suggests their humanitarian concern to protect women against the charge of *zina*, and children against the stigma of illegitimacy. That is to say, like their counterparts in other schools, Maliki jurists did their best to make conviction for *zina* impossible.²⁰

A closer examination of classical jurists' rulings on *zina* confirms that they did their utmost to prevent conviction, and provided women with protection against accusations by their husbands and the community. In this, they relied on Qur'anic verses and the Prophet's example in condemning the violation of privacy and honour of individuals, in particular those of women, and leaving the door open for repentance. These verses define requirements for valid evidence of *zina* in such a stringent way that, in practice, establishment and conviction of an offence are almost impossible. An uncorroborated accusation (qadhf) is itself defined as a hadd crime, punishable by 80 lashes (Quran 24. 23).²¹ If the wife is pregnant and her husband suspects her of zina, but has no proof, all he can do, in order to avoid the *hadd* offence of *gadhf*, is to deny paternity and divorce her by the procedure of li'an, mutual cursing by swearing oaths; if the wife swears an oath of denial, she is exonerated from the charge of zina (Quran 24. 6-7). Further, a confession of zina can be retracted at any time; and the doctrine of *shubha* (doubt, ambiguity)²² prevents conviction for zina in cases where one party presumes the sexual intercourse to be licit, for example when a man sleeps with woman he believes to be his wife or a slave, or when a woman has sex with a man she presumes to be her husband.

Scholars suggest that the Qur'anic penalty – 100 lashes for men and women – was intended to reinforce a single form of marriage and to forbid other forms of union and promiscuity. This is evident in the verse that follows: "Let no man guilty of Adultery or fornication marry any but a woman similarly guilty, or an Unbeliever: Nor let any but such a woman or an unbeliever marry such a man. To the Believers such a thing is forbidden" (Quran 24. 3). Likewise, the penalty for slaves (both male and female) is half of that of a free person, which means that in no way did the Qur'an envisage death as a penalty for *zina*.

In pre-Islamic Arabia several forms of sexual union existed, including temporary ones: female slaves were prostituted by their masters; women as well as men could have multiple partners; and adultery was not considered a sin, but an injury to the property rights of a fellow tribesman – the male partner paid a fine, while the female was punished by being detained in her house for the rest of her life (GIBB; KRAMERS, 1961, p. 658). The Qur'an clearly disapproves of the prevalent sexual and moral codes among the Arabs, and introduces measures to reform them: it forbids the prostitution of female slaves (Quran 24. 33); speaks of sex outside marriage as a sin to be punished in the Hereafter (Quran 17. 32; Quran 25. 68-71); and modifies existing practices to promote chastity and a standardised form of marriage. Eight verses (Quran 24. 2-9) deal with the law-like issue of illicit sexual relations and form the basis of *fiqh* rulings on *zina*. These verses introduce new sanctions to safeguard a new form of marriage, subject men and women to the same punishment for extra-marital relations, and protect women in the face of accusations against their chastity.

Two verses prescribe punishment for illicit sexual relations. The first reads as follows: "If any of your women are guilty of lewdness, take the evidence of four (reliable) witnesses from amongst you against them; and if they testify, confine them to houses until death do claim them, or Allah ordain for them some (other) way" (Quran 4. 15). The verse does not use the term zina; instead, fahisha (lewdness) is used, which most commentators understood as implying adultery and fornication. However, Yusuf Ali, one of the notable translators of the Qur'an, in a note states that *fahisha* "refers to unnatural crime between women, analogous to unnatural crime between men," (YUSUF ALI, 1997, p. 189), 23 the subject of the next verse, which states: "no punishment is specified for the man, as would be the case when a man was involved in the crime" (Quran 4. 16). It has also been argued that fahisha in Quran Surah an-Nisa, (Quran 4. 15) denotes a sexual act in public and prostitution, not private consensual sex, whether it is heterosexual or not. The verse endorses the existing punishment for *fahisha* — of which only women, it appears, could be accused. They should be confined to the home for the rest of their lives, or humiliated by having to appear in public covered in animal dung. The verse, however, while not abolishing this penalty, contains it by requiring the evidence of four witnesses and, perhaps more importantly, promises women a way out. In any case, jurists agree that the punishment was superseded by Quran, Surah an-Nur (Quran 24. 2), which reads: "The woman and the man guilty of adultery or fornication (al-zaniahwa al-zani) - flog each of them with a hundred stripes."

It seems clear that not only the Qur'anic verses but also the jurists, with their intricate rules for proof of *zina*, aimed to reform existing practices in the direction of justice, as understood at the time. But both the spirit of the verses and the rules of the jurists lose their force for justice when classical *fiqh* rulings are codified and grafted onto a unified legal system, and implemented by the coercive machinery of a modern nation state.²⁴ Hence, it is not enough to take the classical *zina* rulings at face value, as some do. Defenders of current *zina* laws often hide behind the reassurance that they are impossible to enforce in practice; they ignore how they are actually used, and that it is women and the poor who are most often the victims.

4 Marriage (Nikah) and Covering (Hijab)

What defines *zina* is the absence of a legal marriage (*nikah*); thus, *zina* rulings intersect with and in practice are maintained by other rulings that the classical jurists devised for the regulation of sexuality, namely those concerning marriage and women's covering. These patriarchal rulings sustained the power and sanction of *zina* provisions, and continue to do so today, even if they have been eliminated from modern legal codes. In all Muslim countries – apart from Turkey – the source of family law is classical *fiqh*, which grants men the right to polygamy and unilateral divorce. Thus a closer examination of marriage and *hijab* as defined in classical *fiqh* texts is in order.

Classical jurists defined marriage ('aqd al-nikah, 'contract of coitus') as a contract with fixed terms and uniform legal effects. It renders sexual relations between a man and a woman licit; any sexual relation outside this contract is by definition zina. The contract is patterned after the contract of sale, and has three essential elements: the offer (ijab) by the woman or her guardian (wali); the acceptance (qabul) by the man; and the payment of dower (mahr), a sum of money or any valuable that the husband pays or undertakes to pay to the bride before or after consummation.²⁵

The contract automatically places a wife under her husband's qiwama, which is a mixture of dominion and protection. It also defines a default set of fixed rights and obligations for each party, some supported by legal force, others with moral sanction. Those with legal force revolve around the twin themes of sexual access and compensation, embodied in the two concepts tamkin (obedience; also ta'a) and nafaqa (maintenance). Tamkin, defined as sexual submission, is a man's right and thus a woman's duty; whereas nafaga, defined as shelter, food and clothing, is a woman's right and thus a man's duty. In some schools, a woman becomes entitled to nafaga only after consummation of the marriage, in others this comes with the contract itself; but in all schools she loses her claim if she is in a state of *nushuz* (disobedience), which the classical jurists defined only in sexual terms. In other words, all schools share the same logic that links a woman's right to maintenance and protection to her obedience and sexual submission to her husband. Among the default rights of the husband is his power to control his wife's movements and her 'excess piety.' She needs his permission to leave the house, to take up employment, or to engage in fasting or forms of worship other than what is obligatory (for example the fast of Ramadan). Such acts may infringe on the husband's right of 'unhampered sexual access.' There is no matrimonial regime; the husband is the sole owner of the matrimonial resources, and the wife remains the possessor of her dower and whatever she brings to or earns during the marriage.

In discussing the legal structure and effects of the marriage contract, classical jurists had no qualms in using the analogy of sale. They allude to parallels between the status of wives and female slaves, to whose sexual services husbands/owners were entitled, and who were deprived of freedom of movement. This is not to suggest that classical jurists conceptualised marriage as either a sale or as slavery.²⁶

Certainly, there were significant differences and disagreements about this among the schools, and debates within each, with legal and practical implications.²⁷ They were keen to distinguish between the right of access to the woman's sexual and reproductive faculties (which her husband acquires) and the right over her person (which he does not). Rather, the intention here is to stress is that the notion and legal logic of 'ownership' and sale underlie their conception of marriage and define the parameters of laws and practices, where a woman's sexuality, if not her person, becomes a commodity and an object of exchange.

The logic of women's sexuality as property, and its sale on marriage, which informs the classical *figh* texts, is at the root of the unequal construction of marriage and divorce, and sanctions the control over a woman's movements. It is also this logic that justifies polygamy and defines the rules for the termination of marriage. A man can enter up to four marriages at a time, 28 and can terminate each contract at will. Legally speaking, talaq, repudiation of the wife, is a unilateral act (iqa'), which acquires legal effect by the declaration of the husband. A woman cannot be released without her husband's consent, although she can secure her release through offering him inducements, by means of khul', which is often referred to as 'divorce by mutual consent.' As defined by classical jurists, khul' is a separation claimed by the wife as a result of her extreme 'reluctance' (karahiya) towards her husband. The essential element is the payment of compensation ('iwad) to the husband in return for her release. This can be the return of the dower, or any other form of compensation. Unlike *talaq*, *khul* 'is not a unilateral but a bilateral act, as it cannot take legal effect without the consent of the husband. If she fails to secure his consent, then her only recourse is the intervention of the court and the judge's power either to compel the husband to pronounce talag or to pronounce it on his behalf if the wife establishes one of the recognised grounds — which again vary from school to school.29

Another set of rulings that are invoked, today, to sanction control over women and to limit their freedom of movement are those on *hijab*.³⁰ They are used to prescribe and justify the punishment of women for non-observance of the dress code, using *taʻzir*, the discretionary power of the judge or Islamic state. But this has no basis in Islamic legal tradition. Unlike rulings on marriage and *zina*, classical *fiqh* texts contain little on women's dress-code. The prominence of *hijab* in Islamic discourses is a recent phenomenon, dating to the Muslim encounter with colonial powers in the 19th century, when there emerged a new genre of Islamic literature in which the veil becomes both a marker of Muslim identity and an element of faith.

Classical texts — at least those that set out legal rulings — address the issue of dress for both men and women under 'covering' (*satr*). These rulings are found in the Book of Prayer, among the rules for covering the body during prayers, and in the Book of Marriage, among the rules that govern a man's 'gaze' at a woman prior to marriage.³¹

The rules are minimal, but clear-cut: during prayer, both men and women must cover their 'awra, their pudenda; for men, this is the area between knees and navel, but for women it means all the body apart from hands, feet and face. A man may not look at the uncovered body of an unrelated woman. The ban can be relaxed

when a man wants to contract a marriage; then, in order to inspect a prospective bride, he may be allowed the same privileges as one of her male close relatives.

There are also related rules in classical *fiqh* for segregation (banning any kind of interaction between unrelated men and women) and seclusion (restricting women's access to public space). They are based on two juristic constructs: the first defines all of a woman's body as *'awra*, pudenda, a zone of shame, which must be covered both during prayers (before God) and in public (before men); the second defines women's presence in public as a source of *fitna*, chaos, a threat to the social order.³²

5 A Critique from Within

In their rulings on *zina*, classical jurists sought to safeguard sexual order, personal honour and blood relations, and to ensure legitimate paternity. But these rulings were designed and perceived to protect the sanctity of marriage and to be a deterrent, not to be codified and enforced by the machinery of a modern state. As shown, these rules are, in theory, gender-neutral. They specify the same punishments for men and women, and contain measures to protect women against false accusations, with such strict requirements of evidence that it is almost impossible to prove a case.

The power and sanction of zina rulings, it must be stressed, lie not in their implementation, but in how they define the limits of permissible sexual conduct. Their power is exerted and sustained through the other rulings just outlined, those regulating marriage and women's covering. To understand how all these rulings work, it is necessary to examine how classical jurists thought of gender and female sexuality, and identify the underlying legal theories and juristic assumptions. As is evident from the rulings on marriage and hijab discussed above, zina laws rest on two juristic constructs: woman's sexuality as property acquired by her husband through the marriage contract, and woman's body as a shameful object ('awra) that must be covered at all times. Such constructs, in turn, hinge on a patriarchal reading of Islam's sacred texts and an underlying theory of sexuality that sanctions control over female behaviour. All figh schools share this patriarchal ethos and conception of sexuality and gender; if they differ, it is in the manner and degree to which they translate them into legal rulings.

Islamists and traditional Muslim scholars claim that the classical *fiqh* rulings are immutable and divinely ordained. It is not the intention here to enter a discussion on the theological validity of such a claim, or whether such a patriarchal reading of the Qur'an is justified. The legal logic of classical *fiqh* rulings must, of course, be understood in their own context. They must not be approached anachronistically. Judgement should be suspended when dealing with past tradition. But this does not mean that this tradition has to be accepted blindly or that it can't be dealt with critically. In this time and context it also needs to be asked: How far does such a conception of sexuality and gender rights reflect the principle of justice that is inherent in the very notion of *shari'a* as a path to follow? Why and how did classical jurists define these rulings so that women are under men's authority, and women's sexuality is men's property? What are the ethical and rational foundations for such

notions of gender rights and sexuality? These questions become even more crucial if it is accepted – as it is here – that the classical jurists sincerely believed both that their findings were derived from the sacred sources of Islam and that they reflected the justice that is an indisputable part of the *shari'a*, as they understood it.

There are two sets of related answers. The first set is ideological and political, and has to do with the strong patriarchal ethos that informed the classical jurists' readings of the sacred texts and their exclusion of women from the production of religious knowledge. The further one moves from the era of the Prophet, the more it is found that women are marginalised and lose their political clout: their voice in the production of religious knowledge is silenced; their presence in public space is curtailed; their critical faculties are so far denigrated as to make their concerns irrelevant to law-making processes.³³ Women had been among the main transmitters of the *hadith* traditions, but by the time the *fiqh* schools were consolidated, over a century after the Prophet's death, they had reduced women to sexual beings and placed them under men's authority.³⁴ This was justified by a certain reading of Islam's sacred texts, and achieved through a set of legal constructs: *zina* as a *hadd* crime, with mandatory and fixed punishments; marriage as a contract by which a man acquires control over a woman's sexuality; and women's bodies as 'awra, shameful.

The second set of answers is more theoretical, and concerns the ways in which patriarchal social norms, existing marriage practices and gender ideologies were sanctified, and then turned into fixed entities in *fiqh*. In brief, the genesis of gender inequality in Islamic legal tradition lies in an inner contradiction between the ideals of the *shar'ia* and the patriarchal structures in which these ideals unfolded and were translated into legal norms. Islam's call for freedom, justice and equality was submerged in the patriarchal norms and practices of 7th-century Arab society and culture and the formative years of Islamic law (MIR-HOSSEINI, 2003, 2007, 2009).

In short, classical jurists' conceptions of justice and gender relations were shaped in interaction with the social, economic, and political realities of the world in which they lived. In this world, patriarchy and slavery were part of the fabric of society; they were seen as the natural order of things, the way to regulate social relations. In their understanding of the sacred texts, these jurists were guided by their outlook, and in discerning the terms of the *shari'a*, they were constrained by a set of gender assumptions and legal theories that reflected the social and political realities of their age. The concepts of gender equality and human rights—as they are meant today – had no place and little relevance to their conceptions of justice.

It is crucial to remember that even if ideas of gender equality belong to the modern world, and were naturally absent in pre-modern legal theories and systems, nevertheless, until the 19th century, Islamic legal tradition granted women better rights than did its Western counterparts. For instance, Muslim women have always been able to retain their legal and economic autonomy in marriage, while in England it was not until 1882, with the passage of the Married Women's Property Act, that women acquired the right to retain ownership of property after marriage.

For Muslims, however, the encounter with modernity coincided with their painful and humiliating encounter with Western colonial powers, in which both

women and family law became symbols of cultural authenticity and carriers of religious tradition, the battleground between the forces of traditionalism and modernity in the Muslim world – a situation that has continued ever since. As Leila Ahmed observes, this has confronted many Muslim women with a painful choice, between betrayal and betrayal. They have to choose between their Muslim identity – their faith – and their new gender consciousness (AHMED, 1984, p. 122).

One of the paradoxical and unintended consequences of political Islam has been to help create an arena within which many women can reconcile their faith and identity with their struggle for gender equality and human dignity. This did not happen because the Islamists offered an egalitarian vision of gender relations- they clearly did not. Rather, their very agenda of 'return to shari'a', and their attempt to translate figh rulings into policy, have provoked Muslim women to increased activism, which some refer to as 'Islamic feminism.'35 The defence of patriarchal rulings as shari'a, as 'God's Law,' as the authentic 'Islamic' way of life, brought the classical *figh* books out of the closet, and unintentionally exposed them to critical scrutiny and public debate. A growing number of women came to question whether there was an inherent or logical link between Islamic ideals and patriarchy. This opened a space for an internal critique of patriarchal readings of the shari'a that was unprecedented in Muslim history. By the early 1990s, there emerged a new consciousness, a new way of thinking, a gender discourse that is arguing for equality for women on all fronts within the framework of Islam. This new discourse is nurtured by feminist scholarship in Islam that is showing how gender is constructed in Islamic legal tradition, uncovering a hidden history and rereading textual sources to unveil an egalitarian interpretation of the sacred texts.³⁶

The emerging feminist scholarship on Islam is helping to bridge the wide gap that exists between the conceptions of justice that inform and underpin the dominant interpretations of the shari'a on the one hand, and human rights legislation on the other. This scholarship is part of a new trend of reformist religious thought that is consolidating notions of Islam and modernity as compatible, not opposed. Following and building on the work of earlier reformers, the new religious thinkers contend that human understanding of Islam's sacred texts is flexible; the texts can be interpreted as encouraging pluralism, human rights, democracy and gender equality. Revisiting the old theological debates, they aim to revive the rationalist approach that was eclipsed when legalism took over as the dominant mode and gave precedence to the form of the law over its substance and spirit. Where earlier reformers sought an Islamic genealogy for modern concepts, the new thinkers place the emphasis on how religious knowledge is produced and how religion is understood; how interpretations of the *shari'a* and *figh* constructs must be evaluated in their historical contexts.³⁷ This new trend of reformist thought helps to assess how these legal constructs have been reproduced, modified and redefined by those countries and communities that have reintroduced zina laws by 'Islamising' penal laws.

More importantly, this new religious thinking and its language can open a new and meaningful dialogue between Islamic law and international human

rights law. Such a conversation can help to build an overlapping consensus and give human rights advocates the conceptual tools and the language to engage with Muslim communities. It can enable them to see *zina* laws as neither part of an irredeemably backward and patriarchal religion, nor as divine and immutable, but as an element in the complex web of norms and laws that classical jurists developed for the regulation of sexuality.³⁸ In other words, this conversation can help human rights advocates to see these laws for what they are: juristic constructions that have their roots in the tribal structures and patriarchal ideology of pre-Islamic Arabia, which continued into the Islamic era, though in a modified form.

For example, it can be shown how death by stoning (*rajm*) takes its textual justification not from the Qur'an but from the Sunnah. Jurists of all schools rely on three *hadith* to build their legal arguments for stoning. This has been contested both by invoking arguments from classical *fiqh* theory, such as the textual primacy of Qur'an over *hadith*, and the fact that the authenticity of these *hadith* has been questioned,³⁹ as well as on human rights grounds. It can be stressed how the legal rulings in the Qur'an and the Sunnah must be understood in their historical and social contexts. For example, some have argued that stoning was a common form of execution at the time of the Prophet, and that it came into Islamic legal tradition as punishment for *zina* from Jewish tradition.⁴⁰

Moreover, the Qur'an neither mandates stoning as punishment for adultery, nor speaks of any punishment for consensual sexual relations in private. As Asifa Quraishi rightly argues, *zina* as defined by classical jurists must be seen as a crime of public indecency rather than private sexual conduct. In her words, "(w)hile the Qur'an condemns extramarital sex as evil, it authorizes the Muslim legal system to prosecute someone for committing this crime *only* when the act is performed so openly that four people see them without invading their privacy."(QURAISHI, 1997, p. 296).⁴¹

Defining crimes according to punishment is itself a juristic development. The expression *hudud Allah*, limits prescribed by God, appears 14 times in the Qur'an. Nowhere is it used in the sense of punishment, fixed or otherwise, nor is it stated specifically what these limits are (KAMALI, 1998, p. 219; 2000, p. 45-65). As Fazlur Rahman notes, in two verses (Quran 2. 229-230) the term appears six times in relation to divorce, demanding that men either retain or release their wives *bil-ma'ruf*, i.e in accordance with 'good custom'; each time, the term carries a slightly different meaning, but neither here nor elsewhere is it used in the sense of punishment. In his words:

These facts should compel us to pause and think how little concerned the Qur'an is about the purely legal side and how much more and primarily with setting the moral tone of the Community. The legal side has undoubtedly to be done justice to and an adequate law has to be developed. But it is left to the Community to formulate this law in the light and moral spirit of the Qur'an which itself shows little tendency to lay down hard and fast laws. And doubly mistaken are those who claim to take the law of God into their own hands and seek to implement it literally.

(RAHMAN, 1965, p. 240).

6 Summary and Conclusions

What are the implications of the analysis offered here for the Violence is Not Our Culture Campaign? This chapter has been mindful of two broad questions: What are the main challenges faced by women's rights activists in their campaign to abolish the zina laws? Can Islamic and human rights frameworks coexist, or in other words, how can an overlapping consensus be built? The chapter located zina laws in the context of the intersection between religion, culture and law in the regulation of sexuality in the Islamic legal tradition, and the shifting politics of relations between religion, law and gender in recent times. The premise here has been that a campaign against zina laws must be fully informed about the legal, social and political justifications of these laws, and the link between them and other laws and customs that sanction men's control over women's sexuality. Zina laws should not be treated in isolation; they are part of complex system for regulating women's behaviour, which is informed by a patriarchal reading of Islam's sacred texts and sustained by a set of outdated assumptions and juristic constructs about female sexuality, which are at the root of violence against women.

The reform and secularisation of penal laws and criminal justice systems in the first half of the 20th century, and their 'Islamisation' in the second half, has made it clear there can be no sustainable improvements in Muslim women's legal and social position while patriarchal interpretations of Islam's sacred texts remain unchallenged. Twentieth century shifts in the politics of religion, law and gender led to the emergence of two powerful, yet opposing, frames of reference: international human rights law and political Islam. The encounter between them has produced a productive dialogue, and opened a new phase in the politics of gender and the battle between forces of traditionalism and modernism in the Muslim world. The crucial element of this phase has been that women themselves — rather than the abstract notion of 'women's rights in Islam' — are now at the heart of the argument.

International human rights law gives activists a conceptual framework and language in which to criticise these laws as gender-based violence. But such an argument meets powerful opposition in countries and communities where religious discourse is paramount, where religious identity has become politicised, and where the Islamists set the terms of sexual and moral discourses. To be effective in such contexts, human rights norms and values must be articulated in a language that can engage with local cultures, practices, and religious traditions (DEMBOUR, 2001). This is a difficult task, a challenge that all human rights advocates must deal with in one way or another. Each context has its own specificities and dynamics, and presents its own challenges. In Muslim contexts, this challenge is given a particular edge by the domination of traditional *figh* and the ways in which its rulings have become embedded in customary cultural practices and sexual codes. The very fact that zina laws come under hudud — seen as 'God's limits' — gives the Islamists and the fundamentalists a real advantage, a ready-made argument for rejecting and denouncing reform as 'contrary to Islam'; hence the power of the Islamist rallying cry of 'return to shari'a'.

One of the main strategies adopted by human rights advocates is to name and shame offending governments into respecting and protecting rights. States that invoke religious misinterpretations to justify discrimination and violence against women have signed up to international human rights conventions; their lack of accountability in enacting the latter must be exposed. But in the eyes of many Muslims, the moral high ground and justice of international human rights law have been undermined by the politics and rhetoric of the so-called "war on terror" in the aftermath of the 9/11 attacks, as well as the West's unwavering support for Israel despite its escalating violations against the Palestinians and their land.⁴² Claiming to be advocates of justice, Islamists thrive on being seen to oppose such outside interventions. In these new 21st century conditions, activists must also be able to engage in an internal discourse within Muslim communities (AN-NA'IM, 2005). As Abdullahi An-Na'im points out, "although the apparent dichotomy between the so-called religious and secular discourses about the rights of women in Islamic societies is somewhat false or grossly exaggerated, its implications are too serious to be ignored in practice" (AN-NA'IM, 1995b, p. 51). A campaign that can bring Islamic and human rights perspectives together can be more persuasive and effective.

To reiterate the main points of the argument in this paper:

- Strategies should be diverse and multi-levelled, and must be able to engage in an internal discourse within communities. Given the intimate links between Islamic legal tradition and culture, it is essential to frame arguments for reform and change concurrently within both Islamic and human rights frameworks.
- In a campaign against *zina* laws or stoning, for strategies of confrontation such as 'naming and shaming' to be more than political rhetoric and be effective in persuading governments or Islamists to change laws or practices, they must be combined with a process of engagement, dialogue and debate in which all sides have the opportunity to articulate principles and defend practices. This has worked, for example, in Morocco with the reform of Family Law following years of women's activism and engagement with clerics (BUSKENS, 2003; COLLECTIF 95 MAGHREB-EGALITÉ, 2005); and in Pakistan, with the amendment of *zina* laws following the intervention of the Council of Islamic Ideology (COUNCIL OF ISLAMIC IDEOLOGY, 2006; LAU, 2007).
- As a general principle, if the aim is to persuade some other group to change their practices or laws, it is more effective to argue that they transgress their own principles; that an alternative law or practice might be more in accordance with both their principles and those of others including international human rights law.
- The principles and ideals of the Qur'an reflect universal norms that have resonance in contemporary human rights standards, and provide the basis for an ethical critique from within an Islamic framework of penal laws based on classical jurisprudence.

REFERENCES

Bibliography and Other Sources

- ABOU-BAKR, O. 2003. Teaching the Words of the Prophet: Women Instructors of the Hadith (Fourteenth and Fifteenth Centuries). **Hawwa**: Journal of Women of the Middle East and the Islamic World, v. 1, n. 3, p. 306-328.
- ABOU EL FADL, K. 2001. Speaking in God's Name: Islamic Law, Authority and Women. Oxford: Oneworld.
- _____. 2004-2005. The Place of Ethical Obligations in Islamic Law. UCLA Journal of Islamic and Near Eastern Law, Los Angeles, v. 4, n. 1, p. 1-40.
- ABU-ODEH, L. 1996.Crimes of Honour and the Construction of Gender in Arab Society. In: YAMANI, M. (Ed.). Feminism and Islam. Reading: Ithaca Press.
- ABU ZAYD, N.H. 2001. The Qur'anic Concept of Justice. Polylog: Forum for International Philosophy 3. Available at: http://them.polylog.org/3/fan-en.htm. Last accessed on: Jun. 2011.
- _____. 2006. **Reformation of Islamic Thought**: A Critical Analysis. Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press.
- AHMED, L. 1984. Early Feminist Movements in the Middle East: Turkey and Egypt. In: HUSSAIN, F. (Ed.). Muslim Women. London: Croom Helm.
- _____. 1991. Early Islam and the Position of Women: The Problem of Interpretation. In: KEDDIE, N.; BARON, B. (Ed.). **Women in Middle Eastern History**. New Haven: Yale University Press. p. 58-73.
- ——. 1992. **Women and Gender in Islam**: Historical Roots of a Debate. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- AL-ASHMAWI, M.S. 2004. Usul al-Sharia (The Principles of Sharia). 5th ed. Beirut: Al-hintasar al-Arabi.
- AL-HIBRI, A. 1982. A Study of Islamic Herstory: Or How Did We Get Into This Mess. Islam and Women. **Women's Studies International Forum**, v. 5, n. 2, p. 207-219. Special issue.
- _____. 1997. Islam, Law and Custom: Redefining Muslim Women's Rights. American University Journal of International Law and Policy, v. 12, n. 1, p. 1-44.
- ALI, K. 2003. Progressive Muslims and Islamic Jurisprudence: The Necessity for Critical Engagement with Marriage and Divorce Law. In: SAFI, O. (Ed.). **Progressive Muslims**: On Justice, Gender, and Pluralism. Oxford: Oneworld. p. 163-189.
- _____. 2006. **Sexual Ethics and Islam**: Feminist Reflections on Quran, Hadith and Jurisprudence. Oxford: Oneworld.
- _____. 2010a. Marriage in Classical Islamic Jurisprudence: A Survey of Doctrines. In: QURAISHI, A.; VOGEL, F. (Ed.). **The Islamic Marriage Contract**: Case Studies in Islamic Family Law. Cambridge, MA: Islamic Legal Studies, Harvard Law School. p. 11-45.

- _____. 2010b. Marriage and Slavery in Early Islam. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL. 2008. Iran: End Executions by Stoning, 15 Jan. Available at: http://www.amnesty.org/en/library/info/MDE13/001/2008/en. Last accessed on: Jun. 2011.
- AN-NAIM, A.A. 1990.Problems of Universal Cultural Legitimacy of Human Rights. In: AN-NAIM, A.A.; DENG, F. (Ed.). **Human Rights in Africa**: Cross-Cultural Perspectives. Washington: Brookings Institution Press. p. 331-367.
- _____. 1995a. What Do We Mean By Universal? Index on Censorship, v. 4, n. 5, p. 120-128.
- _____. 1995b. The Dichotomy Between Religious and Secular Discourse in Islamic Societies. In: AFKHAMI, M. (Ed.). Faith and Freedom: Women's Human Rights in the Muslim World. London and New York: I B Tauris. p. 51-60.
- _____. 2005. The Role of "Community Discourse" in Combating "Crimes of Honour": Preliminary Assessment and Prospects. In: WELCHMAN, L.; HOSSAIN, S. (Ed.). **Honour**: Crimes, Paradigms, Reproductive Health and Women's Rights. London: Zed Books. p. 64-77.
- BADERIN, M. 2001. Establishing Areas of Common Ground Between Islamic Law and International Human Rights. International Journal of Human Rights, v. 5, n. 2, p. 72-113.
- _____. 2007. Islam and the Realization of Human Rights in the Muslim World: A Reflection on Two Essential Approaches and Two Divergent Perspectives. Muslim World Journal of Human Rights, v. 4, n. 1. Available at: http://www.bepress.com/mwjhr/vol4/iss1/art5/. Last accessed on: Jun. 2011.
- BADRAN, M. 2002. Islamic Feminism: What's in a Name? Al-Ahram Weekly Online, n. 569, p. 17-23, Jan. Available at: http://weekly.ahram.org.eg/2002/569/cu1. htm>. Last accessed on: Jun. 2011.
- _____. 2006. Islamic Feminism Revisited. **CounterCurrents.Org**. 10 Feb. Available at: http://www.countercurrents.org/gen-badran100206.htm>. Last accessed on: Jun. 2011.
- BAGHI, E. 2007. The Bloodied Stone: Execution by Stoning. International Campaign for Human Rights in Iran, 2 Aug. Available at: http://www.iranhumanrights.org/2008/08/baghibloodiedstone>. Last accessed on: Jun. 2011.
- BARLAS, A. 2002. Believing Women in Islam: Unreading Patriarchal Interpretations of the Quran. Austin: University of Texas Press.
- BASSIOUNI, M.C. 1997. Crimes and the Criminal Process. Arab Law Quarterly, v. 12, n. 3, p. 269-286.
- BIELEFELDT, H. 1995. Muslim Voices in the Human Rights Debate. Human Rights Quarterly, v. 14, n. 4, p. 587-617.
- _____. 2000. "Western" versus "Islamic" Human Rights Conceptions? A Critique of Cultural Essentialism in the Discussion on Human Rights. Political Theory, v. 28, n. 1, p. 90-121.

- BURTON, J. 1978. The Origin of the Islamic Penalty of Adultery. **Transactions of the Glasgow University Oriental Society**, n. 26, p. 16-26.
- _____. 1993. Law and Exegesis: The Penalty for Adultery in Islam. In: HAWTING, G.R.; SHAREEF, A.A. (Ed.). **Approaches to the Quran**. London: Routledge. p. 269-284.
- BUSKENS, L. 2003. Recent Debates on Family Law Reform in Morocco: Islamic Law as Politics in an Emerging Public Sphere. **Islamic Law and Society**, v. 10, n. 1, p. 71-131.
- COLLECTIF 95 MAGHREB-EGALITÉ. 2005. Guide to Equality in the Family in the Maghreb. Washington DC: Women's Learning Partnership for Rights, Development and Peace (WLP). (English ed.).
- COUNCIL OF ISLAMIC IDEOLOGY. 2006. Hudood Ordinance 1979: A Critical Report. Islamabad: Government of Pakistan.
- DEMBOUR, M.B. 2001. Following the Movement of a Pendulum: Between Universalism and Relativism. In: COWAN, J.; DEMBOUR, M.B.; WILSON, R. (Ed.). Culture and Rights: Anthropological Perspectives. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. p. 56-79
- EL-AWA, M.S. 1993. Punishment in Islamic Law. Plainfield, IN: American Trust Publications.
- ENGINEER, A.A. 2007.Adultery and Qur'anic Punishment.Future Islam, 1 May. Available at: http://www.futureislam.com/20070501/insight/asgharali/Adultery_and_Quranic_Punishment.asp. Last accessed on: Jun. 2011.
- FIERRO, M. 2007.Idru l-Hudud bi-l-Shubuhat: When Lawful Violence Meets Doubt. Hawwa: Journal of Women of the Middle East and the Islamic World, v. 5, n. 2-3, p. 208-238.
- GALLIE, B. 1956. Essentially Contested Concepts. Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society, New Series, n. 56, p. 167-198.
- GIBB, H.A.R.; KRAMERS, J.H. 1961.Zina. Shorter Encyclopedia of Islam. Leiden: Brill, p. 658-659.
- HAERI, S. 1989. Law of Desire: Temporary Marriage in Iran. London: I. B. Tauris.
- HASSAN, R. 1987. Equal Before Allah? Woman-Man Equality in the Islamic Tradition. Harvard Divinity Bulletin, v. 7, n. 2, Jan.-May.
- _____. 1996. Feminist Theology: Challenges for Muslim Women. **Critique**: Journal for Critical Studies of the Middle East, n. 9, p. 53-65.
- HUNTER, S.T.; MALIK, H. (Ed.). 2005. Islam and Human Rights: Advancing a US-Muslim Dialogue. Washington: Center for Strategic and International Studies.
- IBN RUSHD 1996. The Distinguished Jurists Primer. Translated by Imran Ahsan Khan Nyazee. Reading: Garnet Publishing. v. II (*Bidayat al-MujtahidwaNihayat al-Muqtasid*).
- IMAM, A. 2005. Women's Reproductive and Sexual Rights and the Offence of Zina in Muslim Laws in Nigeria. In: CHAVKIN, W.; CHESLER, E. (Ed.). Where

- **Human Rights Begin**: Health, Sexuality, and Women in the New Millennium. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.
- JAHANGIR, A.; JILANI, H. 1988. The Hudood Ordinances: A Divine Sanction? Lahore: Rhotac Books.
- JAHANPOUR, F. 2007. Islam and Human Rights. Journal of Globalization for the Common Good, spring. Available at: http://lass.calumet.purdue.edu/cca/jgcg/2007/sp07/jgcg-sp07-jahanpour.htm. Last accessed on: Jun. 2011.
- JANSEN, W. 2000. Sleeping in the Womb: Protracted Pregnancies in the Maghreb. The Muslim World, n. 90, p. 218-237.
- KAMALI, M.H. 1998. Punishment in Islamic Law: A Critique of the Hudud Bill of Kelantan, Malaysia. **Arab Law Quarterly**, v. 13, n. 3, p. 203-234.
- _____. 1999. Freedom, Equality, and Justice in Islam. Kuala Lumpur: Ilmiah Publishers, and Markfield: The Islamic Foundation UK.
- _____. 2000. **Punishment in Islamic Law**: An Inquiry into the Hudud Bill of Kelantan. Kuala Lumpur: Ilmiah Publishers.
- _____. 2006. An Introduction to Shariah. Kuala Lumpur: Ilmiah Publishers.
- KARAMAH. Muslim Women Lawyers for Human Rights (n.d.). Zina, Rape, and Islamic Law: An Islamic Legal Analysis of the Rape Laws in Pakistan. Available at: http://www.karamah.org/articles.htm. Last accessed on: Jun. 2011.
- KUGLE, S.S.H. 2003. Sexuality, diversity and ethics in the agenda of progressive Muslims. In: SAFI, O. (Ed.). **Progressive Muslims**: On Justice, Gender, and Pluralism. Oxford: Oneworld. p. 190-234
- _____. 2010. **Homosexuality in Islam**: Islamic Reflections on Gay, Lesbian, and Transgender Muslims. Oxford: Oneworld.
- KURZMAN, C. (Ed.) 1998. Liberal Islam: A Sourcebook. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- _____. (Ed.). 2002. Modernist Islam 1840-1940: A Sourcebook. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- LAU, M. 2007. Twenty-Five Years of Hudood Ordinances: A Review. **Washington and Lee Law Review**, n. 64, p. 1291-1314.
- MAGHNIYYAH, M.J. 1997. Marriage According to Five Schools of Islamic Law. Tehran: Department of Translation and Publication, Islamic Culture and Relations Organization. v. V.
- MARMON, S. 1999. Domestic Slavery in the Mamluk Empire: A Preliminary Sketch. In: ______. (Ed.). Slavery in the Islamic Middle East. Princeton: Department of Near Eastern Studies. p. 1-23.
- MASUD, M.K. 2009. *Ikhtilaf al-Fuqaha*: Diversity in *Fiqh* as Social Construction. In: ANWAR, Z. (Ed.). **Wanted**: Equality and Justice in the Muslim Family. Kuala Lumpur: Sisters in Islam. p. 65-93. Available at: <www.musawah.org>. Last accessed on: Jun. 2011.

- MERNISSI, F. 1985. Beyond the Veil: Male-Female Dynamics in Muslim Society Revised ed. London: Al Saqi. . 1991. Women and Islam: An Historical and Theological Enquiry. Translated by Mary Jo Lakeland. Oxford: Blackwell. MERRY, S.E. 2003. Human Rights Law and the Demonization of Culture (and Anthropology Along the Way). Political and Legal Anthropology Review, v. 26, n. 1, p. 55-76. . 2006. Human Rights and Gender Violence: Translating International Law into Local Justice. Chicago: Chicago University Press. . 2009. Gender Violence: A Cultural Perspective. Malden/Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell. MIR-HOSSEINI, Z. 1993. Marriage on Trial: A Study of Islamic Family Law, Iran and Morocco Compared. London: I. B. Tauris. _. 2003. The Construction of Gender in Islamic Legal Thought: Strategies for Reform. Hawwa: Journal of Women of the Middle East and the Islamic World, v. 1, n. 1, p. 1-28. . 2004. Sexuality, Rights and Islam: Competing Gender Discourses in Post-Revolutionary Iran. In: NASHAT, G.; BECK, L. (Ed.). Women in Iran from 1800 to the Islamic Republic. Urbana and Chicago: University of Illinois Press. p. 204-217. _. 2006. Muslim Women's Quest for Equality: Between Islamic Law and Feminism. Critical Inquiry, v. 32, n. 4, p. 629-645. _. 2007. The Politics and Hermeneutics of Hijab in Iran: From Confinement to Choice. Muslim World Journal of Human Rights, v. 4, n. 1, summer-fall. Available at: http://www.bepress.com/mwjhr/vol4/iss1/art2/>. Last accessed on: Jun. 2011. . 2009. Towards Gender Equality: Muslim Family Laws and the Shari 'ah. In: ANWAR, Z. (Ed.). Wanted: Equality and Justice in the Muslim Family. Kuala
- on: Jun. 2011.
 _____. 2011a. Beyond "Islam" vs "Feminism".IDS Bulletin, v. 42, n. 1, Jan. forthcoming.
- _____. 2011b. Hijab and Choice: Between Politics and Theology. In: KAMRAVA, M. (Ed.). **Innovations in Islam**: Traditions and Contributions. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Lumpur: Sisters in Islam. p. 23-63. Available at: <www.musawah.org>. Last accessed

- MIR-HOSSEINI, Z.; TAPPER, R. 2009. Islamism: ism or wasm? In: MARTIN, R.; BARZEGAR, A. (Ed.). **Islamism**: Contested Perspectives on Political Islam. Palo Alto, CA: Stanford University Press. p. 81-86.
- MODIRZADEH, N.K. 2006. Taking Islamic Law Seriously: INGOs and the Battle for Muslim Hearts and Minds. **Harvard Human Rights Journal**, n. 19, p. 193-233.
- PETERS, R. 1994. The Islamization of Criminal Law: A Comparative Analysis. Die Welt des Islams, New Series, v. 34, n. 2, p. 246-274.
- _____. 2003. From Jurists Law to Statute Law or What Happens When the Sharia is

- Codified. In: ROBERSON, B.A. (Ed.). Shaping the Current Islamic Reformation. London: Frank Cass. p. 82-95.
- _____. 2005. Crime and Punishment in Islamic Law: Theory and Practice from the Sixteenth to the Twenty-First Century. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- _____. 2006. The Re-Islamization of Criminal Law in Northern Nigeria and the Judiciary: The Saffiyatu Hussaini Case. In: MASUD, M.K.; PETERS, R.; POWERS, D. (Ed.). **Dispensing Justice**: Qadis and their Judgements. Leiden: Brill. p. 219-241.
- QURAISHI, A. 1996-1997. Her Honor: An Islamic Critique of the Rape Laws of Pakistan from a Woman-Sensitive Perspective. **Michigan Journal of International Law**, v. 18, p. 287-320.
- _____. 2008. Who Says Sharia Demands the Stoning of Women? A Description of Islamic Law and Constitutionalism. Berkeley Journal of Middle Eastern & Islamic Law, v. 1, p. 163-177. Available at: http://ssrn.com/abstract=1140204. Last accessed on: Jun. 2011.
- RAHMAN, F. 1965. The Concept of Hadd in Islamic Law. Islamic Studies, v. 4, p. 237-252.
- _____. 1966. **Sharia, Chapter 6 of his** *Islam.* 1st ed. Chicago: Chicago University Press. p. 100-116. Available at: http://www.globalwebpost.com/farooqm/study_res/default.html. Last accessed on: Jun. 2011.
- _____. 1982. The Status of Women in Islam: A Modernist Interpretation. In: PAPANEK H.; MINAULT, G. (Ed.). **Separate World**: Studies of Purdah in South Asia. Delhi: Chanakya Publications.
- REUTERS 2009. Somali Hardliners Whip Women for Wearing Bras; Men Whipped for Shaving, Women Made to Shake Breasts. Al Arabiya, 16 Oct. Available at: http://www.alarabiya.net/save_print.php?print=1&cont_id=88238&lang=en. Last accessed on: Jun. 2011.
- SAFWAT, S. 1982. Offences and Penalties in Islamic Law. Islamic Quarterly, v. 26, n. 3, p. 149-181.
- SAJOO, A.B. 1999. Islam and Human Rights: Congruence or Dichotomy. Temple International and Comparative Law Journal, v. 4, p. 23-34.
- SEN, A. 1998. Universal Truths: Human Rights and the Westernizing Illusion. Harvard International Review, v. 20, n. 3, p. 40-43, summer. Available at: http://www.mtholyoke.edu/acad/intrel/asian values/sen.htm. Last accessed on: Jun. 2011.
- SERRANO, D. 2007.Rape in Maliki Legal Doctrine and Practice (8th-15th Centuries C.E.).**Hawwa**: Journal of Women of the Middle East and the Islamic World, v. 1, n. 1, p. 167-207.
- _____. 2009. Muslim Feminists Discourse on zina: New Paradigms in Sight?. In: BADRY, R.; ROHRER, M.; STEINER, K. (Ed.). Liebe, Sexualitat, Ehe und Partnerschaft-ParadigmenimWandel. Freiburg: FördergemeinschaftwissenschaftlicherPublikationen von Frauen e.V.
- SIDAHMED, A.S. 2001. Problems in Contemporary Applications of Islamic Criminal

- Sanctions: the Penalty for Adultery in Relation to Women. British Journal of Middle Eastern Studies, v. 28, n. 2, p. 187-204.
- SMITH, J. 1985. Women, Religion and Social Change in Early Islam. In: HADDAD, Y.Y.; FINDLY, E.B. (Ed.). Women, Religion, and Social Change. Albany: SUNY Press. p. 19-36.
- _____. 2000. Islamic Revival and Reform: Theological Approaches. In: Reason, Freedom, & Democracy in Islam: Essential Writings of Abdolkarim Sorush.

 Translated and edited with a critical introduction by Mahmoud Sadri and Ahmed Sadri. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- _____. 2002. Islam, Revelation and Prophethood: An Interview with Abdulkarim Soroush about the Expansion of Prophetic Experience. **Aftab**, n. 15. Available at: http://www.drsoroush.com/English.htm. Last accessed on: Jun. 2011.
- . 2007. The Beauty of Justice. **CSD Bulletin**, v. 14, n. 1-2, p. 8-12, summer. Available at: http://www.drsoroush.com/English.htm. Last accessed on: Jun. 2011.
- SPELLBERG, D. 1991. Political Action and Public Example: Aisha and the Battle of the Camel. In: BARON, B.; KEDDIE, N. (Ed.). Women in Middle Eastern History: Shifting Boundaries in Sex and Gender. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- STRAWSON, J. 1997. A Western Question to the Middle East: "Is There a Human Rights Discourse in Islam?". **Arab Studies Quarterly**, v. 19, n. 1, p. 31-57, winter.
- TERMAN, R. 2007. The Stop Stoning Forever Campaign: A Report. Women Living Under Muslim Laws. Available at: http://www.meydaan.com/english/default.aspx. Last accessed on: Jun. 2011.
- WADUD, A. 1999. Quran and Woman: Rereading of the Sacred Text from a Woman's Perspective, New York: Oxford University Press.
- _____. 2004. Quran, Gender and Interpretive Possibilities. Hawwa: Journal of Women of the Middle East and the Islamic World, v. 2, n. 3, p. 317-336.
- _____. 2006. Inside the Gender Jihad: Women's Reform in Islam. Oxford: Oneworld.
- _____. 2009. Islam Beyond Patriarchy Through Gender Inclusive Qur'anic Analysis. In: ANWAR, Z. (Ed.). **Wanted**: Equality and Justice in the Muslim Family. Kuala Lumpur: Sisters in Islam. p. 95-112. Available at: <www.musawah.org>. Last accessed on: Jun. 2011.
- WEISS. 2003. The Spirit of Islamic Law. Atlanta: University of Georgia Press.
- WELCHMAN, L. 2007. Honour and Violence Against Women in Modern Sharia Discourse. **Hawwa**: Journal of Women in the Middle East and the Islamic World, v. 5, n. 2-3, p. 165-247.
- WILLIS, J.R. 1985. The Ideology of Enslavement in Islam Introduction. In: WILLIS, J.R. (Ed.). Slaves and Slavery in Muslim Africa. London: Frank Cass. v. 1. p. 1-15.
- YUSUF ALI, A, 1997. The Meaning of the Holy Qur'an. 10th ed. with revised translation, commentary and newly compiled comprehensive index. Beltsville, Maryland: Amana publications.

NOTES

- 1. Apart from zina, other categories of sexual relations criminalised in classical legal tradition are liwat, homosexual relations between men, and musahaqa, homosexual relations between women, neither of which are a major focus of this chapter.
- 2. For instance, many Arab states adopted the penalty for adultery and so-called 'crimes of passion' from European penal codes (Abu-Odeh, 1996, Welchman, 2007). The same happened in Iran.
- 3. See the websites of Women Living Under Muslim Laws (http://www.wluml.org) and the Violence is Not Our Culture Campaign (http://www.stop-killing.org). Last accessed on: Jun. 2011.
- 4. See Gallie (1956, p. 167-172); an essentially contested concept, in Gallie's terms, has 'disagreement at its core,' Terms and concepts like 'work of art' or 'democracy' or 'religion' inevitably involve endless disputes about their proper use on the part of their users. In other words, contestedness is an essential part of such concepts; there cannot be only one definition on which all agree.
- 5. For discussions of the tension between universalism and relativism in human rights, see: An-Na'im (1990, 1995a), Dembour (2001), Merry (2003), Sen (1988). For debates on the compatibility between Islam and human rights law, see: Baderin (2001, 2007), Bielefeldt (1995, 2000), Hunter and Malik (2005), Jahanpour (2007), Sajoo (1999), Strawson (1997).
- **6.** In Kamali's words (2006, p. 37), "Shari'a demarcates the path which the believer has to tread in order to obtain guidance."
- 7. For instance, Al-'Ashmawi, the Egyptian reformist and chief judge of the High Court of Appeals, in a book entitled *Usul al-Shari*'a, The Principles of *Shari*'a (not *Usul al-Fiqh*), contends that *shari*'a is not legal rules but ethical principles and values in the Qur'an, in which justice is primary. For a sample translation of his work, see Kurzman (1998, p. 49-56).
- 8. See Merry (2003) for an insightful discussion of ways in which culture and, along the way, anthropology as a discipline that studies culture, has been demonised in certain human rights discourses, which do not take into consideration the rethinking of the concept of culture in anthropology in recent decades. This has parallels with the demonisation of religion by those unaware of theoretical developments in religious studies.
- **9.** For excellent accounts of anthropological approaches to violence against women, see Merry (2006, 2009).
- 10. This challenge has been the subject of

- many research projects and initiatives; see, for instance, New Directions in Islamic Thought and Practice, initiated in 2003 by the Oslo Coalition for Freedom of Religion and Belief (www. oslocoalition.org/nd.php), and Musawah: A Global Movement for Equality and Change in the Muslim Family, launched in 2009 (www.musawah.org). Last accessed on: Jun. 2011.
- 11. For a definition of Islamists as 'Muslims committed to public action to implement what they regard as an Islamic agenda,' see Mir-Hosseini and Tapper (2009, p. 81-82).
- 12. For a good account of these developments, see Merry (2006, p. 77-84).
- 13. For a general discussion of the 'Islamisation' of criminal law, see Peters (1994).
- 14. They were grafted onto existing Italian-based criminal law; but they did not have stoning as punishment for zina (PETERS, 1994). Meanwhile various Gulf states already had fiqh-based penal codes: Kuwait (1960, 1970), Oman (1974), Bahrain (1976). Codification came later in United Arab Emirates (1988) and Qatar (2004).
- 15. For instance, 16 October 2009, Somali hardliners Islamic militia whipped women for wearing bras, as they defined it as 'provocative'. Available at: http://www.alarabiya.net/save_print.php?print=1&cont_id=88238&lang=en. Last accessed on: Jun. 2011.
- 16. There is now a substantial literature on this; for instance, for Pakistan, see Jahangir and Jilani (1988); for Iran, Terman (2007); for Sudan, Sidahmed (2001); for Nigeria, Imam (2005) and Peters (2006).
- 17. For figh-based accounts, see Safwat (1982) and Bassiouni (1997); for an analytical view, see Peters (2005); for reformist and critical accounts, see El-Awa (1993), Kamali (1998, 2000, 2006, p. 184-189).
- 18. The inclusion of drinking alcohol and apostasy has no textual basis. Hanbali jurists do not define apostasy as a *hadd* crime (PETERS, 2005, p. 64-65); some jurists do not consider drinking alcohol as a *hadd* crime (EL-AWA, 1993, p. 2).
- 19. For an outline of zina rulings in Sunni schools and differences of opinion among the jurists, see IbnRushd (1996, p. 521-530).
- 20. A belief in the 'sleeping foetus' (raqqad) is still widespread in North and West Africa. According to this belief, the embryo for some unknown reason goes to sleep in the mother's womb, and remains there dormant until it is awakened, for example by a magical potion or intervention by a saint. Malik ibnAnas, founder of the Maliki school of jurists, was reputed to have been a sleeping foetus. See Jansen (2000), Mir-Hosseini (1993, p. 143-46).

- 21. Translated by Abdullah Yusuf Ali, see Yusuf Ali (1997).
- 22. The doctrine of shubha is based on a saving of the Prophet: "God's sanction will not be applied in cases where there is room for doubt." Hadd is suspended in cases where there is any ambiguity as to facts and proofs; for discussion, see Fierro (2007).
- 23. For a groundbreaking study, see Kugle (2003,
- 24. For instance, the Islamic Republic of Iran uses the notion of 'elm-e qazi ('judge's knowledge') which refers to personal information that is not presented or examined by the court. In practice, this allows the judge to decide if an offence has been committed; often women are tricked into confession, see Terman (2007).
- 25. This discussion is concerned with marriage as defined by classical jurists, not marriage in practice; for more detailed treatment of the subject, see Ali (2006, 2010a), Mir-Hosseini (2003, 2009). Mahr is sometimes inaccurately translated as 'dowry,' but this means property or cash that a wife brings her husband on marriage, as occurs in India and used to occur in Europe; the Muslim mahr, by contrast, is property that a husband gives his wife, and thus resembles the English 'dower,' a more appropriate translation.
- **26.** For similarities in the juristic conceptions of slavery and marriage, see Marmon (1999) and Willis (1985).
- 27. For these disagreements, see Ali (2003, 2006) and Maghniyyah (1997); for their impact on rulings related to mahr and the ways in which classical jurists discussed them, see Ibn Rushd (1996, p. 31-33).
- 28. In Shi'a law a man may contract as many temporary marriages (mut'a) as he desires or can afford. For this form of marriage, see Haeri (1989).
- 29. Classical Maliki law grants women the widest grounds (absence of the husband, his mistreatment, failure to provide, and failure to fulfil marital duties), which have been used as the basis for expanding women's grounds for divorce in the process of codification, see Mir-Hosseini (1993, 2003).
- 30. Many terms commonly used today in different countries for 'the veil,' such as hijab, purdah, chador, burga, are not found in classical figh texts.
- **31.** For the evolution of *hijab* in Islamic legal

- tradition, see Mir-Hosseini (2007).
- **32.** For a critical discussion of these two assumptions, see Abou El Fadl (2001, p. 239-247). In some extremist circles today, even a woman's voice is defined as 'awra.
- 33. There is an extensive debate in the literature on this, which will not be entered into here. Some argue that the advent of Islam weakened the patriarchal structures of Arabian society, others that it reinforced them. The latter also maintain that, before the advent of Islam, society was undergoing a transition from matrilineal to patrilineal descent, that Islam facilitated this by giving patriarchy the seal of approval, and that the Qur'anic injunctions on marriage, divorce, inheritance, and whatever relates to women both reflect and affirm such a transition. For concise accounts of the debate, see Smith (1985) and Spellberg (1991).
- 34. As Abou-Bakr (2003) shows, women remained active in transmitting religious knowledge, but their activities were limited to the informal arena of homes and mosques and their status as jurists was not officially recognised.
- **35.** There is a growing literature on the politics and development of 'Islamic feminism'; for discussions and references, see Badran (2002, 2006) and Mir-Hosseini (2006, 2011a, 2011b).
- 36. Feminist studies in Islam are too numerous to list here, but see especially Ahmed (1992), Al-Hibri (1982, 1997), Ali (2003, 2006, 2010a, 2010b), Barlas (2002), Hassan (1987, 1996) Mernissi (1991), Mir-Hosseini (2003, 2006, 2009, 2011a, 2011b), Wadud (1999, 2006).
- **37.** For general introductions and some sample texts, see Kurzman (1998, 2002); Abu Zayd (2006).
- 38. For a discussion of Muslim feminists' discourse on zina, see Serrano (2009).
- 39. For examples, see Burton (1978, 1993), Engineer (2007), Kamali (2000).
- 40. For a discussion of debates in classical sources, see Burton (1978, 1993) and for new arguments based on human rights, see Baghi (2007), Engineer (2007), Quraishi (2008).
- 41. See also Karamah (n.d.).
- 42. For an incisive discussion of dilemmas encountered by international NGOs working in Muslim contexts, see Modirzadeh (2006).

RESUMO

A autora oferece uma crítica feminista e baseada em direitos sobre as leis de *zina* na tradição jurídica muçulmana, a qual define como crime todas as relações sexuais fora do casamento civil.

No início do século XX, as leis de *zina*, que raramente eram aplicadas na prática, tornaram-se também juridicamente obsoletas em quase todos os países e comunidades muçulmanos; mas com o ressurgimento do Islá como uma força política e espiritual durante o século XX, em vários estados e comunidades as leis de *zina* foram seletivamente restabelecidas, codificadas e introduzidas no sistema de justiça penal, e aplicadas pela estrutura do Estado moderno.

A autora examina campanhas em curso para descriminalizar o sexo consensual, e argumenta que as leis de *zina* também devem ser abordadas a partir de dentro da tradição jurídica islâmica.

Explorando as interseções entre cultura, religião e direito que legitimam a violência na regulamentação da sexualidade, a autora propõe uma estrutura que pode conciliar os princípios islâmicos e de direitos humanos.

PALAVRAS-CHAVE

Sexualidade – Violência – Gênero – Islã – Direito – Direitos humanos

RESUMEN

La autora, desde una perspectiva feminista y basada en los derechos, critica las leyes de *zina* de la tradición jurídica musulmana, que penalizan todas las relaciones sexuales extramatrimoniales.

A principios del siglo XX, las leyes de *zina*, que rara vez se aplicaban en la práctica, se volvieron también obsoletas legalmente en casi todos los países y comunidades de tradición musulmana; sin embargo, con el resurgimiento del islamismo como fuerza política y espiritual a fines de ese mismo siglo, en varios países y comunidades fueron resucitadas, codificadas e implantadas en el sistema penal en forma selectiva, y fueron aplicadas por medio de la maquinaria estatal moderna.

La autora repasa campañas actuales destinadas a despenalizar las relaciones sexuales consentidas y sostiene que las leyes de *zina* también deben ser consideradas desde la tradición jurídica islámica.

Explorando las intersecciones entre la religión, la cultura y el derecho que legitiman la violencia en la reglamentación de la sexualidad, la autora propone un marco que puede unir los principios del derecho islámico y los derechos humanos.

PALABRAS CLAVE

Sexualidad – Violencia – Género – Islam – Derecho – Derechos humanos

Previous numbers are available at <www.surjournal.org>.

SUR 1, v. 1, n. 1, Jun. 2004

EMILIO GARCÍA MÉNDEZ Origin, Concept and Future of Human Rights: Reflections for a New Agenda

FLAVIA PIOVESAN Social, Economic and Cultural Rights and Civil and Political Rights

OSCAR VILHENA VIEIRA AND A. SCOTT DUPREE

Reflections on Civil Society and Human Rights

JEREMY SARKIN

The Coming of Age of Claims for Reparations for Human Rights Abuses Committed in the South

VINODH JAICHAND Public Interest Litigation Strategies for Advancing Human Rights in Domestic Systems of Law

PAUL CHEVIGNY Repression in the United States after the September 11 Attack

SERGIO VIEIRA DE MELLO Only Member States Can Make the UN WorkFive Questions for the Human Rights Field

SUR 2, v. 2, n. 2, Jun. 2005

SALIL SHETTY

Millennium Declaration and Development Goals: Opportunities for Human Rights

FATEH AZZAM
Reflections on Human Rights
Approaches to Implementing the
Millennium Development Goals

RICHARD PIERRE CLAUDE
The Right to Education and Human
Rights Education

JOSÉ REINALDO DE LIMA LOPES The Right to Recognition for Gays and Lesbians

E.S. NWAUCHE AND J.C. NWOBIKE Implementing the Right to Development

STEVEN FREELAND Human Rights, the Environment and Conflict: Addressing Crimes against the Environment

FIONA MACAULAY Civil Society-State Partnerships for the Promotion of Citizen Security in Brazil

EDWIN REKOSH
Who Defines the Public Interest?

VÍCTOR E. ABRAMOVICH Courses of Action in Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: Instruments and Allies

SUR 3, v. 2, n. 3, Dec. 2005

CAROLINE DOMMEN
Trade and Human Rights: Towards
Coherence

CARLOS M. CORREA TRIPS Agreement and Access to Drugs in Developing Countries

BERNARDO SORJ Security, Human Security and Latin America

ALBERTO BOVINO Evidential Issues before the Inter-American Court of Human Rights

NICO HORN Eddie Mabo and Namibia: Land

Reform and Pre-Colonial Land Rights

NLERUM S. OKOGBULE Access to Justice and Human Rights Protection in Nigeria: Problems and Prospects

MARÍA JOSÉ GUEMBE Reopening of Trials for Crimes Committed by the Argentine Military Dictatorship

JOSÉ RICARDO CUNHA Human Rights and Justiciability: A Survey Conducted in Rio de Janeiro

LOUISE ARBOUR Plan of Action Submitted by the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

SUR 4, v. 3, n. 4, Jun. 2006

FERNANDE RAINE

The measurement challenge in human rights

MARIO MELO

Recent advances in the justiciability of indigenous rights in the Inter American System of Human Rights

ISABELA FIGUEROA Indigenous peoples versus oil companies: Constitutional control within resistance

ROBERT ARCHER

The strengths of different traditions: What can be gained and what might be lost by combining rights and development?

J. PAUL MARTIN
Development and rights revisited:
Lessons from Africa

MICHELLE RATTON SANCHEZ Brief observations on the mechanisms for NGO participation in the WTO

JUSTICE C. NWOBIKE Pharmaceutical corporations and access to drugs in developing countries: The way forward CLÓVIS ROBERTO ZIMMERMANN Social programs from a human rights perspective: The case of the Lula administration's family grant in Brazil

CHRISTOF HEYNS, DAVID
PADILLA AND LEO ZWAAK
A schematic comparison of regional
human rights systems: An update
BOOK REVIEW

SUR 5, v. 3, n. 5, Dec. 2006

CARLOS VILLAN DURAN Lights and shadows of the new United Nations Human Rights Council

PAULINA VEGA GONZÁLEZ
The role of victims in International
Criminal Court proceedings: their
rights and the first rulings of the
Court

OSWALDO RUIZ CHIRIBOGA The right to cultural identity of indigenous peoples and national minorities: a look from the Inter-American System

LYDIAH KEMUNTO BOSIRE Overpromised, underdelivered: transitional justice in Sub-Saharan Africa

DEVIKA PRASAD Strengthening democratic policing and accountability in the Commonwealth Pacific

IGNACIO CANO
Public security policies in Brazil:
attempts to modernize and democratize versus the war on crime

TOM FARER
Toward an effective international legal order: from co-existence to

BOOK REVIEW

concert?

SUR 6, v. 4, n. 6, Jun. 2007

UPENDRA BAXI The Rule of Law in India

OSCAR VILHENA VIEIRA
Inequality and the subversion of the
Rule of Law

RODRIGO UPRIMNY YEPES Judicialization of politics in Colombia: cases, merits and risks

LAURA C. PAUTASSI
Is there equality in inequality?
Scope and limits of affirmative actions

GERT JONKER AND RIKA SWANZEN Intermediary services for child

witnesses testifying in South African criminal courts

Previous numbers are available at <www.surjournal.org>.

SERGIO BRANCO

Brazilian copyright law and how it restricts the efficiency of the human right to education

THOMAS W. POGGE
Eradicating systemic poverty: brief
for a Global Resources Dividend

SUR 7, v. 4, n. 7, Dec. 2007

LUCIA NADER

The role of NGOs in the UN Human Rights Council

CECÍLIA MACDOWELL SANTOS Transnational legal activism and the State: reflections on cases against Brazil in the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights

TRANSITIONAL JUSTICE

TARA URS

Imagining locally-motivated accountability for mass atrocities: voices from Cambodia

CECILY ROSE AND

FRANCIS M. SSEKANDI The pursuit of transitional justice and African traditional values: a clash of civilizations – The case of Uganda

RAMONA VIJEYARASA Facing Australia's history: truth and reconciliation for the stolen generations

ELIZABETH SALMÓN G. The long road in the fight against poverty and its promising encounter with human rights

INTERVIEW WITH JUAN MÉNDEZ By Glenda Mezarobba

SUR 8, v. 5, n. 8, Jun. 2008

MARTÍN ABREGÚ

Human rights for all: from the struggle against authoritarianism to the construction of an all-inclusive democracy - A view from the Southern Cone and Andean region

AMITA DHANDA

Constructing a new human rights lexicon: Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities

LAURA DAVIS MATTAR Legal recognition of sexual rights – a comparative analysis with reproductive rights

JAMES L. CAVALLARO AND STEPHANIE ERIN BREWER The virtue of following: the role of Inter-American litigation in campaigns for social justice

RIGHT TO HEALTH AND ACCESS TO MEDICAMENTS

PAUL HUNT AND RAJAT KHOSLA The human right to medicines

THOMAS POGGE

Medicines for the world: boosting innovation without obstructing free access

JORGE CONTESSE AND DOMINGO LOVERA PARMO

Access to medical treatment for people living with HIV/AIDS: success without victory in Chile

GABRIELA COSTA CHAVES, MARCELA FOGAÇA VIEIRA AND RENATA REIS

Access to medicines and intellectual property in Brazil: reflections and strategies of civil society

SUR 9, v. 5, n. 9, Dec. 2008

BARBORA BUKOVSKÁ

Perpetrating good: unintended consequences of international human rights advocacy

JEREMY SARKIN

Prisons in Africa: an evaluation from a human rights perspective

REBECCA SAUNDERS

Lost in translation: expressions of human suffering, the language of human rights, and the South African Truth and Reconciliation Commission

SIXTY YEARS OF THE UNIVERSAL DECLARATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS

PAULO SÉRGIO PINHEIRO Sixty years after the Universal Declaration: navigating the contradictions

FERNANDA DOZ COSTA Poverty and human rights from rhetoric to legal obligations: a critical account of conceptual frameworks

EITAN FELNER

A new frontier in economic and social rights advocacy? Turning quantitative data into a tool for human rights accountability

KATHERINE SHORT

From Commission to Council: has the United Nations succeeded in creating a credible human rights body?

ANTHONY ROMERO

Interview with Anthony Romero, Executive Director of the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU)

SUR 10, v. 6, n. 10, Jun. 2009

ANUJ BHUWANIA

"Very wicked children": "Indian torture" and the Madras Torture Commission Report of 1855

DANIELA DE VITO, AISHA GILL AND DAMIEN SHORT Rape characterised as genocide

CHRISTIAN COURTIS

Notes on the implementation by Latin American courts of the ILO Convention 169 on indigenous peoples

BENYAM D. MEZMUR
Intercountry adoption as a measure
of last resort in Africa: Advancing
the rights of a child rather than a
right to a child

HUMAN RIGHTS OF PEOPLE ON THE

KATHARINE DERDERIAN AND LIESBETH SCHOCKAERT Responding to "mixed" migration flows: A humanitarian perspective

JUAN CARLOS MURILLO
The legitimate security interests of
the State and international refugee

MANUELA TRINDADE VIANA International cooperation and internal displacement in Colombia: Facing the challenges of the largest humanitarian crisis in South America

JOSEPH AMON AND KATHERINE

Access to antiretroviral treatment for migrant populations in the Global South

PABLO CERIANI CERNADAS European migration control in the African territory: The omission of the extraterritorial character of human rights obligations

SUR 11, v. 6, n. 11, Dec. 2009

VÍCTOR ABRAMOVICH From Massive Violations to Structural Patterns: New Approaches and Classic Tensions in the Inter-American Human Rights

System

VIVIANA BOHÓRQUEZ MONSALVE AND JAVIER AGUIRRE ROMÁN Tensions of Human Dignity: Conceptualization and Application to International Human Rights Law

DEBORA DINIZ, LÍVIA BARBOSA AND WEDERSON RUFINO DOS SANTOS

Disability, Human Rights and Justice

Previous numbers are available at <www.surjournal.org>.

JULIETA LEMAITRE RIPOLL Love in the Time of Cholera: LGBT Rights in Colombia

ECONOMIC, SOCIAL AND CULTURAL RIGHTS

MALCOLM LANGFORD Domestic Adjudication and Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: A Socio-Legal Review

ANN BLYBERG

The Case of the Mislaid Allocation: Economic and Social Rights and Budget Work

ALDO CALIARI

Trade, Investment, Finance and Human Rights: Assessment and Strategy Paper

PATRICIA FEENEY

Business and Human Rights: The Struggle for Accountability in the UN and the Future Direction of the Advocacy Agenda

INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS

Interview with Rindai Chipfunde-Vava, Director of the Zimbabwe Election Support Network (ZESN) Report on the IX International Human Rights Colloquium

SUR 12, v. 7, n. 12, Jun. 2010

SALIL SHETTY Foreword

FERNANDO BASCH ET AL.
The Effectiveness of the InterAmerican System of Human Rights
Protection: A Quantitative Approach
to its Functioning and Compliance
With its Decisions

RICHARD BOURNE

The Commonwealth of Nations: Intergovernmental and Nongovernmental Strategies for the Protection of Human Rights in a Post-colonial Association

MILLENNIUM DEVELOPMENT GOALS

AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL Combating Exclusion: Why Human Rights Are Essential for the MDGs

VICTORIA TAULI-CORPUZ Reflections on the Role of the United Nations Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues in relation to the Millennium Development Goals

ALICIA ELY YAMIN

Toward Transformative Accountability: Applying a Rights-based Approach to Fulfill Maternal Health Obligations

SARAH ZAIDI

Millennium Development Goal 6 and the Right to Health: Conflictual or Complementary? MARCOS A. ORELLANA Climate Change and the Millennium Development Goals: The Right to Development, International Cooperation and the Clean Development Mechanism

CORPORATE ACCOUNTABILITY

LINDIWE KNUTSON Aliens, Apartheid and US Courts: Is the Right of Apartheid Victims to Claim Reparations from Multinational Corporations at last Recognized?

DAVID BILCHITZ

The Ruggie Framework: An Adequate Rubric for Corporate Human Rights Obligations?

SUR 13, v. 7, n. 13, Dec. 2010

GLENDA MEZAROBBA

Between Reparations, Half Truths and Impunity: The Difficult Break with the Legacy of the Dictatorship in Brazil

GERARDO ARCE ARCE Armed Forces, Truth Commission and Transitional Justice in Peru

REGIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS MECHANISMS

FELIPE GONZÁLEZ Urgent Measures in the Inter-American Human Rights System

JUAN CARLOS GUTIÉRREZ AND SILVANO CANTÚ

The Restriction of Military Jurisdiction in International Human Rights Protection Systems

DEBRA LONG AND LUKAS

The Special Rapporteur on Prisons and Conditions of Detention in Africa and the Committee for the Prevention of Torture in Africa: The Potential for Synergy or Inertia?

LUCYLINE NKATHA MURUNGI AND JACQUI GALLINETTI

The Role of Sub-Regional Courts in the African Human Rights System

MAGNUS KILLANDER Interpreting Regional Human Rights Treaties

ANTONIO M. CISNEROS DE ALENCAR

Cooperation Between the Universal and Inter-American Human Rights Systems in the Framework of the Universal Periodic Review Mechanism

IN MEMORIAM

Kevin Boyle - Strong Link in the Chain By Borislav Petranov

SUR 14, v. 8, n. 14, Jun. 2011

MAURICIO ALBARRACÍN CABALLERO

Social Movements and the Constitutional Court: Legal Recognition of the Rights of Same-Sex Couples in Colombia

DANIEL VÁZQUEZ AND DOMITILLE DELAPLACE Public Policies from a Human Rights Perspective: A Developing Field

J. PAUL MARTIN

Human Rights Education in Communities Recovering from Major Social Crisis: Lessons for Haiti

THE RIGHTS OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES

LUIS FERNANDO ASTORGA GATJENS

Analysis of Article 33 of the UN Convention: The Critical Importance of National Implementation and Monitoring

LETÍCIA DE CAMPOS VELHO MARTEL

Reasonable Accommodation: The New Concept from an Inclusive Constitutio nal Perspective

MARTA SCHAAF

Negotiating Sexuality in the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities

TOBIAS PIETER VAN REENEN AND HELÉNE COMBRINCK The UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities in Africa: Progress after 5 Years

STELLA C. REICHER Human Diversity and Asymmetries: A Reinterpretation of the Social Contract under the Capabilities Approach

PETER LUCAS

The Open Door: Five Foundational Films That Seeded the Representation of Human Rights for Persons with Disabilities

LUIS GALLEGOS CHIRIBOGA Interview with Luis Gallegos Chiriboga, President (2002-2005) of the Ad Hoc Committee that Drew Up the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities

The work of the Carlos Chaesa Roundines of research are reduced towards human the work of the caros charges and times of research are general towards human citizenship. Its specialities and times of research are general towards human citizenship. Independent production at the fire reactive of in decide and production at the fire reactive of in decide and production at the fire reactive of in decide and production at the fire reactive of in decide of the individual and the fire reactive of the individual and indi tesently induction at the letter and race, consists of indepth studies on the various or analysis of the letter and race, consists of indepth studies on the various or analysis of the letter and race, consists of indepth studies on the various of the letter and race, consists of indepth studies on the various of the letter and race, consists of indepth studies on the various of the letter and race, consists of indepth studies on the various of the letter and race, consists of indepth studies on the various of the letter and race, consists of indepth studies on the letter and race, consists of indepth studies of indepth Evels of education stree publications de food during a function of the foundation of A Transala, Estudos en Avalueto Etato Teste dinadorio de Resentadorio de Arabitadorio de Resentadorio de Arabitadorio de Arabi Outrals, Estudies and restorate of fice encircles from the individual to the state of the state And the state of the work of the search in the field in the state of t and social development. tivesified view of the issues in the field. levels of education. A PRINCE IN SOUCH TON MAINTAGE ORGERA Fundação Carlos Chagas