

v. 6 • n. 11 • Dec. 2009  
Biannual  
English Edition

● **Víctor Abramovich**

From Massive Violations to Structural Patterns: New Approaches and Classic Tensions in the Inter-American Human Rights System

● **Viviana Bohórquez Monsalve and Javier Aguirre Román**

Tensions of Human Dignity: Conceptualization and Application to International Human Rights Law

● **Debora Diniz, Livia Barbosa and Wederson Rufino dos Santos**

Disability, Human Rights and Justice

● **Julieta Lemaitre Ripoll**

Love in the Time of Cholera: LGBT Rights in Colombia

## ECONOMIC, SOCIAL AND CULTURAL RIGHTS

● **Malcolm Langford**

Domestic Adjudication and Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: A Socio-Legal Review

● **Ann Blyberg**

The Case of the Mislaid Allocation: Economic and Social Rights and Budget Work

● **Aldo Caliari**

Trade, Investment, Finance and Human Rights: Assessment and Strategy Paper

● **Patricia Feeney**

Business and Human Rights: The Struggle for Accountability in the UN and the Future Direction of the Advocacy Agenda

## INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS COLLOQUIUM

● Interview with Rindai Chipfunde-Vava,

Director of the Zimbabwe Election Support Network (ZESN)

● Report on the IX International Human Rights Colloquium



ISSN 1806-6445

SUR - INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL ON HUMAN RIGHTS is a biannual journal published in English, Portuguese and Spanish by Sur - Human Rights University Network. It is available on the Internet at <<http://www.surjournal.org>>

SUR - International Journal on Human Rights is listed in the International Bibliography of the Social Sciences (IBSS) and Scientific Electronic Online (SciELO).

---

EDITORIAL BOARD

**Christof Heyns**

University of Pretoria (South Africa)

**Emilio García Méndez**

University of Buenos Aires (Argentina)

**Fifi Benaboud**

North-South Centre of the Council of Europe (Portugal)

**Fiona Macaulay**

Bradford University (United Kingdom)

**Flavia Piovesan**

Pontifical Catholic University of São Paulo (Brazil)

**J. Paul Martin**

Columbia University (United States)

**Kwame Karikari**

University of Ghana (Ghana)

**Mustapha Kamel Al-Sayyed**

Cairo University (Egypt)

**Richard Pierre Claude**

University of Maryland (United States)

**Roberto Garretón**

Former-UN Officer of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (Chile)

**Upendra Baxi**

University of Warwick (United Kingdom)

---

EDITORS

Pedro Paulo Poppovic

Oscar Vilhena Vieira

---

EXECUTIVE BOARD

Flavia Scabin

Juana Kweitel - associate editor

Thiago Amparo

---

EDITING

Thiago Amparo

---

GRAPHIC DESIGN

Oz Design

---

ART EDITING

Alex Furini

---

CIRCULATION

Mila Dezan

---

PRINTING

ProL Editora Gráfica Ltda.

---

SUBSCRIPTION AND CONTACT

Sur – Human Rights University Network

Rua Barão de Itapetininga, 93 – 5º andar - República

São Paulo - SP - Brasil - CEP: 01042-908

Tel/Fax: 55 11 3884-7440

E-mail: <[contato.sur@conectas.org](mailto:contato.sur@conectas.org)>

Internet: <<http://www.surjournal.org>>

SUR - HUMAN RIGHTS UNIVERSITY NETWORK is a network of academics working together with the mission to strengthen the voice of universities in the South on human rights and social justice, and to create stronger cooperation between them, civil society organizations and the United Nations. Conectas Human Rights, a not-for-profit organization founded in Brazil, is home to Sur. (See website <[www.conectas.org](http://www.conectas.org)> and portal <[www.conectasur.org](http://www.conectasur.org)>. To access the online version of the journal, please go to: <[www.surjournal.org](http://www.surjournal.org)>.)

---

ADVISORY BOARD

**Alejandro M. Garro**

Columbia University (United States)

**Antonio Carlos Gomes da Costa**

Modus Faciendi (Brazil)

**Bernardo Sorj**

Federal University of Rio de Janeiro / Edelstein Center (Brazil)

**Bertrand Badie**

Sciences-Po (France)

**Cosmas Gitta**

UNDP (United States)

**Daniel Mato**

Central University of Venezuela (Venezuela)

**Daniela Ikawa**

Public Interest Law Institute (United States)

**Ellen Chapnick**

Columbia University (United States)

**Ernesto Garzon Valdes**

University of Mainz (Germany)

**Fateh Azzam**

Regional Representative, Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (Lebanon)

**Guy Haarscher**

Université Libre de Bruxelles (Belgium)

**Jeremy Sarkin**

University of the Western Cape (South Africa)

**João Batista Costa Saraiva**

Regional Jurisdiction for Children and Adolescents of Santo Ângelo/RS (Brazil)

**José Reinaldo de Lima Lopes**

University of São Paulo (Brazil)

**Juan Amaya Castro**

University for Peace (Costa Rica)

**Lucía Dammert**

FLACSO (Chile)

**Luigi Ferrajoli**

University of Rome (Italy)

**Luiz Eduardo Wanderley**

Pontifical Catholic University of São Paulo (Brazil)

**Malak El Chichini Poppovic**

Conectas Human Rights (Brazil)

**Maria Filomena Gregori**

University of Campinas (Brazil)

**Maria Hermínia Tavares Almeida**

University of São Paulo (Brazil)

**Miguel Cillero**

University Diego Portales (Chile)

**Mudar Kassis**

Birzeit University (Palestine)

**Paul Chevigny**

New York University (United States)

**Philip Alston**

New York University (United States)

**Roberto Cuéllar M.**

Inter-American Institute of Human Rights (Costa Rica)

**Roger Raupp Rios**

Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul (Brazil)

**Shepard Forman**

New York University (United States)

**Victor Abramovich**

University of Buenos Aires (UBA)

**Victor Topanou**

National University of Benin (Benin)

**Vinodh Jaichand**

Irish Centre for Human Rights, National University of Ireland (Ireland)

## CONTENTS

|  |            |   |
|--|------------|---|
| VÍCTOR ABRAMOVICH  | <b>7</b>   | From Massive Violations to Structural Patterns: New Approaches and Classic Tensions in the Inter-American Human Rights System |
| VIVIANA BOHÓRQUEZ MONSALVE AND JAVIER AGUIRRE ROMÁN        | <b>39</b>  | Tensions of Human Dignity: Conceptualization and Application to International Human Rights Law                                |
| DEBORA DINIZ, LÍVIA BARBOSA AND WEDERSON RUFINO DOS SANTOS | <b>61</b>  | Disability, Human Rights and Justice  |
| JULIETA LEMAITRE RIPOLL                                    | <b>73</b>  | Love in the Time of Cholera: LGBT Rights in Colombia  |
| <b>Economic, Social and Cultural Rights</b>                |            |   |
| MALCOLM LANGFORD   | <b>91</b>  | Domestic Adjudication and Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: A Socio-Legal Review  |
| ANN BLYBERG  | <b>123</b> | The Case of the Mislaid Allocation: Economic and Social Rights and Budget Work  |
| ALDO CALIARI   | <b>141</b> | Trade, Investment, Finance and Human Rights: Assessment and Strategy Paper  |
| PATRICIA FEENEY  | <b>161</b> | Business and Human Rights: The Struggle for Accountability in the UN and the Future Direction of the Advocacy Agenda          |
| <b>International Human Rights Colloquium</b>               |            |   |
|  | <b>177</b> | Interview with Rindai Chipfunde-Vava, Director of the Zimbabwe Election Support Network (ZESN)                                |
|  | <b>182</b> | Report on the IX International Human Rights Colloquium  |

# PRESENTATION



This issue of the Sur Journal was developed in collaboration with the International Network for Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights (ESCR-Net). This network is a global initiative dedicated to promoting collective work between organizations and scholars around the world that strive to guarantee economic and social justice through human rights. To this end, the Network contributes to the development of a collective voice and joint activities among members, the exchange of information and mutual learning, the promotion of new tools and strategies, and the strengthening of links between different regions, languages, and disciplines.

Four of the articles published in this issue are revised versions of documents produced for the International Strategy Meeting on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights and the ESCR-Net General Assembly held in Kenya, December 5-8, 2008, that grew out of the intense and valuable debates led by the participants in the event. The objective of these documents was to provide a critical evaluation of human rights work, placing a special focus on economic, social, and cultural rights – and, in particular, the collective work that the members and participants of the ESCR-Net have been developing in different thematic areas. At the same time, the articles sought to evaluate the future opportunities and challenges and discuss potential strategic interventions for ensuring effective human rights protection\*.

In this way, we are presenting a dossier in this issue that discusses which challenges and opportunities organizations and social movements fighting for global social rights are facing in certain areas, their main strategies, and a catalogue of recommendations for future action.

In the first article of the dossier, Ann Blyberg presents a brief history of civil society's use of budgetary analysis and explains in what working with a public budget as a tool for enforcing rights consists, in particular, in terms of economic, social, and cultural rights. She discusses different foci – transparency, gender, and right to food – of current work in this field and provides examples of experiences gained by civil society groups from different countries.

Aldo Caliari analyzes the manners in which increased international commerce and transnational financial flows, deregulation, privatization, and reduced State functions, have culminated in the debilitation of States' abilities to adopt active measures necessary for respecting, protecting, and satisfying human rights in their territorial jurisdiction. Based on a general description of tendencies posed by the intersection of commercial, financial, investment and human rights policies, Caliari presents a panorama of the strategies used by diverse organizations for protecting human rights in this context, including some success stories.

Patricia Feeney describes the ups and downs of the process for developing universal standards regarding corporate responsibility for human rights violations. She reflects on the reasons that lead to the disintegration of the Draft UN Norms on the Responsibilities of

---

\* Other articles addressing the use of human rights strategies by social movements and base communities and work in the area of women's economic, social, and cultural rights were produced on this occasion and can be directly requested from the Network's secretary by email: [info@escr-net.org](mailto:info@escr-net.org).

Transnational Corporations and evaluates the strengths and weaknesses of 'Protect, Remedy and Respect Framework' adopted by the Human Rights Council in 2008, at the proposal of the UN Secretary-General's Special Representative for that subject, John Ruggie.

Finally, Malcolm Langford offers a socio-juridical panorama of the justiciability of economic and social rights in the national arena, formulating some questions regarding their origins, content, and strategies. He also includes the debate surrounding the impact of litigation and an evaluation of the main lessons learned. In conclusion, he offers some ideas about the future development of this field.

Completing this issue of the Journal are five articles, on diverse subjects, and an interview. In the first article, Víctor Abramovich presents a general panorama of some strategic discussions surrounding the role of the Inter-American Human Rights System (IAHRS) in the regional political scenery. The author suggests that, in the future, the IAHRS should expand its political role, setting its sight on the structural patterns that affect the effective exercise of rights by subordinate sectors of the population.

In their article, Viviana Bohórquez Monsalve and Javier Aguirre Román carry out a conceptual reconstruction of the three tensions existing in the concept of human dignity: i) the tension between one's natural and artificial character (or consensual or passive); ii) the tension between one's abstract and concrete character, and iii) the tension between one's universal and particular character.

In the third article, Débora Diniz, Lívia Barbosa, and Wederson Rufino dos Santos seek to demonstrate the way in which the field of disability studies has been consolidated into the concept of disabilities as constituting a social disadvantage. As a result of this new concept, as adopted by the 2006 UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, disabilities are not summarized as a catalogue of diseases listed by biomedical experts, but rather constitute a concept that denounces the inequality imposed by environments with barriers on bodies with impediments.

Building on a description of violence faced in Colombia by lesbian, gay, bisexual, transvestite, transsexual, and transgendered (LGBT) persons and on decisions passed down by the Constitutional Court regarding the protection of free sexuality options, Julieta Lamaitre Ripoll analyzes, in the fourth article, the law's symbolic role and argues that activists in her country have an ambivalent relationship with the law; at the same time as they distrust it, because of its ineffectiveness, they mobilize themselves for legal reform and celebrate the progressive jurisprudence of the Constitutional Court.

For the first time, and at the request of the event's participants, a brief account of the IX International Human Rights Colloquium will be included in the Sur Journal. Furthermore, during the IX Colloquium, an interview was conducted with Rindai Chipfunde-Vava, Director of the Zimbabwe Election Support Network (ZESN) that ends this issue of the Sur Journal. In it, Rindai emphasizes the importance of electoral observation in Africa and insists on the necessity for human rights defenders to see elections as a human rights issue.

We appreciate the support from the Ford Foundation, the ESCR-Net and the Observatório Interdisciplinar de Direitos Humanos of the Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS) for the publication of the present issue of the Sur Journal.

Finally, we are extremely pleased to report that the Carlos Chagas Foundation will support the Sur Journal in 2010 and 2011. This new cooperation is exceptionally promising, because, in addition to financial support, this prestigious research institution will complement the Journal's editorial efforts.

# REPORT ON THE IX INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS COLLOQUIUM

By Conectas Human Rights

## 1 Introduction

In November 2009, Conectas organized the ninth edition of the International Human Rights Colloquium, whose theme was: “**An Appraisal of the Global Human Rights System from a Southern Perspective: Common Strategies and Proposals for Reform**”. The Colloquium is an annual event held since 2001 in São Paulo (Brazil) designed, primarily, for young activists from the Southern Hemisphere, completely carried out in three languages (English, Portuguese, and Spanish), that facilitates dialogue between different work agendas of the human rights movement, addresses the latest topics through a multidisciplinary focus, and creates spaces for establishing cooperation between all of the participants.

In the last eight editions, from 2001 to 2008, the Colloquium has welcomed 529 participants from 59 countries in Latin America, Africa, and Asia. Furthermore, 226 people have attended as lecturers and collaborators. In addition to its training mission, the Colloquium plays an important role in the development of policy capabilities of human rights defenders participating in this event and provides the opportunity for participants to expand the scope of their work, to establish connections with networks and groups in other regions.

The ninth edition of the Colloquium sought to bring together a group of young activists and scholars to collectively appraise the efficiency of the international human rights system from a southern perspective. For the first time since its creation in 2001, the IX Colloquium gathered ex-participants from previous editions of the event, exclusively. Thus, the meeting sought to strengthen collaborative work between different generations of participants. The working methodology sought to create a propitious space for developing future strategies for action and concrete proposals for reform in the multilateral human rights system.

The group, which gathered participants from all of the colloquia, also

carried out an evaluation of the results from the previous eight editions of the Colloquium and discussed the format and content of the 2010 and 2011 editions.

The meeting gathered 34 participants from 22 countries (14 men and 20 women) from Latin America (14), Asia (4), and Africa (16). It also received 32 observers and support from a team of 14 volunteer monitors for the organization. From the event's selection process until its conclusion, the participants completed a series of readings and preparatory coursework on the themes addressed in the program.

Hosting the IX International Human Rights Colloquium was possible thanks to generous contributions from the Open Society Institute, the Ford Foundation, and the United Nations Foundation. It also received support from the French and Canadian Consulates, OSISA, and Ashoka Social Entrepreneurs. As in previous years, the Getulio Vargas Foundation Law School welcomed the event for a week and the Ruth Cardoso Youth Center, of the Municipality of São Paulo, received the participants during the inaugural session.

The participants requested that the present review of the event be published in the *Sur Journal* to inform those who formed a part of previous editions of the Colloquium and other interested persons.

## **2 Program: A Critical Analysis of the UN Human Rights System**

This year's program sought to provide a general panorama of the functioning of the main UN human rights mechanisms and, at the same time, encourage discussions of concrete strategies for joint action and proposals for reform. Thus, each one of the mechanisms analyzed (the UN Charter system and the treaty system) was studied from three perspectives: Conectas was responsible for providing an overview of its functioning, a speaker was invited to formulate a critical view, and, finally, the participants presented concrete examples of their use. There were 11 practical presentations that illustrated the difficulties that activists have found in using these mechanisms. During the group work, the participants discussed some strategies for overcoming challenges and formulated concrete proposals for reform.

The group of lecturers and panelists gathered academics, activists, and UN officials who analyzed the operation of UN bodies from a human rights perspective and discussed opportunities for civil society participation.

The Program placed special emphasis on UN Human Rights Council (UNHRC) mechanisms and treaty bodies. As a result, Camila Asano of Conectas presented the political context of the UNHRC and Philippe Dam of Human Rights Watch (Geneva) discussed resistance from UNHRC member states to adopting resolutions on countries. Lucia Nader of Conectas presented an overview of the functioning of Universal Periodic Review (UPR) and Sandeep Prasad of Action Canada for Population and Development – ACPD (Canada) presented the challenges involved in including the issue of sexual rights in UPR. Mustapha Al-Sayyid, from Cairo University, spoke about the role of Arab countries in the Human Rights Council. Julia Neiva of Conectas described the functioning of treaty

bodies, and Gabriela Kletzel of the Center for Legal and Social Studies - CELS (Argentina) presented her organization’s experience in presenting shadow reports.

Two Special Rapporteurs also participated: the UN Special Rapporteur on Arbitrary Executions, Philip Alston, sent a video explaining the work of special mechanisms, and the UN Special Rapporteur on Adequate Housing, Raquel Rolnik, spoke about her one-year experience as a Special Rapporteur, and shared the challenges of combining field work with the institutional requirements of the United Nations.

June Ray of the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (Civil Society Unit, Geneva) and Katherine Thomassen of the International Service for Human Rights (Geneva), presented opportunities for civil society to effectively participate in the United Nations system. June Ray emphasized the role of the OHCHR as a bridge between human rights defenders and different United Nations bodies.

Two other topics were discussed: the Security Council and the International Criminal Court, respectively by Joanna Weschler, from the Security Council Report (USA), and Camila Maturana, from the Humanas Corporation (Chile). Both gave an overview of the functioning of these international institutions and explained how NGOs can monitor their work.

**2.1 Case Studies – Lessons Learned and Practical Advice**

Some participants presented their experiences in engaging with the international system to illustrate the lectures. This methodology, used for the first time during the colloquium, made the discussions more horizontal, and allowed a true exchange of experiences between the participants.

| <b>Case Studies</b>  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| <b>Human Rights Council Special Mechanisms</b>   | <b>Human Rights Council UPR</b>  | <b>Treaty Bodies</b>   |
| José Ferrara, Mexico: How to submit a case to the Special Rapporteur (SR) for freedom of expression. | Florita Telo, Angola: Presentation of civil society’s report to UPR: the case of Angola. | Babalola Medayedupin, Nigeria: Denouncing torture cases to the Convention Against Torture Committee.   |
| Luis Emmanuel Cunha, Brazil: Implementing recommendations made by Special Rapporteurs                | Andrea Dejten, Uruguay: Monitoring the UPR process in Uruguay.                           | Wendy Flores, Nicaragua: Shadow reports to Treaty Bodies.  |
| Renata Lira, Brazil: How to organize the Special Rapporteur’s visit..                                | Mauricio Caballero, Colombia: UPR process in Colombia and LGBT rights.                   | Chibogu Obinwa, Nigeria: The domestication of CEDAW in Nigeria.  |
|  | Carlo Cleofe, Philippines: Implementing UPR recommendations.                             | Maria Esther Mogollón, Peru: Ratification of the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities |



## 2. 1. a Human Rights Council: Special Mechanisms

José Ferrara, of the Miguel Agustín Pro Juárez Human Rights Center (Mexico) told his organization's experience in using a UN special report, in the context of civil society's preparation of shadow reports for UPR. He therefore affirmed "sending information to the Rapporteur was convenient for forming a part of the broad strategy involving the media and organizations dedicated to defending freedom of expression. Our approach found an echo among the diverse delegations that were present during the appearance of the Mexican delegation. The activism of international organizations linked to the subject was also helpful." He added, "in sending information to the Rapporteurs, it is important for the information sent to be highly trustworthy, and for it to propose the actions it wishes to ask the States to take." Finally, he recommended, "that the information sent form a part of a broad strategy that includes the committed mobilization of diverse social sectors."

Luis Emmanuel Cunha, of Gajop (Brazil) presented his organization's experience in developing a methodology for evaluating the implementation of recommendations from the UN system. Luis highlighted the difficulty faced in evaluating compliance with recommendations from different UN mechanisms, due to the fact that each one of the mechanisms drafts recommendations in accordance with its own criteria, impeding the creation of a uniform procedure for analysis.

Regarding the visits of the Rapporteurs, Renata Lira of Global Justice (Brazil) emphasized the importance for the reports delivered to the Rapporteurs during the visit to be drafted in English, and to have official statistics, emblematic cases, and, above all, a contextual political analysis. She also stressed the importance of organizing meetings with victims and their family members so that the Rapporteur may have a vision of the country's actual situation.

## 2. 1. b Human Rights Council: Universal Periodic Review (UPR)

Florita Telo, from the Mosaiko Cultural Center (Angola) told of an experience in drafting a civil society report for UPR. The report was developed by 10 organizations from different regions in Angola. They worked fundamentally around six themes: the right to housing, to education, health, indigenous peoples' and rural communities' land rights, access to natural resources, freedom of association, and freedom of assembly and expression. In her presentation, Florita emphasized the additional difficulty faced by activists who speak Portuguese, since this is not one of the official languages of the UN.

Andrea Dejen, of the Interdisciplinary Center of Development Studies (Uruguay) gave an account of how, upon beginning to participate in UPR, organizations realized that they were in a sort of haze with respect to this new, novel, and participative mechanism, but they still did not fully understand it. At the same time, the government called for civil society collaboration in drafting the official report. From Andrea's viewpoint, this process of consultation and information on UPR in Uruguay suffered from a series of difficulties, among them, the scarce

and selective call for an organized civil society, executed with insufficient time for ensuring the broadest participation, and with scarce information that would allow for civil society organizations to carry out a proper analysis for drafting a position. Finally, Andrea mentioned that a good idea for the future would be to announce a permanent round table for the next review.

Mauricio Caballero from Diverse Colombia (Colombia) presented a project for including the issue of LGBT rights in the UPR of Colombia. Mauricio began by emphasizing that UPR represents various difficulties. First, the mechanism was new, unknown, and with unclear rules. Second, he mentioned that the issue of homosexuality is a subject that generates controversy and division within the Human Rights Council. Third, he highlighted that Colombia is a complex country, with a great number of serious human rights problems, which makes it very difficult to incorporate all subjects.

The result of the organization's work was positive, since the UNCHR included a specific recommendation on the subject that was assumed by the State and, thus, the first explicit international recommendation regarding LGBT human rights in Colombia. Mauricio explained that the recommendation has been used to strengthen internal debate. This recommendation has been important for generating spaces for dialogue with the government and other international mechanisms (Inter-American Commission on Human Rights) and in internal litigation (Constitutional Court). It has been also useful to strengthen public policies regarding the rights of this segment of the population, especially to strengthen the normative framework and to create indicators of the effective enjoyment of these rights.

Carlo Cleofe, of the Task Force Detainees of the Philippines (Philippines), mentioned that for the civil society report to UPR to be taken into consideration, they were worried about ensuring that the information appeared trustworthy, so they called for a wide spectrum of organizations to sign the document. He also emphasized that being able to participate in the Council's session twice was very important in order to speak with the delegations about the country's review. In these visits, parallel events were also organized, which helped to generate interest regarding the situation in the Philippines. Finally, Carlo highlighted that it was fundamental for the delegations to have drafted a list of recommendations to their country in advance: of the 19 delegations that were gathered, 11 used the recommendations drafted by civil society.

## 2. 1. c Treaty Bodies

Babalola Medayedupin, of the Center for Community Development and Conflict Management (Nigeria) gave an account of the difficulties that her organization faced in trying to internationally denounce a serious case of torture, due to the fact that Nigeria had not ratified the Protocol to the Convention Against Torture. Therefore, she emphasized the importance of studying, while preparing a case, which treaties the country in question has ratified.

Wendy Flores Acevedo from the Nicaraguan Center for Human Rights (CENIDH) (Nicaragua), explained her organization's experience in presenting

alternative reports before the different treaty bodies of the UN, such as the Human Rights Council in October 2008, the Committee on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights in November 2008, and the Committee Against Torture in May 2009. As the main lesson learned, Wendy emphasized the necessity of working together with other organizations with the goal of gathering broad and complete information. She also mentioned the need for setting dates for presenting reports on the part of the State and for programming reviews of the different bodies. The main challenge identified was the importance of constructing a working methodology for drafting a report in coordination with other organizations. She suggested, as a mechanism, appointing a small group of drafters to be responsible for compiling the information and writing the final draft so that the document may have consistence, harmony, and a unified language.

### **2. 1. d Drafting and Ratification of International Standards**

Chibogu Obinwa, of BAOBAB for Women's Human Rights (BAOBAB) (Nigeria) commented on her organization's experience surrounding implementation in the internal environment of the Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW). She pointed out that Nigeria ratified the Convention during the military government in 1985, and that it never really incorporated it internally. Chibogu also mentioned that the internalization of the Convention faces some difficulties, based on contrary cultural stereotypes of women's rights. Thus, the strategy of a broad collation of organizations was to draft a very well documented shadow report on 16 articles of CEDAW and to participate in the Committee's sessions in New York during the evaluation of Nigeria. Chibogu went on to state the importance of maintaining informal dialogue with CEDAW members to call attention to the most important points that should be questioned of the government. On the other hand, as strategies for the implementation of the Convention, she mentioned the media's role in presenting which aspects of the legislation need to be reformed, and in training judges and legislators on the subject.

María Esther Mogollón, of the MAM Foundation (Peru) presented her experience in the efforts for the approval of the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. María Esther had the opportunity to inform the position of the Peruvian government, following a prior investigation that she had carried out concerning the sexual and reproductive rights of persons with disabilities, especially the right to maternity of disabled women. Even though Peru voted in favor of the Convention and subsequently ratified it, Maria Esther denounced a diminishing trend in protecting the rights of persons with disabilities in her country.

## ***2. 2 Final Document: Proposals for Reform in the UN System***

During the work groups, the participants actively collaborated in order to produce a joint statement on the following challenges faced by the United Nations human rights system:

### **Challenges for Increasing Civil Society Participation and Effectiveness in the UN Human Rights System**

1. The lack of cooperation of States with the United Nations (UN), often justified with the false argument of the incompatibility of sovereignty with human rights protection;
2. The lack of the implementation of United Nations recommendations and the difficulty of measuring the effectiveness of treaty bodies and international human rights mechanisms;
3. Obstacles to civil society participation in the United Nations system, which includes the lack of financial resources, physical and language barriers, the lack of communication and access to information;
4. Insufficient interaction between and among the Human Rights system and Regional Systems;
5. Selectivity and politicalization in the Human Rights Council (UNCHR);
6. The failure to ratify the main group of human rights treaties and obstacles to civil society participation in treaty bodies;
7. Insufficient attention on the part of the Security Council to the human rights agenda; and
8. The need for guaranteeing greater effectiveness in the International Criminal Court (ICC), and for improving its relationship with the UN human rights system.

The work groups made recommendations directed towards the UN, governments, and civil society organizations. Conectas presented, in the name of all of the participants, the Final Document to the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, and all participants presented the document to their respective governments (in their countries as well as in their respective delegations in Geneva). Conectas also distributed the document through its website and newsletter. The complete version of the final document may be found at: [http://www.conectas.org/arquivospublicados/IXColoquioDDHH\\_DocFinal\\_Espanol.pdf](http://www.conectas.org/arquivospublicados/IXColoquioDDHH_DocFinal_Espanol.pdf)

### **3 Evaluation of the Colloquium 2001-2009**

In previous years, Conectas has carried out evaluations with the participants in the Colloquium, during and after the event, and has taken the results of these evaluations into consideration in order to introduce changes and improvements in its format and content. These consecutive evaluations have shown that the Colloquium has been transformed into a recognized space in which human rights organizations, especially from the Global South, may conduct capacity-building and create networks. Nevertheless, Conectas wished to have a more substantial evaluation from the beneficiaries of the different editions of the Colloquium, as regards to its impact.

Taking these evaluations into consideration, the IX Colloquium gathered 34 participants from the 8 previous events. This was the first time that the participants of different generations had the opportunity to meet each other and create links for future joint activities. Moreover, they could give their opinion with respect to the content and format of future editions of the Colloquium.

Conectas requested that the participants contribute to the evaluation process in three phases: i) responding via internet to a questionnaire prior to the event; ii)

discussing the results of the questionnaire during the Colloquium, y iii) drafting proposals for future editions.

During the preparatory stage, the participants were requested to give their opinion on the degree of compliance with the five objectives that the Colloquium seeks to achieve.

| <b>Questionnaire Results *</b>   |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Objective 1:</b> The Colloquium offers a forum for exchanging experiences and practical knowledge:  | <b>78% strongly agree</b><br><b>22% agree</b>                        |
| <b>Objective 2:</b> The Colloquium provides a forum for sharing information on the latest advancements in the field of human rights:                 | <b>56,5% strongly agree</b><br><b>43,5% agree</b>                    |
| <b>Objective 3:</b> The Colloquium offers opportunities for acquiring professional skills:   | <b>52% strongly agree</b><br><b>36% agree</b><br><b>12% disagree</b> |
| <b>Objective 4:</b> The Colloquium provides information about how to navigate the United Nations system in order to advance human rights protection: | <b>52% strongly agree</b><br><b>39% agree</b><br><b>9% disagree</b>  |
| <b>Objective 5:</b> The Colloquium creates a foundation for future collaboration and alliances:  | <b>56,5% strongly agree</b><br><b>43,5% agree</b>                    |

\* 23 of the 34 participants responded to the survey.

The survey showed a very positive evaluation of the Colloquium. 100% of the participants “Strongly agree” or “Agree” that the Colloquium: i) Offers a forum for exchanging experiences (objective 1), ii) Provides a space for learning about the latest human rights development (objective 2) and iii) Creates a foundation for future collaboration (objective 5). It is interesting to highlight that those three objectives were also selected in the last part of the evaluation as the main objectives for the Colloquium’s future.

With respect to acquiring professional skills (objective 3), the participants that were of the opinion that this objective was not within reach (voting “disagree”) explained that they disagreed with the manner in which the phrase was presented, especially with the term “acquiring.” With respect to the offer of information about how to navigate the UN system (objective 4), the participants that “disagreed” asked Conectas to organize complementary courses about the UN system. They affirmed that a Colloquium lasting one week was not sufficient for leaning about such a complex system.

During the discussions, the participants made several positive comments. They spoke about the impact of the Colloquium in their lives, giving concrete examples of joint projects implemented thanks to the Colloquium. They also mentioned that the Colloquium is different from other courses, because it takes

the social aspect of human rights work into consideration, allowing for the creation of new friendships. In addition, they emphasized its unique character as a South-South forum.

The participants made proposals for future Colloquia, including the incorporation of French as one of its languages, and recommended continuing many of its traits, such as the *Open Space Forum* (a space for discussion of subjects proposed by participants), Brazilian NGO visits and case studies presented by participants. The participants also recommended that Conectas document the impact that the Colloquium has had in the lives of its participants, affirming that *“this story must be told.”*

#### 4 Final Comments

The Colloquium plays a central role in the life of Conectas. Each year, the Colloquium is the moment in which the organization invests its greatest effort. It is, additionally, the main space for hearing the opinion of human rights activists from the Southern Hemisphere, to whom a large part of the organization’s activities are directed.

It was extremely comforting to hear the opinion of ex-participants during the evaluation carried out during the IX Colloquium. In this sense, four aspects are particularly relevant: 1) the recognition of the Colloquium’s being the only event of South-South integration in human rights; 2) accounts of collaborative projects between participants begun following the Colloquium regarding which Conectas didn’t have information; 3) the recognition of the efforts of Conectas in keeping in touch with ex-participants after the Colloquium, and 4) the importance of spaces for socializing during the Colloquium and the recognition of Conectas’ work in creating these spaces for integration.

In light of the results of the evaluation, it is clear that the main challenge for Conectas is to design a tool that allows measuring the impact that the Colloquium has in strengthening a new generation of human rights activists that values collaboration and perceives the difference between North-South and South-South relations.

In order to obtain more information about the X International Human Rights Colloquium that will be held in October 2010, visit: [www.conectas.org/coloquio](http://www.conectas.org/coloquio).

## PREVIOUS NUMBERS

Previous numbers are available at <[www.surjournal.org](http://www.surjournal.org)>.

### **SUR 1**, v. 1, n. 1, Jun. 2004

---

EMILIO GARCÍA MÉNDEZ  
Origin, Concept and Future of  
Human Rights: Reflections for a  
New Agenda

FLAVIA PIOVESAN  
Social, Economic and Cultural  
Rights and Civil and Political  
Rights

OSCAR VILHENA VIEIRA AND  
A. SCOTT DUPREE  
Reflections on Civil Society and  
Human Rights

JEREMY SARKIN  
The Coming of Age of Claims for  
Reparations for Human Rights  
Abuses Committed in the South

VINODH JAICHAND  
Public Interest Litigation  
Strategies for Advancing Human  
Rights in Domestic Systems of  
Law

PAUL CHEVIGNY  
Repression in the United States  
after the September 11 Attack

SERGIO VIEIRA DE MELLO  
Only Member States Can Make  
the UN WorkFive Questions for the  
Human Rights Field

### **SUR 2**, v. 2, n. 2, Jun. 2005

---

SALIL SHETTY  
Millennium Declaration and  
Development Goals: Opportunities  
for Human Rights

FATEH AZZAM  
Reflections on Human Rights  
Approaches to Implementing the  
Millennium Development Goals

RICHARD PIERRE CLAUDE  
The Right to Education and  
Human Rights Education

JOSÉ REINALDO DE  
LIMA LOPES  
The Right to Recognition for Gays  
and Lesbians

E.S. NWAUCHE AND  
J.C. NWOBIKE  
Implementing the Right to  
Development

STEVEN FREELAND  
Human Rights, the Environment  
and Conflict: Addressing Crimes  
against the Environment

FIONA MACAULAY  
Civil Society-State Partnerships  
for the Promotion of Citizen  
Security in Brazil

EDWIN REKOSH  
Who Defines the Public Interest?

VÍCTOR E. ABRAMOVICH  
Courses of Action in Economic,  
Social and Cultural Rights:  
Instruments and Allies

### **SUR 3**, v. 2, n. 3, Dec. 2005

---

CAROLINE DOMMEN  
Trade and Human Rights: Towards  
Coherence

CARLOS M. CORREA  
TRIPS Agreement and Access to  
Drugs in Developing Countries

BERNARDO SORJ  
Security, Human Security and  
Latin America

ALBERTO BOVINO  
Evidential Issues before the Inter-  
American Court of Human Rights

NICO HORN  
Eddie Mabo and Namibia: Land  
Reform and Pre-Colonial Land  
Rights

NLERUM S. OKOGBULE  
Access to Justice and Human  
Rights Protection in Nigeria:  
Problems and Prospects

MARÍA JOSÉ GUEMBE  
Reopening of Trials for Crimes  
Committed by the Argentine  
Military Dictatorship

JOSÉ RICARDO CUNHA  
Human Rights and Justiciability:  
A Survey Conducted in Rio de  
Janeiro

LOUISE ARBOUR  
Plan of Action Submitted  
by the United Nations High  
Commissioner for Human Rights

### **SUR 4**, v. 3, n. 4, Jun. 2006

---

FERNANDE RAINE  
The measurement challenge in  
human rights

MARIO MELO  
Recent advances in the  
justiciability of indigenous rights  
in the Inter American System of  
Human Rights

ISABELA FIGUEROA  
Indigenous peoples versus oil  
companies: Constitutional control  
within resistance

ROBERT ARCHER  
The strengths of different  
traditions: What can be gained and  
what might be lost by combining  
rights and development?

J. PAUL MARTIN  
Development and rights revisited:  
Lessons from Africa

MICHELLE RATTON SANCHEZ  
Brief observations on the  
mechanisms for NGO participation  
in the WTO

JUSTICE C. NWOBIKE  
Pharmaceutical corporations  
and access to drugs in developing  
countries: The way forward

CLÓVIS ROBERTO  
ZIMMERMANN  
Social programs from a human  
rights perspective: The case of the  
Lula administration's family grant  
in Brazil

CHRISTOF HEYNS, DAVID  
PADILLA AND LEO ZWAAK  
A schematic comparison of  
regional human rights systems: An  
update

BOOK REVIEW

### **SUR 5**, v. 3, n. 5, Dec. 2006

---

CARLOS VILLAN DURAN  
Lights and shadows of the new  
United Nations Human Rights  
Council

PAULINA VEGA GONZÁLEZ  
The role of victims in International  
Criminal Court proceedings: their  
rights and the first rulings of the  
Court

OSWALDO RUIZ CHIRIBOGA  
The right to cultural identity of  
indigenous peoples and national  
minorities: a look from the Inter-  
American System

LYDIAH KEMUNTO BOSIRE  
Overpromised, underdelivered:  
transitional justice in Sub-  
Saharan Africa

DEVIKA PRASAD  
Strengthening democratic  
policing and accountability in the  
Commonwealth Pacific

IGNACIO CANO  
Public security policies in Brazil:  
attempts to modernize and demo-  
cratize versus the war on crime

TOM FARER  
Toward an effective international  
legal order: from co-existence to  
concert?

BOOK REVIEW

### **SUR 6**, v. 4, n. 6, Jun. 2007

---

UPENDRA BAXI  
The Rule of Law in India

OSCAR VILHENA VIEIRA  
Inequality and the subversion of  
the Rule of Law

**RODRIGO UPRIMNY YEPES**  
Judicialization of politics in  
Colombia: cases, merits and risks

**LAURA C. PAUTASSI**  
Is there equality in inequality?  
Scope and limits of affirmative  
actions

**GERT JONKER AND RIKA  
SWANZEN**  
Intermediary services for child  
witnesses testifying in South  
African criminal courts

**SERGIO BRANCO**  
Brazilian copyright law and how  
it restricts the efficiency of the  
human right to education

**THOMAS W. POGGE**  
Eradicating systemic poverty: brief  
for a Global Resources Dividend

**SUR 7**, v. 4, n. 7, Dec. 2007

**LUCIA NADER**  
The role of NGOs in the UN  
Human Rights Council

**CECÍLIA MACDOWELL  
SANTOS**  
Transnational legal activism  
and the State: reflections on  
cases against Brazil in the Inter-  
American Commission on Human  
Rights

**TRANSITIONAL JUSTICE**

**TARA URS**  
Imagining locally-motivated  
accountability for mass atrocities:  
voices from Cambodia

**CECILY ROSE AND  
FRANCIS M. SSEKANDI**  
The pursuit of transitional justice  
and African traditional values: a  
clash of civilizations – The case of  
Uganda

**RAMONA VIJEYARASA**  
Facing Australia's history: truth  
and reconciliation for the stolen  
generations

**ELIZABETH SALMÓN G.**  
The long road in the fight  
against poverty and its promising  
encounter with human rights

**INTERVIEW WITH JUAN  
MÉNDEZ**  
By Glenda Mezarobba

**SUR 8**, v. 5, n. 8, Jun. 2008

**MARTÍN ABREGÚ**  
Human rights for all: from the  
struggle against authoritarianism to  
the construction of an all-inclusive  
democracy - A view from the  
Southern Cone and Andean region

**AMITA DHANDA**  
Constructing a new human rights  
lexicon: Convention on the Rights  
of Persons with Disabilities

**LAURA DAVIS MATTAR**  
Legal recognition of sexual rights  
– a comparative analysis with  
reproductive rights

**JAMES L. CAVALLARO AND  
STEPHANIE ERIN BREWER**  
The virtue of following: the role  
of Inter-American litigation in  
campaigns for social justice

**RIGHT TO HEALTH AND ACCESS TO  
MEDICAMENTS**

**PAUL HUNT AND RAJAT  
KHOSLA**  
The human right to medicines

**THOMAS POGGE**  
Medicines for the world: boosting  
innovation without obstructing  
free access

**JORGE CONTESSE AND  
DOMINGO LOVERA PARMO**  
Access to medical treatment for  
people living with HIV/AIDS:  
success without victory in Chile

**GABRIELA COSTA CHAVES,  
MARCELA FOGAÇA VIEIRA  
AND RENATA REIS**  
Access to medicines and  
intellectual property in Brazil:  
reflections and strategies of civil  
society

**SUR 9**, v. 5, n. 9, Dec. 2008

**BARBORA BUKOVSKÁ**  
Perpetrating good: unintended  
consequences of international  
human rights advocacy

**JEREMY SARKIN**  
Prisons in Africa: an evaluation  
from a human rights perspective

**REBECCA SAUNDERS**  
Lost in translation: expressions  
of human suffering, the language  
of human rights, and the South  
African Truth and Reconciliation  
Commission

**SIXTY YEARS OF THE UNIVERSAL  
DECLARATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS**

**PAULO SÉRGIO PINHEIRO**  
Sixty years after the Universal  
Declaration: navigating the  
contradictions

**FERNANDA DOZ COSTA**  
Poverty and human rights from  
rhetoric to legal obligations: a  
critical account of conceptual  
frameworks

**EITAN FELNER**  
A new frontier in economic and  
social rights advocacy? Turning  
quantitative data into a tool for  
human rights accountability

**KATHERINE SHORT**  
From Commission to Council: has  
the United Nations succeeded in  
creating a credible human rights  
body?

**ANTHONY ROMERO**  
Interview with Anthony Romero,  
Executive Director of the  
American Civil Liberties Union  
(ACLU)

**SUR 10**, v. 6, n. 10, Jun. 2009

**ANUJ BHUWANIA**  
"Very wicked children": "Indian  
torture" and the Madras Torture  
Commission Report of 1855

**DANIELA DE VITO, AISHA  
GILL AND DAMIEN SHORT**  
Rape characterised as genocide

**CHRISTIAN COURTIS**  
Notes on the implementation by  
Latin American courts of the ILO  
Convention 169 on indigenous  
peoples

**BENYAM D. MEZMUR**  
Intercountry adoption as a  
measure of last resort in Africa:  
Advancing the rights of a child  
rather than a right to a child

**HUMAN RIGHTS OF PEOPLE  
ON THE MOVE: MIGRANTS AND  
REFUGEEES**

**KATHARINE DERDERIAN AND  
LIESBETH SCHOCKAERT**  
Responding to "mixed" migration  
flows: A humanitarian perspective

**JUAN CARLOS MURILLO**  
The legitimate security interests  
of the State and international  
refugee protection

**MANUELA TRINDADE VIANA**  
International cooperation and  
internal displacement in Colombia:  
Facing the challenges of the  
largest humanitarian crisis in  
South America

**JOSEPH AMON AND  
KATHERINE TODRYS**  
Access to antiretroviral treatment  
for migrant populations in the  
Global South

**PABLO CERIANI CERNADAS**  
European migration control in the  
African territory: The omission of  
the extraterritorial character of  
human rights obligations